[J.]
500—1-5-97.

Political

~~Judicial~~ (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 172

DATED 7. 3. 98.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing orders on letter from the Collector of Tanjore early the application of certain relations of the late Tanjore Rani Shri Kamakshi Sahiba, for pensions.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
520/98	1063						
243 334/98	1063						
1454/98	1063						

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 175

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
31 JAN 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From

The Government Agent
Tanjore

Order

No. 11

Dated 30th January 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures One

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Forwarding a statement regarding the claims to pensions of certain relations of His Highness the late Rajah Tiruvalsha Boi Sahebu.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
708:	17.10.94	Mil	
365:	31.5.94	"	
267:	26.4.92	SC	
216:	30.3.92	Mil	
912:	8.2.92	"	
436:	20.7.60	SC	
705:	5.12.59	"	

Gmc 311: 25.9.56.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Statement accompanying Government Agent's 45/letter, dated 26.1.98 No. 11
regarding the claims to pensions of certain relations of
Her Highness the late Kamakshi Bayi Saheb

Serial No.	No in enclosure to Govt. Agent's letter dated 10.5.94 on which G.O. No. 365 Pl. 31.5.94 was passed	Name of Applicant	Relationship to H.H. the late Kamakshi Bayi Saheb	Amount of pension now drawn	Approximate value of landed & personal property possessed	Pension or allowances drawn by applicant out of the stipend drawn by the deceased Rani so far as ascertainable	(1) Condition of applicant and family so far as ascertainable (2) Applicant's request	Govt. Agent's Recommendation	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	18	V. Rg. Tiagaraja Somi Madhi Aged 43	Cousin's son	15 00	Rs 400 (debt about Rs 1700)	Rs 10 per mensem besides marriage & festival presents & Cloths	(1) Poor, applicant supports his wife, two children & servants (2) Desires to have his pension increased to Rs 55, the amount of family expenditure incurred by him every month	2nd recommendation Mr. Winchester originally recommended Rs 20 for the 3 brothers and subsequently recommended Rs 15 for each of the 3 brothers. This was sanctioned. The other two have not applied for increase	

2.	2	V. Ry Raghunatha Brother's Sons Agd 50	Brother's Sons	£35 4	Rs 7000 or 8,000 (Has got and other debts)	He used to receive marriage occasional presents	(1) Circumstances Moderate. (2) Says he has got debts to the extent of Rs 15,000 and that his family expenses come to Rs 150 a month. Requests to have his pension increased	Not recommended
3.	18 (Vide entry in the remark Column of the above statement)	M. Yemma Boya Ammani over 60 years old	Wife of the Cousin of the late Rani and mother of Serial No: 1	———	No property at all	Vide against item 1 She was supported out of the Rs 10	(1) Defends for her maintenance on her son (No: 1) and his 2 step brothers who draw a pension of Rs 15 each. None of them appear to support her now and she is in a very destitute condition. (2) Says that a separate pension of Rs 10 may be granted to her	Rs 10 a month recommended
4	21	V. Ry Jan Rao Bhonsle aged 47	Distant relation viz: Brother of the wife of the brother's son of the Rani	———	Rs 800 or 900 (Has got debts)	He was in receipt of marriage and occasional presents	(1) Very poor (2) He states he was in receipt of Rs 20 per month from the Rani; and requests he may be granted a suitable pension. (There is no proof that he got any such allowance & his relationship is very distant)	Not recommended

5	23	V. Ry. Govindasami Nimbalkar aged 46.	Distant relation viz, Brother's son of the wife of the brother of the Rani	"	"	about Rs 200	He was not in receipt of any monthly allowance but received occasional presents.	(1) Very poor (2) Prays that he may be granted a monthly pension	Not recommended relationship very remote.
6	25	V. Ry Nagalami Mokite aged about 52	Distant relation viz, Son in law of one Trimbakji Bhonsle who was the Rani's Cousin	"	"	Rs 1,000	His deceased wife received an allowance of Rs 5 per mensem and occasional marriage or other presents	(1) Has got a large family depending on him. (2) Requests to be granted a monthly allowance	Not recommended Relationship very remote
7	At new application Case not Considered in 1875	M. Krishnama Aged 40	Daughter of a Distant cousin related in the of the late Raja	"	"	about Rs 50	Received marriage and occasional presents	(1) She is the 2nd wife of one Lakshmana Row & she was in receipt of a monthly pension of Rs 10 as a dependant of the late Raja. Since his demise, the petitioner and her two widowed daughters are reported to be in very poor circumstances (2) Prays she may be granted a life pension	Not recommended related to the late Rani
8	Do	Mly Sikoji Malik Row Sahib Aged 25	Cousin's son (Similar Sat. 1)	"	"	2500 (Debts Rs 3000)	was in receipt of festival & marriage presents	(1) His family was once in a very prosperous condition; now reduced to poverty. During the life time of the Rani the family was partly supported out of some funds at	Rs 10 per month recommended for each
Do		Rama Bayi Aged 29	Sister of Serial No 8	"	"	Nil	Do		

