

Political (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 140.

DATED 24. 2. 98

6.012
25-1-1

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Mahdi Begam and Khair-un-
nisa Begam, Meoras, that their request for the
grant of a stipend out of the pension enjoyed
by their late father Ruku-ud-din Khan is
inadmissible.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1087/99	2501/99						
1202/99							

CURRENT No. 17



From *W. A. Linn. Esq. J9*
Private Secretary &
to the President

No

Dated 19 Feb'y 98

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT

causing a rebellion of 117 nobles
78 from the Deccan Begum of Kar-
war-nissa Begum, Madras
proving to be 'fraternal' in their
kind and of their faithful position.



Bookish Secretary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
183:	19-3-	95	
49:	311	90	
195:	2-4-	78	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Sir Arthur Havelock, the Governor of
Fort Saint George in Council

The Humble petition of Inabadee Begam and
Khairoon misa Begum daughters of the late
Ruknoddin Khan Sahib -

Humbly Sheweth,

That petitioners are the daughters of the late
Carnatic Pensioner No 1127 Ruknoddin Khan who got a pension
of Rs 40/- per month as a dependant of the late Nawab His Highness
Comdatool omra Bahadur the 2nd Independent Ruler of Carnatic.
Ruknoddin Khan died in 1878, and one half of his pension
was given to his widow Ahmaded Begam as a Compassionate
allowance but no provision was made to his daughters.

That petitioners since the demise of their father
were supported by their mother out of the 20 Rupees she was
enjoying but ever since she commenced that allowance petitioners
are left destitute one of them being a widow and the husband
of the other a pauper: petitioners are reduced to straitened circum-
stances and are subjected to continual starvation specially in these
famine stricken days besides they being gocha woman are
precluded by going from door to door for begging.

That Petitioner's late father being an original
grantee his pension should have run at least for two lines as
did the pension of one Mohamed Fakhroodun who was also a
dependant of Nawab Comdatool omra and his children were
granted a Continuation on his demise in 1896 But the petitioners
father considering the distressed circumstances of the members of
his family presented Petitions to the Benign British Government
to Continue allowances to all members of his family out of his
pension. The benign Government after considering the matters
replied that his request will accordingly be complied with.
Papers relating to this effect and the orders passed thereon by the
Government are lodged in the Government Agents Office which

your Excellency will Call for them for kind perusal and Consideration.
That Petitioners applied to the Paymaster of Carnatic
Stipends whose endorsement petitioners beg to enclose in original
for your Excellency's perusal and return.

In conclusion petitioners beg to submit that their case
may be dispose of in the same manner as the Case of the Children
of Mohamed Fakhrooddeen referred to in the foregoing paragraph.

For which act of benevolence and justice
petitioners shall as in duty bound ever pray.

Mark of
Mark of

Mahdee Begum

Mhairoon missa Begum

7, Lethuram Street, Tiruveteeswarupettah
Mount Road, Madras.

21st January 1898.

Number Presented on 11th February 1898.

C. No. 283

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Petition of Mahdi Begam
and Khair-un-nisa
Begam for a stipend out
of their deceased father's pension.

FOR ORDERS.

C.S.
[Handwritten notes and stamps]

Rukn-ud-din Khan, the
petitioners' father was
granted a pension of
Rs 40 per mensem as an
officer of the late Nawab
Imdat-ul-Umra, and
dying in 1878, one half of
his pension was continued
as a compassionate
allowance to his widow
Ahmadi Begam for life
by G.O. No. 195, Pol., dated
2nd April 1878.

VIII (A)

2. The following ^{were} the
facts placed before Govt. on this
~~grounds on which~~
occasion:—
~~stipend was so continued~~
- (1) The family of Rukn-ud-din were entirely dependent on the stipend for their support.
 - (2) His sons though grown up were doing nothing

for themselves.

(3). His two daughters (i.e., the present petitioners) were married

(4). The widow was not in any way provided for

(5). The absolute cessation of the stipend, it was deemed, would inflict great hardship on the family.

3. The widow of Rukn-ud-din commuted her allowance, [G.O. No. 49, Pol., dated 31st January 1890], being permitted to do so as she said she intended laying out the money in landed property and as her stipend was a non-continuable one.

4. The petitioners now state that since their mother commuted her allowance they have fallen into the most abject poverty, as they were dependent on their mother's allowance for

* apparently is
and

* Almadi Begam

(B) 1

(*) It was a purely compassionate
grant
and

their maintenance. One of them is a widow and the husband of the other is a pauper. They urge that their father being an original grantee his pension should have been continued for at least two lives, and cite as a precedent the case of Mahomed Fakhr-ud-din. Orders in this case were passed in G.O.

C. No. 193, Pol., dated 19th March 1890. It is true that the heirs of Fakhr-ud-din, 12 in number, were all granted bonuses out of his lapsed stipend. But this stipend was returned as continuable in Appendix E to G.O. No. 359, Pol., dated 22nd May 1885, whereas the pension of Rukn-ud-din was non-continuable is merely a life grant and should have lapsed to the state of the death of the stipendiary.

5. It is clear that that the petitioners' mother

had the compassionate allowance continued to her solely because she had no other means of support, and that it was never contemplated to help petitioners who at that time were provided for by marriage.

6. ~~The petitioners have not applied through the Paymaster C. S. as required by Para II of Petition Rules C, and it is suggested that the petition be returned to them with the usual endorsement in such cases.~~

It is therefore suggested that petitioners be informed that Govt. is not prepared to grant their request.

Here can, it is submitted, be all no doubt in the case. The Paymaster, has, it will be seen from the enclosure to the petition, already rejected a similar petition. ^{Order} he cannot therefore have found anything special in the case. This is proposed to say -

Petitioners' request is inadmissible

At 24.2.98

Ans 286

Petitioners (720) with enclosure

Dated 25/2/98

When given for copying 24/2
When copied 25/2
When examined 25/2 1898.
When signed

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.