Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 550

DATED 17.8.97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Tanjore Pensions.

Directing that the stipend of Rs 8.2-a granted to the daughter of the late V. Ry. Rama Chundra Ingle Rao Sahib be added to that already sanctioned to her brother V. Ry. Harindra Manai Ingle Rao; and requesting the Govt Agent to report if the stipends granted to the widow & daughter of the late V. Ry. Rama Chundra Ingle Rao Saheb have not yet been Commuted, & to Expedite the Submission of the Age & health Certificates in the Case of the wid

1/1897

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1897} of Madras.

POLITICAL.

Recd.
Regd.
} 1897.
Enclosures
Spare copies

G.O., No. 550, 17th August 1897.

AUGUST.

Tanjore Pensions.

Directing that the stipend of Rs. 8–2–0 granted to the daughter of the late V. Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao Sahib be added to that already sanctioned to her brother V. Ry. Harirudra Manai Ingle Rao, and requesting the Government Agent to report if the stipends granted to the widow and daughter of the late V. Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao Sahib have not yet been commuted, and to expedite the submission of the age and health certificates in the case of the widow.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 582, Political, dated 3rd September 1895.

ABSTRACT.—Directing the continuance of a moiety of the stipend of the late V. Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao Sahib to his son Harirudra Ingle, and to his widow and daughter; and requesting the Government Agent, Tanjore, to report the amount of bonuses payable to the widow and daughter, whose stipends fall within the limits of compulsory commutation.

———

READ—also the following papers :—

From V. Ry. HARIRUDRA MANAI INGLE RAO SAHIB, Tanjore, dated 22nd May 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Praying for the grant of his father's pension in full.

———

From J. ANDREW, Esq., Government Agent, Tanjore, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 27th July 1897, No. 68.

With reference to Government endorsement, No. 1188, Political, dated 4th June 1897, on the petition of V. Ry. Harirudra Manai Ingle Rao Sahib, I have the honour to report that the petitioner is the grandson of V. Ry. Prataparudra Manai Ingle Rao Sahib, one of the nephews of the late Raja, to whom a monthly pension of Rs. 260 was granted under G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859.

2. He died in 1893, leaving two sons V. Ry. Ramachandra Rao Ingle Sahib and Yesavanta Rao Ingle Sahib, to each of whom a pension of Rs. 65, or Rs. 130 to both, being a moiety of the original pension, was granted under G.O., No. 332, Political, dated 22nd May 1894.

3. Yesavanta Rao Ingle is now living, but Ramachandra Rao Ingle died soon after the grant of pension to him. The present Government petitioner is the son of the latter.

4. Following the practice then observed in granting pensions to the descendants of the immediate relations of the late Raja, the petitioner ought to have been granted the full moiety Rs. 32½ of his father's pension of Rs. 65 as recommended in paragraph 3 of Mr. Thomson's letter, dated 24th June 1895, No. 82. Government, however, in its Order, No. 582, Political, dated 3rd September 1895, decided to allot the moiety of the stipend of the deceased in the following manner, viz. :—

	Rs.
Petitioner (son)	16¼
Widow	8⅛
Daughter	8⅛
Total ..	32½

5. The petitioner's mother Yamuna Bai, being dissatisfied with this new mode of assignment, applied to the Government Agent on the 15th July 1896 to move Government for a reconsideration of its order, and she quoted in support of her request, the cases of Hari Rao Mohite and Atmaram Mohite, grandsons of another nephew of the late Raja, to whom the whole moiety of their father's pension was granted (G.O., No. 328, dated 23rd April 1887).

6. Mr. Stokes thereupon recorded his opinion that this change on the part of Government in its mode of allotting stipends should be brought to its notice. These papers came to me for final disposal on 1st December 1896, when I refused to submit the appeal to Government on the ground that no new facts were shown therein to warrant its submission.

7. In this connection, I beg to state that the cases of Hari Rao Mohite and Atmaram Mohite are exactly analogous to that of the petitioner. They belong to the third and last generation, and their pensions will cease with them as is the case with the petitioner's pension. It is clear that though petitioner suffers from the Government change in the present case, in other cases daughters and widows would benefit, especially if owing to ill-feeling or other causes the son should happen to be indisposed to help them, or if the son were to predecease his mother or sister. If all the stipend is given to the son, nothing would be left for the widow or daughter on his death. The order having been deliberately made, I do not see sufficient reason for cancelling it.

8. The petitioner's sister died on 11th September 1896 and if Government is willing, her share might, as a matter of grace, go to petitioner, as he is the first to suffer by the new departure.