a fund for charitable purposes and partly from the occasional presents from the Rani; they now maintain themselves from the funds of the above charity and by the sale of their moveable property. The sister is married to a poor distant relation of the late Raja

(2) Pray that they may be granted a monthly pension like No. 1 as they have no other means of livelihood.

9	A new application Case not Considered in 1894	1. Padma Bai ammani Aged 27 2. Setulam Malik Row Sahib Aged - 9 3. Krishna Baji Ammani Aged - 12.	No. 1 is widow of the son of the brother of another wife of the Rajah 2 is mother of Nos 2 & 3	— " —	about Rs 1,000 (Debts about Rs 500)	Marriage and occasional presents	(1) No reliable information is available to show that these were supported by the late Rani (2) Pray that they may be granted monthly maintenance allowances	Not recommended Not related to the late Rani
10	Do	Sarmavalli ammani Aged 45	Cousin's wife	— " —	Rs 100	Rs 1 a month in addition to marriage & festival presents	(1) Her deceased husband was drawing a pension of Rs 10 a month. The family is now in distress. (2) Says she has got debts and requests to be granted a monthly allowance.	Rs 10 per month recommended

11	A new application Case not Concluded in 1897	M. Krishnamma Boya dumani Aged 30	Cousin's daughter	— — —	Rib	Occasional presents	(1) Given in marriage to a very poor man and is in very bad circumstances (2) Prays she may be granted a monthly maintenance allowance	Not recommended
12	Do	V. Ry Krishnasami Modik Aged 71	Cousin of the Rani and Raja's sister's son in law	30 4 0	Rib	Rs 10 for reasons with occasional presents. But the allowance is said to have been stopped	(1) Means inadequate (2) Requests he may be granted a monthly allowance from the lapsed stipend	Not recommended as he has a moderate pension
13	Do	1. V. Ry Ramachandra Row Kokati Row Sahib Aged about 50 2. V. Ry Sadasiva Row Govinda Row Kokatilu Aged about 43	No relationship	— — — about 500 (Hundreds)	Rib		(1) Rey do not appear to have been dependent on the Rani (2) Request that they may be granted monthly allowances	Not recommended

Tanjore Govt Agent's office
Camp Negapatam. 26th January 98

T Shuttleworth
for Govt Agent

Tanjore Government Agent's Office,
26th January 1898.

From

J. Andrew Esquire
Government Agent,
Tanjore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Political Department,
Madras.

Sir,

In its order, No. 365. Political, dated 31st May 1894, Government sanctioned pensions to certain relations of Her Highness the late Kamakshi Bayi Sahib, disallowing the claims of the other applicants. One of the former and 5 of the latter have since applied to me -- the former for an increase, and the latter for pensions. Particulars are found in the enclosed statement, with my recommendation.

2. In the same statement, I have added details of applications from

other

other relations of Her Highness the late Kamakshi Bayi Sahib whose claims were not considered by Mr Winterbotham in his reference to Government, but who have since applied. I have offered my opinion in the appropriate column.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Tanjore Sam[illegible]

For Government Agent.

[G. / 25]
1,000-22-11-97.

Political Department

C. No. 175

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. CROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.
Claims to pensions of certain relatives of H.H. the late Kamakshi Bayi Sahiba.

FOR ORDERS.

The Government Agent, Tanjore, submits the applications of certain relatives of the late Rani of Tanjore H.H. Kamakshi Bai Saheb for pensions. He encloses a list containing their names, with the necessary particulars, and his own recommendation in each case.

