

Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 736.

DATED 17. 11. 97

INDEXED

35/16/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Collector of North Arcot to inform Ramachandran Brij, a Cuttack pensioner, that his request for the grant of a marriage allowance is inadmissible.

17. 11. 97

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 1279

(1) Please see gos S 24785-9-67 + W 298831-10-67. (2) Mention is made in note attached to gos. - please page 11. (3) Mention of Varadaraji Bmij in the note attached. (4) Mention is made to gos. 33 Dn of 19.1.51. (5) Palamunda note from S.

CHIEF SECRETARY
21 OCT 1887
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

From

The Collector Cund
 North Arch 21/11/4

Order
Juni

The petitioner's request is inadmissible and he should be so informed by the Collector of N. Arcot.

No. 3220 *Direct 897*

Dated 19th October 1897

Received 21. 10. 91

Registered 22. 10 - 97

Enclosures *one*

Spare copies

Comb: 24. + 1. 92

Cow. N. Arab (9, 10)

SUBJECT

Reporting on the petition
 of Ramachandra Bhoji
 Cuttack heretofore
 requesting the grant of
 his marriage expenses.
 R.O. for paper
 27/1/97
 In R.O.
 Please mark in the
 margin of the paper the
 no. & date of Refers to
 where made in the
 body of it.

NOTE Please see para 67 (page 21) of the writ in S.O. No. 33 Political of 19. 1. 91 which traces the pension of the petitioner S.O. No. 125 Pol. of 21. 2. 96 related to a funeral allowance and was not intended to be of the general application as the Collector appears to think. The petitioner has, however, no case for a marriage allowance. The precedents quoted by him

his marriage
R.O. for papers
AS 27/7/97
Butch 125
28/10/97
296
Zu R.O.
Please mark in the margin of the papers the no. & date of reference made in the body of it. J
10/10/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
R168:	25.5.97.		
125:	21.2.98	Mis	
33:	19.1.91	Sc	696: 25.5.71
430:	15.8.89	Mis	60: 28.2.71
652:	2.10.88	Sc	304: 24.9.70
275:	19.6.80	"	282: 5.9.70
382:	18.7.78	"	1062: 8.8.70
288/9:	30.5.78	Mis	330: 11.11.69
866:	18.12.76	Sc	287: 16.9.69
676:	7.10.75	Sc	285: 8.4.69
139:	22.3.72	"	131: 20.4.69


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
690:	27.8.57		314: 20.11.67
875:	25.7.57		246: 5.9.67
			953: 7.7.57

North Arcot Collectors Office
CURRENT NO. 1219 P.M. 10 October 1897

Case No 263



The Collector of
North Arcot District
Chittoor



The humble petition of Ramachandra Bungi
a Cuttack Pensioner son of the late Rooki
Thavi - Residing at Vellore.



Wambly Sheath.



That your petitioner beg most respectfully to
acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement No 2215
dated 22nd July 1896, in which it is stated that unless the
petitioner reports the exact month and year in which the
allowance was given, to any of the members of the
Cuttack family, his case will not be recommended
to Government.

That your petitioner beg most prominently
to bring the following facts to your Honor's kind notice,
that his uncle named Varadarajoo Bungi a Rajah of
Gumsoor was granted with an allowance of Rupees
8000 - to defray the expenses of his marriage -
The petitioner cannot exactly mention the month
in which the allowance was granted to him, but it
was given to him in the year 1872, and the fact of
which will be proved on reference from records -
and likewise Venkatasatti Rajoo was granted with
an allowance of Rs 400 - and there are several others
the descendants of the Rajahs of Gumsoor and Raudy
who have been granted with allowances for their marriage
expenses, as the petitioner was young and inexperienced

go 246 G
5-9-67

282 F
5-9-70

go 109 E
22-3-72

422 C
15-8-89

at the time he was living with his Parents in the custody of the Mahal, he having no recollection now to state the exact month and year when such allowances given to them -

That your humble petitioner has given his declaration before the Tahsildar of Vellore in proof of his claim for the allowance in question - Under the pitiful circumstance as above stated the petitioner most humbly beg, your honor will be generously pleased to recommend his case for the favorable consideration of the charitable Government, with a request that he may be granted with some allowance for the celebration of his marriage, in consideration of the dignity being held by his late father named Lutchmon Narayana Renuji Rajoo Pillay as a Jemindar, and the petitioner's mother named Rooki Thavi a Pensioner of Cutchack - As the petitioner is quite helpless having no means to defray the expenses of his marriage now in proposal - For which act of rendering kindness will always be remembered with the highest gratitude as in duty bound ever pray -


Vellore
6 May 1897

Ramachandra Buiji -

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

1897
Pol
Encls. No. 1
To
O. No. 657

1897
Political Dept.
Encls. No. 1
To
O. No. 1279



North Arcot Collectors Office
CURRENT NO. 127 Chittoor 19th October 1897.