9. The endorsement under report is herewith returned.

———

ORDER—No. 550, Political, dated 17th August 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the principle enunciated in paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 582, Political, dated 3rd September 1895, should be maintained. The recommendation contained in paragraph 8 of the letter from the Government Agent, Tanjore, read above is approved and, as a matter of grace, the stipend of Rs. 8–2–0 granted to the daughter of the late V. Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao Sahib in paragraph 2 of the order quoted above, will be added to that already sanctioned to V. Ry. Harirudra Manai Ingle Rao. It is understood that the stipends granted to the widow and daughter of the late V. Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao have not yet been commuted. The Government Agent will be requested to report on this point and to expedite the submission of the age and health certificates in the case of the widow.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Government Agent, Tanjore.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

[Poll. 7]
1,000—25-11-96.

C. No. 167 agency of 1897

Political (1897) Department.

GOVERNMENT & BOARD REGISTER
No. 1078 OF 1897

CURRENT No. 676

Endt No. 1188 d/4th June 1897.

Referred to the Government Agent, Tanjore, for favour of remarks - The date of the death of the sister of the memorialist should be stated.

Chief Secretary

Order Cwd. 31-5-97.

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE. 7 JUN. 1897 TANJORE.

From V. Ry. Harirudra Manai Pas
Inglo Pas
Tanjore

No. —

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

Dated 22nd } May 1897
Received 25 }
Registered 25 - May 97.
Enclosures 2
Spare copies

Reference no 1079/357
Encl 2

DESPATCHED 14 JUN. 1897

SUBJECT.

Praying for the grant of his father's pension in full.

Previous papers wanted

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
260.	21. 4. 97	Mis	
582.	3. 9. 95	Judl	
336.	27. 5. 92	Mis	
640/1	28. 10. 91	Mis	
496.	7. 8. 91	Mis	
393.	8. 8. 90		
123/4.	5. 3. 90		
563.	24. 11. 79		
399.	27. 4. 77		
Encl.	4. 10. 58		

G.O. 705. 5. 12.59.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

80. 550 Pol
80. 582
8 17-8-97

TO

His Excellency the Governor in Council,

FORT St. GEORGE,

MADRAS.

The humble memorial of V. Ry. Harirudra Manai Rao Ingle Rao, son of V. Ry. Ramachandra Rao Ingle Rao Sahib and grandson of V. Ry. Prataprudra Manai Rao Ingle, who was the grandson of Serfojee Maharajah by his daughter and nephew of Sivaji Maharajah, the last king of Tanjore.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your Excellency's humble Memorialist is the only son of V. Ry. Ramachandra Rao Ingle Rao Sahib, the eldest son of the above-named V. Ry. Prataprudra Manai Rao Ingle; that, on the demise of his father in December 1894, Yamuna Boyee Ammani, as the Memorialist's mother and guardian, petitioned the Government, in virtue of their pledged promise, to continue her husband's pension in the name of the Memorialist, her son; and that it is not here out of place to urge on their consideration the fact that in the list of persons, submitted by Mr. Phillips, the Commissioner of Tanjore, describing their connection with the immediate family of the late Rajah, the claims of the Memorialist's grand-father to heritable pension have been fully admitted.

A

80 582
3.9.95

That, in the order of Government on the petition persented by Yamuna Boyee Ammani, as the Memorialist's mother and guardian, communicated to her through the Sirkele, the moiety of her husband's pension, which should have been settled in full on the Memorialist, the proper inheritor, is apportioned among herself, her daughter and the Memorialist; that this is contrary to the practice in vogue, and is a novel departure from the principles enunciated by the Government for the assignment of pensions to the members of the family attached to the royal house of Tanjore; that instances are not wanting to show among the pensioners of the Raj that exactly under similar circumstances no such apportionment as the one proposed

B

Paragraph of
80 582 B.
3.9.95.

in the case of the Memorialist has taken place, and that, to meet the ends of justice and the expressed wishes of the Government on the subject, he should be treated with more consideration and allowed to maintain the prestige due to his royal lineage.

That, since Yamuna Boyee Ammani's application to the Government for the continuance of her husband's pension in the name of her son, the Memorialist has had the misfortune to lose his sister; that the untimely death of his father has stinted his resources and straitened his circumstances, plunging his family into indescribable misery and exposing him to the clutches of his numerous creditors, whose demands, in his abject state of poverty, he is not able to satisfy, though the recorded pledges of the Government are 'that no person of the Rajah's family shall be placed in a worse condition, so far as stipend can secure this, than heretofore'.