2. Sff, Foreign Dept. letter No. 4644 dated 8th September 1856, [flagged K], ~~of the Govt. of India~~, recorded in E. in C. No. 311 dated 25th September 1856, lays it down that "in the "cases of women of the "Rajah's family to whom "stipends may now be

× Sivaji

"granted, it shall be for the
"Govt. of Madras to enquire,
"upon the death of any of
"them, whether any and
"what relations of the
"deceased were supported
"from the Stipend, and to
"give to such as may be
"judged worthy of con-
"sideration life pensions,
"the aggregate of which
"shall not exceed a
"moiety of that which
"was accorded to the
"deceased"

It was further ruled that the transmission of the pension should stop with such relatives.

3. H. H. Kamakshi Bayi Sahiba was in receipt of a pension of Rs 1000/- per m. on the 27th of January 1892, the date of her death. vide G.O. no. 91, Poll, dated 3rd February 1892, [flagged H.] and her pension was heritable under the above ruling - vide also para 15 of G.O. No 705 Pol. dt 5.12.89.

The Govt. Agent in his letter dated 16th May 1894 recommended ~~allowances~~ pensions of Rs 15/- per mensem each for 3 brothers (or the family, life).

And these were sanctioned in G.O. No 365 Political of 31st May 1894.

These continuations represent in the aggregate a sum of Rs 45 from the continuable portion (Rs 500) of the pension drawn by the late Rani

4. V. Ry. Singaraja Sami Madike, serial No 1 in the list enclosed with the present current, was one of these three brothers alluded to above. ~~who were sanctioned by G.O. No 365, Pol, dated 31st May 1894~~ His present application is for an increase of that pension. So far as can be ascertained it appears that he received a monthly allowance of only Rs 10/- per mensem from the late Rani, so that in being granted a pension of Rs 15/- he has been treated liberally enough. Moreover his two brothers who each of them receive the same pension as himself have not asked for any increase

(besides marriage & festival presents and cloths)

The Govt. Agent therefore does not

"granted, it shall be for the

recommend any increase) and there does not appear to be any reason for sanctioning an increase

NA.

5. Serial No. 3, on the first list, an old lady named M. Yeemuna Bai Ammani, the mother of the above-mentioned V. Ry Singarajasami Madiar, is recommended by the Govt. Agent for a pension of Rupees 10/- per mensem. She has had nobody to depend on for her maintenance except Serial no. 1 and his brothers who appear to have neglected her. She therefore craves a pension of Rs 10/- for her maintenance.

This may get is submitted, be sanctioned

Cml NA.

6. Serial No. 2: V. Ry. Ragoonatha Surayah is in receipt of Rs 43-5-4 from the Stipend of the late Raja. He applied, before this, in a petition dated 1st August 1894 for help the pension enjoyed by the late Rani,

No.

NA.

* Nos. 21, 23, & 25 in Mr. Winterbotham's list flagged P. G.O. No. 365 Pol. of 31st May 1894.

but as he had not the shadow of a claim, his request was refused and he was informed that any further petitions from him on this subject would not be noticed, by G.O. No. 708, Poll., dated 17th October 1894, [flagged E]

7. Serial Nos. 4, 5 and 6 are applicants whose names were submitted in 1894, and in whose cases no recommendation was made. They are very distant relatives of the late Rani, and, with the exception of No. 6 whose deceased wife used to receive an allowance of Rs 5/- per mensem, were none of them in receipt of regular monthly allowances from the Rani. These three applicants are not recommended by the Govt. Agent.

These cases should not, it is submitted, be reopened

Cml NA

8. Serial No. 7 is a new applicant whose case was not considered in 1894. She is not

related to the late Rani
5. and was never in receipt
of any monthly allowance from her. Her claim appears to be preferred now in consequence of the death of her husband who was apparently in receipt of a non. continuable Tanjore pension. She is therefore not re-commended by the Govt. Agent.

Serial No. 8 includes 2 members, a brother and a sister, of Cousin's children of the late Rani. Though they were not in receipt of any regular allowance from the late Rani they were supported by her out of certain charitable funds supplemented by occasional presents. They are now dependent on the above-mentioned funds and the sale of their moveable property. Rs 10/- per month is recommended for each by the Govt. Agent.

10. Serial No. 9 includes the widow of a relative of another wife of the Raja and her two children, They stand in the same relationship to the late Rani as No. 1. who received a pension of Rs 15 per mensem on the Rani's death. The G. A's recommendation appears to be a fair one.

