M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 44

(2) Date of repair 22.9.39

(3) Initials of the Refereneer
27/9

1

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 665 Press
Mis.

Original

Dated 12. 10 1897.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 665

DATED 12. 10. 97

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

List of Titles and Title holders.

Passing orders on the subject of the incorporation of the — in this Presidency in future issues of the Quarterly Civil List.

1.10.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go no	3 Pol	2-1-1913.					

Government (1897) of Madras.

POLITICAL.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 665, 12th October 1897.

OCTOBER.

List of Titles and Title-holders.

Passing orders on the subject of the incorporation of the — in this Presidency in future issues of the Quarterly Civil List.

Further G.O. 3 Pol 2-1-1913.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Order—No. 665, Political, dated 12th October 1897.

The proof of the List of Titles and Title-holders in the Madras Presidency brought up to 1st June 1897 will be forwarded to the Accountant-Gene[illegible]d he will be requested to be so good as to make arrangements for its being embodied in future issues of the Quarterly Civil List after the list of Civil officers who are members of the Orders of the Star of India and of the Indian Empire. The separate index should be maintained.

2. Departments of the Secretariat, heads of departments, and Collectors should inform the Accountant-General of casualties and other changes among title-holders as soon as they occur, and furnish him with the following particulars regarding the recipients of newly conferred titles :—

(1) Creed or caste.
(2) Date of birth.
(3) Place of residence.
(4) Number of villages or area of land owned (in British India only).

3. The Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court will be requested to be so good as to issue instructions for the above information being forwarded in regard to Judicial officers.

4. The Accountant-General will also be desired to cause the list of the members of the Orders of the Star of India and of the Indian Empire published on pages 378 and 379 of the Quarterly Civil List to be completed so far as the Madras Presidency and Native States under the political control of this Government are concerned. Officers who have retired from the service and those who have left India may be omitted.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General, with proof.
,, the Revenue Department.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Local and Municipal Department.
,, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice (with C.L.).
,, the Inspector-General of Police.
,, the ,, of Prisons.
,, the ,, of Registration.
,, the Commissioner of Police.
,, the Surgeon-General.
,, the Resident in Travancore and Cochin.
,, the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.
,, the Director of Public Instruction.
,, the Collector of Madras.
,, all other Collectors.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

D.O. N° 353

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNOR · MADRAS ·
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Ootacamund.

22nd April 1897.

CHIEF SECRETARY
23 APR. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

My dear Price

About the Titles Register, I think, as you suggest in your letter of the 5th of April, that it would perhaps be better if the Private Secretary maintained the Titles Register. It will not, of course, be kept any way better than it would be kept in the Chief Secretary's office; but the Private Secretary, by having to maintain it and handle it, will be better acquainted with its contents.

Yours sincerely,

3

Political Dep

4 dspa fair 2/4/97 5th April 1897

CHIEF
SECRETARIAT,
D. O.
No. 198
Dated, 5-4-1897.

152
5/4/97

DO

My dear Sim,

Political ~~department~~ Nos 221 and 289 containing recommendations for the grant of Native titles to certain Public Works Accountants were submitted to H.E. on the 20th February and 2d March respectively. They have not yet returned ~~to this office~~ - will you ~~kindly~~ please let me know if you intend keeping them.

You have also retained the Titles Register - Am I to understand that you will keep it up in future? ~~This~~ It would certainly be the more convenient arrangement for your office, and not the Chief Secretariat, to maintain it

~~Yours [illegible]~~
Yours sincerely

CWB
1.4.97

Ld
2.4.97.

Apd to 5.4.97

H.A. Sim Esq ICS. Despd.
5/4/97

[G./9]
500—13-2-97.

(1897) Department.

D. O. No. 353

S

~~What currents & Titles are P.S.?~~

~~C.W.S.~~
~~23. 4 97~~

..nged

C.W.S.
wls
23. 4 97

S (i) Keep this D.O.

(ii) There are one or two titles papers with P.S. Please see that these are closed in the Registers as "Transferred to the P.S."

C.W.S.
23. 4 97

Cuos 221 & 465 + 289

From
H. A. Sim Esqre. I.C.S.

No.

Dated 22nd April 1897.
Received " "
Registered 23d " "
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that he will maintain the Titles Register hereafter

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHE

G.O.	No.

665 Pol
12-10-97

5(a) 6

4

D.O. No 419.

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNOR · MADRAS ·

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Ootacamund.
12th May 1897.

