

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political (1896) Department

CURRENT No. 1432



From

The Paymaster,
Marmatic Stipends
Chepak.
No. 444 of 1896

Order Indt N^o 2267

Referred to the Accountant
General with reference to G.O. No.
850 Political of 27th November
1894.

(By Order)
A. J. Hanuay
3/11/96
Ag. Asst. Secretary

C.S.
4.1.96

Dated 29th
Received 29
Registered 30 } October 1896.
Enclosures one.
Spare copies

Lab. St. George
of "November 1896"

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

no. 177 P.

Resubmitted.
The commutation value noted by the Pay-
master is correct.

K. Harrison

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office }
16 November 1896

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Political Department.

SUBJECT.

Recommending the grant of
continuation of $\frac{2}{3}$ rds. of the Lapsed
stipend of the late Abbasi Begam
amongst her daughters and ^{to} husband.
The share of the latter may be paid
in lump sum.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
E. C.	451	Pl. l.	16/7/58
F. Q.	163	- " -	16/7/66

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

HEALTH CERTIFICATE.

No. 135

Madras, 25 Oct 1896.

I do hereby certify that I have examined Mutappa Muttai
a candidate for employment in the Carnatic Stipendiary Department, and
cannot discover that he has any disease, constitutional affection, or bodily infirmity, except

I do not consider this a disqualification for employment in the Office of

His age is, according to his own statement, 34 years
and by appearance about 38 years. He bears Vaccination marks. of small pox

Marks for identification.

a scar on the wrist of left hand
a mole below the right eyelid

His two feet & hands
appear fair

A. S. Thomas
Surgeon-Major,
Surgeon, 4th District.


No. 139 of 1896.

From

The Medical Officer
Rajahmundry

Dated 25th } October 1896.
Recd 27th }

Certifies that Abnaka Mustafa
is in good health & his age
is 38 years, he has marks
of small pox



No. 444 of 1896. Paymaster (armatic Stipends)
office. Chepat, 29th October 1896.

From,

Colonel A. S. Stewart I.S.C.
Paymaster (armatic Stipends)
Chepat,

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to report the
death on the 11th of October 1896 of (armatic Stipendiary
No. 390 E, Akhasi Begam who was drawing a
monthly stipend of Rs. 14 - - - by G.O. No. 451
dated 16th July 1858 and G.O. No. 163 dated 16th July 1860.

2. The deceased has left one
daughter named Jamib-nw-misa Begam aged
about 11 years, and a husband named Mustafa
Musam who being in indigent circumstances was
solely dependant on the deceased.

3. As the stipend enjoyed by the late
Akhasi Begam is returned "Continuable", vide G.O.
page 5, part I appendix A, to G.O. No. 150 dated 6th
March 1894 "Political", I beg to recommend the
confirmation of the usual two-thirds of the lapsed
stipend vizt. Rs. 9-5-4 amongst the two heirs
of the deceased as apportioned below:-

1. Jamib-nw-misa Begam .. Rs 7- - -
2. Mustafa Musam Rs 2-5-4
- Total Rs 9-5-4

4. The share of No. 1 to be paid in
the shape of monthly stipend and that of No. 2 to
be capitalized. The usual health and age certificate of

the latter is herewith enclosed. He will receive a
bonus of Rs. 290 as shown below:-

1. Stipend Rs. 3908
2. Name, Mustafa Husain
3. Age in 1896 38 years
4. Amount per annum Rs 2-5-4
5. Amount per annum Rs 28-4-4
6. Value of annuity 10.353
7. Bonus Rs 290
8. Arrears from 12th to 31st October 1896
Rs. 1-8-0

10.353
28
289.884

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most obedient servant

W. S. Stewart.

Colonel
Paymaster General
Treasurer.

Encl.

Political (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1517

Ref

(1) Put up precedents for husband being granted portion of his widow's continuance.

Slipend

(11) Count of Dir's Dec. No 2 of 1846 and No 1 of 1850

(S. C)

(1) Please see for 715 F Cms 21. 11. 96
25. 10. 95 +

From The Accountant General

Order 775 E 6. 11. 94 II. Dis recd from R.O. 23/11 + flagged G + H
24/11.

No. J.A.D.
177.8

Dated 16/11
Received 17/11 } 96
Registered 18/11

Enclosures C. no 1432 & encl

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Resubmitting with remarks letter from the Paymaster Carnatic Stipends re the Lapsed Stipend of the late Abbasi Begum

11. Put up
2/1/96

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G. 9]
200-29-7-46.

(1896) Department.

D. O. No. 604

From Mr. H. S. Stewart.

D. O.

No. 48.

Dated

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

30-10-96

SUBJECT.

stating that he sent a letter (No 444) direct to Govt. instead of submitting it thro' the Accountant General and requesting that it may either be ret'd. to him or sent to the Accountant Genl.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

A.O.

No. 48 of 1896.