From

S. H. Wynne Esq.

Collector of North Arcot

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government,

Political Department

Madras.

Sir

With reference to the Proceedings
of the Madras Government N. No 168, dated
D 25th May 1897, I have the honor to submit
the following report.

2. The petitioner Ramachandra Rengji
who applies for a marriage grant is a
Cuttack pensioner in receipt of a monthly
pension of Rs 12 including house rent.

Enquiry shows that since the death of
his mother Rukhi Devi in 1895 he has been
left without any relative to take care of
his house, that he is therefore trying

W. 32 in appendix
b80 W 33 of 19-1-91

A

get married, and that as he has not saved anything out of his monthly income he applies to Government for help.

3) Government sanctioned a grant of Rs 1500 for the marriage of the Palconda Pensioner Venkatapathi Raju - Vide its order No 430, dated 15th August 1889. - and a grant of Rs 25 on account of the funeral expenses of Puki Devi the petitioner's mother - Vide its order No 125, dated 21st February 1896.

4. In sanctioning the latter of the above allowances, Government ordered that the grant would be the last of its kind so far as that family viz the family of the petitioner was concerned. In the face of this order I cannot recommend the grant of any allowance for the marriage of the petitioner.

The petition received with the

Proceedings under reply is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be

Sirs

Your Most obedient Servant,

W. A. C. M. A. G.
for Collectors

ck

W

C. No. 1279

C.S.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

Cuttack Pensioner

FOR ORDERS.

Ramachandra Punj a Cuttack pension-

A' er, No. 32 in the appendix to G.O.No.33,
Poll., d/ 19th Jany. 1891, and also re-

A'ferred to in para 67 (page 21) of the
printed note attached to that order

prays for the grant of a marriage al-
lowance, quoting in support of his ap-
plication two precedents. The first of

these is that of Varadaraja Punj who
was granted a marriage allowance of

Rs.12,000 in 1867-68, vide letter No.

S 247, Poll., d/ 5th Septr. 1867, G.O.No.

W 298, Poll., d/ 31st Octr. 1867 and G.O.

M No.72 Poll., d/18th March 1868. An ac-
count of Varadaraja Punj is contained

R in para 34 of the printed note attached
to G.O.No.33, Poll., d/ 19th Jan. 1891.

The second precedent quoted by the peti-
tioner is that of the grant of a
marriage allowance of Rs. 1,500 to

Venkatapathi Raja, a Palconda pensioner

vide G.O.No.430, Poll., d/ 15th August

1889. A full account of the pensioner

is

*See G.O. No. 246 of 1867 H.
The deceased successor
of his father Sanunajaya
Punj.*

M.A.

See G.O. No. 246 of 1867

**He had a pension of Rs 400. He was
adopted son of Viriamam Ray.*

M.A.

C

is contained in the confidential cover in the file.

2. The Collector of N.Arcot, in forwarding the petition forwards points out that in G.O.No. 125, Poll., d/ 21st Feb. 1896, the Govt., in making a grant of Rs.25 for the funeral expenses of the petitioner's mother, stated that this grant would be the last of its kind as far as the petitioner's family was concerned, and in the face of this order he is unable to recommend the prayer in the present petition. The order quoted by the Collector does not appear to be of general application, but independently of this- it, the petitioner has no case for a marriage allowance. The two precedents quoted by him are, as will be seen from a reference to letter No. 247 Poll., d/ 5th Septr.1867, and to the printed confidential note, on a different basis as the grantees in those cases were personages of some importance. The following draft order is submitted for approval:--

ORDER 20736 d/ 17-11-97

Mis The petitioner's request is inadmissible and he should be so informed by the Collr. of N.Arcot.

Ans. 11.11.97

Act 16.11.97

To The Collr. of N.Arcot (Q)

Deepd 18/11/97

CA.
S I cannot trace the connection. Bring & explain.
Act 12.11.97.
The status of petr. is quite inferior to that of two persons who obtained grant of marriage allowance.
HA

P
B

When given for copying 16/11
When copied 16/11
When examined 17/11
When signed _____

1897

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED 1897

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.