F.D. 13.5.97

My dear Price,

His Excellency thinks that it might have some effect in raising the Native titles of honour in the estimation of the Community, if a list of the persons to whom any such titles had been granted were entered in the Quarterly Civil List, with the list of Companions of the Star of India and Indian Empire. Such a list would certainly be of use as a matter of record and for purposes of promotion from one Title to another, and very probably by bringing the names of those entitled to these honorifics before the public, it

D. O. No. 499

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNOR · MADRAS ·
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Ootacamund.

15th June 1897.

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 JUN. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

1

My dear Price,

Will you please look at the Bombay Quarterly Civil List for the 1st April. On page 241. A. of that list you will see that in Bombay they enter all the persons who hold personal native titles. This is just the sort of thing that His Excellency thinks should be entered in the Madras Quarterly Civil List. Only His Excellency

2

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNOR · MADRAS ·

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

189 .

4

at pages 151.C. to page 159.A, you will see that in Bombay it is apparently the practice to give every Sub. judge a title of some sort; & judging from pages 76 to 96.B. pretty well every Revenue official in the Presidency seems to have a title of some sort too!

(1897) Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,
D. O.
No. 330
Dated, 9-7-1897.

D. O. No. 419

D. O.

From

H. A. Sim Esqre. I.C.S.

No.

Dated 12th May 1897

Received 13th " "

Registered 13th " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to take the necessa
steps to have the native
titles printed in the Quarterly
Civil List.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G./75]
300—19-8-96.

NOTE FOR THE Chief ~~UNDER~~ SECRETARY.

With reference to the accompanying D.O. from the Private Secretary it is submitted that a list of Titleholders is published separately. This list contains full particulars as to the date of birth, residence &c of the titleholders. There would, however, be no objection, to the Accountant General ~~publishing~~ embodying the Titleholders in the quarterly Civil List immediately after the members of the Star of India and Indian Empire shown on pages 378 & 379. It should, however, be observed that the list of the members of the Star of India & Indian Empire is by no means complete. Only Civil Officers are mentioned, and important members such as the Maharaja of Vizianagram (G.C.S.I.) and the Raja of Bobbili (K.C.I.E.) are omitted.

The holders of native titles are over 150 in numb

There is no reason why these lists should not be made complete, so far as the Madras Presidency & ~~the~~ native states under the political control of the Madras Govt are concerned, ~~and~~ it would be a decided convenience if this were done. Retired officials residing in Europe might be omitted. The A.G. might be instructed accordingly CMS

14

it is submitted that it would be enough if only their names (without any further particulars) are mentioned in the Civil List ×

× This would mean that the A.G. would keep up one list & the Secretariat another; double work for which there is no necessity. For the reasons given by the P.S. it would seem advisable for the A.G. to keep up the list, in its present form, in the Qy. Civil list. A new edn. of the list is now under preparation & when ready might be sent to the A.G. The list covers about 50 pages with Index and the separate Index might be still maintained at the end of the Civil list. Heads of depts might be instructed to keep A.G. informed of casualties &c.

C.W.S.
15/4/22

W.C.

I do not think it right [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] in the list to be kept. Then the [illegible] which the P.S. [illegible]

17/5/19

P.S.

Prepare a draft order below

C.W.S.
13/5/19

[G./9]
500–15-2-97.

(1897) Department.

D. O. No. 499

D. O.

From

H. A. Sim Esqre. I.C.S.

No.

Dated 15th June 1897

Received " " "

Registered 16 " " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting certain suggestions and improvements in the Civil List as to personal native titles.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

16

Let me see the original

A of G.O. 8264 [illegible]

Nov 1889 that [illegible]

I might [illegible] whether [illegible]

could [illegible] for this [illegible] of

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

after [illegible] ~~letter~~ [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

whether it was [illegible] in

the G.O. [illegible]

28/6/27

Subd. with a revised note

written to M.O.
[illegible] 7-8-6 [illegible] 27 Recd 3-7-27

[G./75]
00—19-8-96.

NOTE FOR THE ~~UNDER~~ Chief SECRETARY.

In Do. No. 499 the Private Secretary points out that in the Bombay Quarterly Civil (page 139) the titles Diwan Bahadur &c are entered before the names and that H.E. considers that the Bombay practice should be followed in this Presidency also.

In the titles list (proof submitted) Hindu titles are prefixed, but Muhammadan titles are affixed but in the civil list both the Hindu and Muhammadan titles are are affixed - Para 2 of G.O. No. 844 Pble d/ 7th Nov 1887 lays down that courtesy titles may either be prefixed or affixed, but that in gazette notifications or departmental nominal lists, it is correct to affix them. In addressing a Hindu gentleman, however, the titles may be prefixed. Column 13 of the Hindu portion of the titles list is therefore correct -

App 7 8 of book file

The G.O. quoted above direc

H.