Paymaster, Carnatic Stipend's Office,

Madras 30th Oct. 1896

CHIEF SECRETARY

My dear Sir,
I regret to say a letter
No. 444 of 29th Oct. 1896 was
sent in a despatch with you
direct yesterday instead of
being submitted to the
Accountant General vide G.O. No. 850
of 27-11-94.

Will you kindly return
the above mentioned
letter, or send the Accountant
General for verification
Yours sincerely
H. S. Stewart

Cd. See to this
To the Hon. Secy. to Govt.
Chief Secy to Govt.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

SUBJECT.

THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

CARNATIC STIPENDIARIES

Note
The previous rules have been ~~repealed~~
FOR ORDERS. *repealed in chronological*
order in favour of reference
Clus
14/12

To had been made the basis of action in this case? If so, does that rule take account of widowers?

By the Paymaster's proposal, the daughter (assuming that Lord Clive's male heirs do not recognize widowers), ~~the daughter~~

Because he chose to be idle

(Intd) A.T.A.

will have to this point of view?

In the cases quoted, either no children were left or the husband was old and feeble. In this case, there is a daughter, but the husband is only 34 years old.

A.H.

20.12.96

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, submits proposals regarding the pension of the late Abbasi Begam, an 1801 Carnatic stipendiary whose pension (Rs.14) is continuable -vide No.1 on page 5 of G.O.No. 150, d/ 6th March 1894- The deceased has left a daughter, Jamil-un-nisa Begam, aged 11 years and a husband, Mustafa Husain, who was solely dependent on her, and the Paymaster recommends the continuation of two-thirds of the lapsed stipend- this being the proportion of 1801 stipends which is usually continued- to be distributed as follows:--

1. Jamil-un-nisa Begam - - -	Rs.7-0-0
2. Mustafa Husain - - - - -	Rs.2-5-4
	Rs.9-5-4

The share of Mustafa Husain falls within the limits of compulsory commutation and the usual health and age certificate in his case is enclosed. The bonus payable to him will be Rs.290.

2. As precedents in support of the continuation of widows' stipends to their husbands, please see G.O.No. 715, d/ 25th Octr. 1895, where Haji Zuhur-ud-din Khan Bahadur, the husband of Ammat-ul-Ali Vali-un-nisa Begam, was granted Rs. 5 out of the Rs. 25 continuable from her stipend, and G.O.No. 775, d/ 6th Novr. 1894, where Muhammad Abdul Qadir was granted Rs. 5 out of the stipend of his wife Ammat-ul-kalim Kalim-un-nisa Begam. It is proposed to say:--

ORDER No 56 of 19-1-97
His

The Paymaster's proposals are & sanctioned.

(Intd.) C.M.S.

26-11-96

17.12.96

To.

The Paymaster, Carnatic
Stipends (d of both)
,, Accountant General (A of first
and Q of second)

Put up G.O.No. 87, d/ 13th Feb:
1890, with original notes. Was that the
first case of the kind?

(Intd.) A.T.A.

27-11-96.

1. G.O. 87 of 13.2.96 (agreed J) is submitted.

2 (a) In G.O.No. 835 Political, d/ 20th
November 1885, Ghulam Ali Khan Bahadur
was granted a pension of Rs. 3 per mensem
out of the continuable stipend of Rs. 33-14-3
per mensem enjoyed by his wife Rahim-un-
nisa Begam.

(b)

(b) Muhammad Fakhr Husain ~~Sahib~~, a
Carnatic stipendiary drawing Rs. 50 per
mensem, was granted a pension of Rs. 4-12
per mensem out of the continuable stipend
of Rs. 12-3-0 enjoyed by his wife Karim-un-
nisa Begam, nikahi daughter of the late
Itibar-ud-daulah Bahadur, who died with-
out issue. This sum was commuted-vide G.
No. 345 Poll., d/ 6th Novr. 1887.

(c) Muhammad Baha-ud-din Husain ~~Sahib~~
was granted a pension of Rs. 10 per mensem
out of the continuable stipend of Rs. 20
per mensem enjoyed by his wife Daulat-un-
nisa Begam, an 1855 stipendiary, shadi
daughter of the late Itibar-ud-daulah
Bahadur who died childless. This pension
was commuted -vide G.O.No. 87 Poll., d/ 15
Feb: 1890.

(d) In G.O.No. 775 Poll., d/ 6th Novr.
1894, Muhammad Abdul Qadir was granted a
pension of Rs. 3-3-0 per mensem out of the
continuable stipend of Rs. 15 per mensem
enjoyed by his wife Ammat-ul-Kalim Kalim
un-nisa Begam, an 1801 stipendiary, and this
pension was commuted.

(e) In G.O. No. 715 Poll., d/ 25th
Octr. 1895, Haji Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad Khan
Bahadur, was granted a pension of Rs. 5 per
mensem out of the continuable monthly
stipend of Rs. 50 enjoyed by his wife Ammat-
ul-ali Vali-un-nisa Begam, an 1855 stipend-
iary

(Intd.) C.M.S.