Cmb May be granted.
MA

This is another case that does not arise in connection with the late Rani's stipend

Cmb MA.

a boy aged 9 and a girl of 12. They were neither supported by, nor are related to, the late Rani. The Govt. Agent does not therefore recommend them.

Serial No. 10, is a relative of the late Rani, a cousin's wife. Her deceased husband was drawing a pension of Rs 10/- and she was in receipt of Rs 4/- per mensem from the late Rani. The Govt. Agent therefore recommends her for a pension of Rs 10/-. This recommendation appears, however, to be too liberal. The Rani did not grant this relative more than Rs 4 per mensem in addition to marriage & festival presents and the death of her husband and the consequent lapse of his stipend cannot increase her claims on the Rani's stipend of the Rani who

apparently
died long before her husband
did. It is thought that Rs
6 per mensem would be
ample in this case, to be
continued as a pension or paid
as a bonus according as the
Government Agent might recommend.

12.
Serial No 11. M. Krishnamma
Bayi Ammani is another
new applicant whose
case was not considered
in 1894. She is a cousin's
daughter of the late Rani
but was never dependent
on H. H. The relationship
is also distant. She is
therefore not recommend-
ed by the Govt: Agent.

13
Serial No. 12. V. Ry. Krishna-
Sami Naidik is a cousin
of the Rani. He was
moreover in receipt of an
allowance of Rs 10/- per
mensem from the late
Rani. But the allow-
ance is said to have
been stopped before

17

Rs 5 as pension
MA

18

her death. He pleads
that his means are
inadequate and requests
that he may be granted a
monthly allowance. He
is however, already in
receipt of a monthly
pension of Rs 30/4/-
and therefore not
recommended by the
Govt. Agent.

MA

14.
Serial No. 13 includes 2
members, who are both
new applicants:
(1) V. Ry. Ramachandra
Row, Kokati Row Sahib
and (2) V. Ry. Sadasiva Row
Gyanindra Row, Kokati Row.
They are not connected
with the late Rani by
ties of relationship or
dependence.
Their request to be
granted monthly allowances
is not recommended by
the Agent.

MA

A point for orders is

the date from which such allowances as may be now sanctioned are to run. The Rani died in 1892 and if the usual course is followed there will be 6 years arrears of pension to be paid in each case. The delay in the submission of the present claims is probably due as much to the petitioners themselves as to the Govt. Agents' Office, but from the papers in this file and the current in the linked file these matters appear to be dealt with very slowly by the Govt. Agent. The G.A. has not offered any opinion or suggestion as to the date from which the pensions are to commence.

They may date from 1st January 1898. The Govt. Agent should report whether any arrears are recommended.

MA 25.2.98

Cur. 22.2.98

[G/24] 1,000—22-11-97.

Political DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. ~~365~~, dated ~~31st May 1894~~.

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No. 175 of 1898, dated 30/1, from the Govt Agent Tanjore

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER. No 172 of 7.3.98.

The proposal of the Govt. Agent to grant monthly pensions of Rs. 10 each to M. Rukmuna Bai Ammani, V.Ry. Sikoji Madik Rao Sahib and his sister Rama Bai is sanctioned with effect from the 1st January 1898. The stipend of V.Ry. Sikoji Madik Rao falls within the limits of compulsory commutation and the usual age and health certificates should be submitted in his case.

2. The Govt. is of opinion that a pension of Rs. 5 per mensem will suffice in the case of Dorravalli Ammani, serial No. 10 in the Govt. Agent's list, and this amount is sanctioned accordingly. The amount will be continued as a pension or paid as a bonus according as the Govt. Agent may recommend.

3. The Govt. Agent will report whether he considers that any arrears of pension in the foregoing cases should be paid.

64 His Excellency the Governor in Council sees no reason for sanctioning an increase to the pension of V.Kv. Pitaparaja Sami Mudik, serial No. 1 in the Govt. Agent's list, nor is he prepared to grant pensions to the other persons mentioned in the same list.

Clerk 21.3.98 MA 5.3.98

To the Govt. Agent, Tanjore (C)

" Accountant-General (A)

When given for copying 1/3
When copied 2/3
When examined 7/3/98
When signed
} 189 8

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILMED BY, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.