18

that Muhammadan titles should invariably be affixed. The reason for this distinction between Hindu & Muhammadan titles is not assigned. ~~Submitted~~ The notes in the original of the order are silent on this point. If C.S. approves, it is proposed to send the proof of the titles to the A.G. for incorporation in the next issue of the Quarterly Civil List. ~~The~~ A draft order flagged is submitted. ~~As the titles list~~ proof has been printed at the Govt Press and the Civil List is printed at the L.A. Press, the A.G. has also been requested to ~~alter the position of Muhammadan titles~~

The question of preparing a precedence list for natives & minor officials similar to that on page 308 of the Bombay Qy civil list will be submitted for orders after this titles list is settled.

This is done in the Titles List

but the practice appears to have been instituted after a reference to Diwan Bahadur Srinivasa Aiyangar who also consulted M.R.Ry. Chentsal Rao.

R

Will the C.S. please say if the entry in column 14 on page 37 [illegible] is correct.

I consider that it is. The superior title comes first. Take case of Sir John Ardagh K.C.I.E. C.B. (back) Col Protheroe C.B. C.S.I. CB is the inferior of these two

[G./75]
300—19-8-96.

19

25

Then so done in the Titles list

C

NOTE FOR THE ~~UNDER~~ Chief SECRETARY.

In ~~this~~ D.O. No. 499 the Private Secretary ~~states~~ points out that in the Bombay Quarterly Civil List (page 129) the titles Diwan Bahadur &c. are entered before the names and that H.E. considers that the Bombay practice should be followed in this Presidency also.

In the titles list (proof submitted) Hindu titles are prefixed,* but Muhammadan titles are affixed but in the civil list both the Hindu and Muhammadan titles are affixed. Para 2 of G.O. No. 844 Pub. d/ 7" Nov 87 lays down that Courtesy titles may either be prefi or affixed, but that in Gazette notifications or departmental nominal lists, it is correct to affix them. The same order directs that Muhammadan Titles should invariably b affi

Vide Remarks

* I can't make out how the Titles list [illegible] this point. [illegible] was [illegible] prepared under the [illegible] supervision of the [illegible] [illegible] authority on these points
E.A.M.
4/7/97

A

The Qy Civil list & the Titles list fall under this category
Chib

affixed. The reason for this distinction between Hindu & Muhammadan titles is not assigned. Submitted that for the present proof of the Titles × list may be ~~published~~ struck as it stands and that the Acctt. Genl. may be furnished with a copy of G.O. $\frac{844\ \text{P.S.C.}}{7-11-87}$ with the request that the titles may be prefixed or affixed as stated therein. Meanwhile the Paymaster may perhaps be consulted if there is any reason why Muhammadan titles should be affixed and not prefixed.

If the above is approved the proof of the titles list corrected upto 1st June will be forwarded to the A.G. with draft order for incorporation 4 — the next issue of the that uarterly Civil List.

The question of preparing a precedence list for natives & minor officials similar to hat on page 308 of the Bombay Quarterly ivil List will be submitted for rders after this titles list is ettled.

CWS
25/6/87

× Will the CS please see Column 14 on page 37 re Arcot. & say if it is correct

CWS
24/6/87

This seems unnecessary
CWS

This has been added to (para 1) as regards position of courtesy titles
CWS

The changing of the position of the courtesy titles in the proof will cause unnecessary delay as the proof has been printed at the Govt Press and the Civil List is printed at L. A. Press CWS

D

D.O.

CHIEF
SECRETARIAT,
D. O.
No 230
DO 9 7 1897

Political Dept:

Ootacamund
9 July 1897.

My dear Sim,

~~With reference to~~ Your D.O's of the 12th May and 15th June (herewith enclosed for reference and for favour of return) regarding the incorporation of a list of titleholders in this Presy. in the Quarterly Civil List, You state that H.E. the Governor considers that the Bombay practice , according to which honorific titles are entered before the names of the holders, should be followed in this Presy. In column 13 of our titles list which has now been brought ~~u~~ to 1st June 1897 and proof of which is enclosed, these titles are prefixed in the case of Hindus and affixed for Muhammadans. In our Civil List the titles are affixed in the case of both Hindus and Muhammadans. This procedure is in accordance with para 2 of G.O.No.844 Poll., d/ 7th Novr. 1887 (flagged **A** in the file) which lays down that courtesy titles may either be prefixed or affixed ; that in gazette notifications or departmental nominal lists, it is correct to affix them; that in addressing a Hindu gentleman (which is the form regarding which column 13 of the Titles list is concerned) the titles should be prefixed, and that in the case of Muhammadans they should invariably be affixed. You will see from the note by Srinivasa Raghava Aiyangar (no mean judge of such matters) in the original of that order that the practice was