4-12-96

Has the principle been definite

accepted that a widower can get part of his wife's pension or was the first case allowed to pass unnoticed and become a precedent?

(Intd.) A.T.A.

5-12-96

The precedents previously quoted were selected as being subsequent to 1835, that is to the list in G.O. Nos. 337 & 22nd May 1835 which were drawn up by Col. Baynes and accepted by Govt. as guides ^{for} the future management of Carnatic stipends.

2. It will be seen from the original of G.O. No. 335 Poll., d/ 20th Novr. 1835, that the continuation to the husband in that case was based on three precedents. These were

(f) G.O. No. 379, d/ 28th June 1876, where Syed Habeeb was granted Rs. 6 from the stipend of his wife Khamr-un-nisa Begam, an 1801 stipendiary.

(g) G.O. No. 335 Poll., d/ 9th May 1832, where Muhammad Khirulla, Khan the husband of Nur-Jehan Begam, was granted a compassionate allowance of Rs. 15 per mensem. This, it may be noted, is scarcely in point, as it appears from the papers that this stipendiary had already commuted a stipend granted to him.

(h) G.O. No. 324, d/ 8th Sept: 1832, where Fiaz Husain Khan was granted Rs. 8 from the stipend of his wife Husaini Begam, an 1801 stipendiary.

3. The 1801 stipends to which class

E'

K

A' X

Children
Special case Husband 60

B'

Children Husband 65 or 70

C' X
Husband 80
Both Khamr-un-nisa Begam (left) and Husaini Begam (left) children

class the late Abbasi Begam belonged, are governed by para 14 of the Despatch from the Court of Directors d/ 11th March 1846. Please see also para 6 of Col. Baynes' letter on page 3 of G.O. No. 357, d/ 22nd May 1835. The idea was that the claims of all who were dependent on a deceased stipendiary should be considered & and there seems to be nothing to bar the claim of a husband provided that he was dependent upon his wife for support. This appears from the Paymaster's report in the present instance to have been the case with the husband of Abbasi Begam.

The principle does not appear to have been discussed before

Club
14.12.96

It would be objectionable to refuse to allow a widower to share in the allotment of his deceased wife's pension.

In the present case the allowance must be capitalised, ^{and} the recipient will be removed from the stipendiary list after payment.

At 17.12.96

C. No. 1432 & 1517

H.E. the Governor

With reference to
 H.E. the Governor's note of the
 20th December 1896, it is
 submitted that Lord Clive's
 scale [for which please see
 G.O. No. 778 Political of
 29th November 1888] does not
 take account of widowers &
 that the grant to a widower
 is, like that to a dependant,
 a compassionate grant.

There are in the
 precedents quoted in the
 previous notes three cases
 of children and widowers
 sharing and one case of
 grand children and a widow
 sharing.

I. Ammat-ul-Vali Vali
un-nisa Begam [G.O.
 No. 715 Political of 25.10.95]
 being an 1855 stipendiary,
 one-half of her stipend [Rs 50]
 was continued. The widower's
 share was included in this
 moiety.

II. Khamr-un-nisa Begam
 [G.O. No. 379 Pol. of 28.6.76]
 being an 1801 stipendiary
 two-thirds of her pension

But now appears that the daughter
 has no claim, and as there are
 precedents for recognizing widowers,
 the order originally proposed is
 sanctioned.

Despatch order
 originally drafted
 marked X

Cut
 18.1.97

18/1/97

Husband 54.

Husbands age not stated.
 A'

[Rs 52] would ordinarily be continued. Rs 32 or a little less than $\frac{2}{3}$ was divided amongst the widower, the son & the daughter.

III. The ~~inter~~ stipend of Husaini Begam, an 1801 stipendiary, was continued in full, the husband's share being included in the amount thus continued [G.O. No. 524 Pt. of 8. Sept. 1882]

Husband age does not appear.

IV. Ration-in-nisa Begam an 1801 stipendiary [pension Rs 38. 14. 2]. Here a little more than $\frac{2}{3}$ was continued—Rs 28 instead of Rs 25. 14. 9—The widower receiving Rs 8 out of the Rs 28. The slight excess over the two-thirds was admitted apparently to round the shares to even rupers. [G.O. 635 Pt. of 20. 11. 85].

K. Husband age 60.

It is submitted that as the continuation of 1801 stipends is governed by para 14 of the Court of Directors' despatch of 11th March 1846, the daughter in the present case has no claim to the full two-thirds. This proportion is usually continued but it is intended to cover all grants from the original stipend

14. 1. 97.

Clus 8 2. 1. 97.

When given for copying 1/197
When copied 30/1
When examined 1897
When signed

HEAD AGAIN G.O., No. 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only