institut

F

[illegible]
8/7/97

11.A11

instituted after a reference to him and that before announcing his views he consulted Chentsal Rao. If any deviation is to be made now, it will be necessary to modify or cancel this order. A draft order (flagged B in the file) has been drawn up asking the Acct. Genl. to incorporate the titles list in future issues of the Civil List but before ~~doing so~~ issuing it, the above point should be settled. As regards the number of titles held by Sub-Judges and Revenue officials in Bombay to which you allude in the postscript to your D.O. of the 15th June, I am inclined to think that these are honorific titles which in the case of our municipal councillors and local fund board members were abolished some years ago. If H.E. desires the point to be cleared up further, I will make a reference to Bombay.

The question of preparing a precedence list for natives and minor officials similar to that on page 508 of the Bombay Quarterly Civil List, will be taken up separately.

New para

These two latter points need not however delay the subject which is dealt with in the draft G.O. flagged B

Cwd. 6.7.97

Fd 7/7/97

To

H. A. Stuart Esqr. I.C.S. with Encs. Desp'd 9/7/97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Ootacamund,

9th July 1897.

My dear Sim,

Your D.O's of the 12th May and 15th June (herewith enclosed for reference and for favour of return) regarding the incorporation of a list of titleholders in this Presidency in the Quarterly Civil List. You state that His Excellency the Governor considers that the Bombay practice, according to which honorific titles are entered before the names of the holders, should be followed in this Presidency. In column 15 of our titles list which has now been brought to 1st June 1897 and ~~proff~~ proof of which is enclosed these titles are prefixed in the case of Hindus and affixed for Muhammadans. In our

Civil

27

Ootacamund
30th September 1897.

My dear Sir,

Please refer to Price's D.O. of the 9th July last regarding the incorporation in the Quarterly Civil List of the list of Titleholders in the Presidency - It would be convenient if an early decision were come to in the matter as the revised list should be issued in one form or the other.

Yours sincerely
(Sd) G. S. Forbes.

To

H. A. Sim Esqre. I.C.S.
P.S.G ——— } Despd 30/9/97

GOVERNMENT HOUSE.

Ooty- 9th October 1897.

My dear Schmidt,

Mr. Sim has asked me to return the enclosed to you for disposal, as already arranged with you.

Yours Sincerely

Donald Gordon

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The P.S. told me on Friday that the order re incorporation of the Titles list in the Qy Civil list might go forward and that the question of order of names could be left over for future settlement at Madras where Native gentlemen ~~gentlemen~~ of experience could be consulted. If the C.S. will please pass the draft order it can issue now

11.10.97

I have passed it

12/10/97

30

137

~~Public~~

No. 665, ~~POLITICAL,~~ Political, 12th ~~June~~ ~~MAY~~ Oct. 1897.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

ORDER—No. 665, Political, dated 12th ~~June~~ ~~May~~ Oct. 1897.

The proof of the list of Titles and Titleholders in the Madras Presidency brought up to ~~date~~ 1st June 1897 will be forwarded to the Accountant-General and he will be requested to be so good as to make arrangements for its being embodied in future issues of the Quarterly Civil List after the list of Civil officers who are members of the Orders of the Star of India and of the Indian Empire. The separate index should be maintained.

~~In accordance with para 2 of G.O. No. 844 Pol. of 7th Nov. 1887 titles should be [illegible] to the [illegible] & the courtesy [illegible] be affixed to names be corrected before incorporation in the Civil~~

2. Departments of the Secretariat, heads of departments, and Collectors should inform the Accountant-General of casualties and other changes among Titleholders as soon as they occur, and furnish him with the following particulars regarding the recipients of newly-conferred titles :—

(1) Creed or caste.
(2) Date of birth.
(3) Place of residence.
(4) Number of villages or area of land owned (in British India only).

3. The Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court will be requested to issue instructions for the above information being forwarded in regard to Judicial officers.

4. The Accountant-General will also be ~~requested to~~ desired to cause the list of the members of the Orders of the Star of India and of the Indian Empire published on pages 378, 79 and 3 of the Quarterly Civil List to be completed so far as the Madras Presidency and Native States under the political control of this Government are concerned. Officers who have retired from the service and those who have left India may be omitted.

be so good as to

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Acctt. Genl., with proof. Print

12/10/97

14/10/97

Despd 28.10.97.

To the Revenue Department.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Local and Municipal Department.
,, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice (with C.L.).
,, the Inspector-General of Police.
,, the ,, of Prisons.
,, the ,, of Registration.
,, the Commissioner of Police.
,, the Surgeon-General.
,, the Resident in Travancore and Cochin.
,, the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.
,, the Director of Public Instruction.
,, the Collector of Madras.
,, all other Collectors.

Madras

POLIT AL.

Recd. } 1900. Enclosures

Regd. Spare copies

G.O., No. 34, 16th January 1900.

JANUARY.

Muhammad Daud Khan of Kurnool.

Communicating to the Political Agent, Kurnool, letter from the Government of India refusing to afford free pecuniary assistance to — for marriage expenses and house repairs, but offering to make him a loan of Rs. 4,500 under certain conditions, and directing that officer to ascertain and report whether Muḥammad Dáud Khán is desirous of accepting a loan on the conditions laid down.

No. 3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

D—again the following papers :—

G.O., Nos. 413, 414, Political, dated 1st July 1899.

ABSTRACT.—Ordering that the Government of India be addressed regarding the carrying it of certain repairs to the residence of Muhammad Dáud Khán of Kurnool and the grant of n allowance for the expenses connected with the marriage of his daughters.

G.O., Mis. Nos. 554 555, Political, dated 23rd August 1899.

Read—the following letter from Captain S. F. BAYLEY, Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 28th July 1899, No. 2127 I.A. :—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 414, Political, dated the 1st July 1899, forwarding an application for pecuniary assistance from Muḥammad Dáud Khán of Kurnool.

2. I am to enquire what cases of other political stipendiaries, similarly situated to Muḥammad Dáud Khán, are referred to in paragraph 4 of your letter.

Order—Mis. No. 554, Political, dated 23rd August 1899.

The following letter will be despatched.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Letter—Mis. No. 555, Political, dated 23rd August 1899, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department.

I am directed to reply to Captain Bayley's letter, No. 2127 I.A., dated the 28th July 1899, enquiring what cases of other political stipendiaries are referred to in paragraph 4 of my No. 414, Political, dated the 1st idem, which recommended that assistance should be given to Muḥammad Dáud Khán of Kurnool in connection with the marriage of his daughters and the repair of his residence.

2. With regard to marriage allowances, I am to cite the following cases:—

(1) An allowance of Rs. 3,000 was sanctioned by the Secretary of State in his despatch, No. 4, dated the 16th March 1864, to each of the fifteen illegitimate children of the late Raja of Tanjore for marriage expenses, and a further allowance of Rs. 1,000 for the marriage of each of the children of these illegitimate descendants was subsequently granted in the Secretary of State's despatch, No. 9, Political, dated the 31st August 1874.

(2) In 1867, a marriage allowance of Rs. 12,000 was granted to Sri Vardaraj Bunj, the then representative of the family of the Goomsur chiefs (*vide* Government of India, Foreign Department, letter, No. 998, dated the 3rd October 1867, and despatch from the Secretary of State, No. 8, dated the 24th January 1868, communicated with Foreign Department endorsement, No. 230, dated the 3rd March 1868).

The Collectors of
The District Magistrates of

Anantapur.	8
North Arcot, Chittoor.	8
South Arcot, Cuddalore.	8
Bellary.	8
South Canara, Mangalore.	8
Chingleput, Saidapet.	8
Coimbatore.	8
Cuddapah.	8
Ganjam, Chatrapur.	8
Godavari, Cocanada.	8
Kistna, Masulipatam.	8
Kurnool.	8
Madras (when necessary).	6
Madura.	8
Malabar, Calicut.	12
Nilgiris, Ootacamund.	8
Nellore.	8
Salem.	8
Tanjore.	10
Tinnevelly.	8
Trichinopoly.	8
Vizagapatam.	8

3/7/05 B ✓
3-5-06 ✓
18-5-07 ✓

22-8-22 B V ✓

8/9/26 V ✓
W ✓
2.5.27 V ✓
9.6.27 V ✓
3-9-29 I ✓

29-8-30 ? ✓
9-4-31. I ✓
27-6-34 B I
22-9-39 - I ✓

22.11.05 R ✓
4-11-09
4-2-09 ✓
~~7~~ 9-1-11 ✓
11-7-11
2-8-12 13 I ✓
21-12-12
5-2-13 B III
16/12/19 ✓
19/5/31 B I ✓
13.5.33 V
31.10. 33. I
21-5-34. I ✓

8-8-40 T

24-4-41 T ✓
14-8-41 T ✓
10-9-41 T ✓