That the Memorialist's father did not virtually enjoy the fruit of his pension, dying on the 24th of December 1894, four months after he was made a pensioner; that the sufferings the Memorialist has been made to endure in consequence are such as to exceed all mention; that he is involved in pecuniary difficulties brought on by his having to maintain his family and retainers; that he has had no source of income ever since his father's death; that the importunities of his creditors are literally unbearable and that he appeals to the mercy of the benign Government to extend to him the same benevolent hand that has all along protected his ancestors by settling on the Memorialist, in consideration of the circumstances herein mentioned, his father's full pension of Rs. 65 per mensem and by relieving him from the very urgent claims of his numerous creditors.

That, so far as stipend is concerned, the Government lose nothing by granting the Memorialist his father's pension as prayed for, compatibly with the spirit of the pension rules and the time-honored custom obtaining in the Tanjore Palace as elsewhere.

That Tanjore is no conquered territory, but that it is the territory of an ally and benefactor, whose descendants have fallen from their once high estate; and that it is, therefore, incumbent on those who have authority over them to treat them with affability, condescension and paternal kindness, especially in cases like the one which affects the interests, nay, the very existence of your Excellency's Memorialist.

And that, in conclusion, your Excellency's Memorialist humbly begs that your Excellency will be pleased to reconsider his case and order the full pension of his deceased father, Rs. 65 per mensem, to be settled on him, his only son, and at the same time to relieve him from his pecuniary difficulties.

For which act of charity and kindness, your Excellency's humble Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray. He begs to remain your Excellency's most obedient servant.

Tanjore Palace,
March, 1897.

By Harirudra Manay Inglay Rao Sahib

ENCLOSURE 1. (TRUE COPY.)

Sirkele's Office, Tanjore.

En. Nil. *Despatched 9th October* 1895.

Reference No. 357 / Agency. of 1895.

From

K. GOVINDA ROW,
Sirkele, Government Agency, Tanjore,

To

M. YEMUNA BOI,
Widow of late V. Ry Ramachandra Row Ingle Row Sahib,
Palace, Tanjore.

Madam,

In the matter of your application to the Government Agent for the continuance of the pension of your husband in the name of your son, I have the honour to inform you that the Government has been pleased to grant the following pensions, and request you will be good enough to let me know at an early date when it will be convenient to you and your daughter to be examined by Mrs. Gahan for the purpose of granting you both certificates of health and age as the grant of pension made to you and to your daughter is subject to compulsory commutation. A very early reply is awaited.

Harirudra Ingle (son)	Rs. 16—4—0.	
Yemuna Boi (Widow)	Rs. 8—2—0.	Subject to compulsory commutation.
Daughter	Rs. 8—2—0.	

I have the honour to be,
Madam,
Your most obedient servant,
(*Signed*) K. GOVINDA ROW.
Sirkele.

ENCLOSURE 2. (TRUE COPY)

Tanjore Palace.
15*th July*, 1896.

From

M. Yemuna Boi, Widow of late V. Ry. Ramachandra Row Ingle Row Saheb, Palace, Tanjore.

To

K. Govinda Row, Sirkele Government Agency, Tanjore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 9th October 1895, No. 357, I have the honour to state that I regret very much that the Honorable Government should, to the misfortune of myself and my poor children, adopt a course in the matter of allowing pension to my lamented husband's family quite against the custom hitherto observed in similar cases among the relations of the Royal family of Tanjore, in as much the Government want to split the moiety of my husband's pension of Rs. 65 per month as noted in the margin and subject it to compulsory commutation, which procedure, I beg to state, is highly injurious to the interest of the said family.

I dare say you, as an officer of vast experience, in all matters connected with the Tanjore Palace, know full well that in the case of the late V. Ry. Manojee Mohithai Row Saheb's family, who was also the nephew of the late H. H. Sivajee Maharajah just like my father-in-law the late V. Ry. Prataparudra Manai Ingle Row Saheb, and who was allowed a similar pension of Rs. 260 a month like the said Ingle Row Saheb, on his (Mohethai's) death, Government in accordance with the rules contained in G. O dated 5th December 1859 No. 705 distributed a moiety of Rs. 260, that is, Rs. 130 to his 2 sons named Yesavantha Row Mohethai and Mesji Row Mohethai at Rs 65 each, just as they did to my husband and his brother V. Ry. Yasavantha Ingalai, the sons of the said Ingle Row Saheb, and that again on the death of the above. Mesji Row Mohethai that they (Government) will be kind enough to allow a moiety of his pension of Rs. 65, that is, Rs. 32½ to Harri Row Mohethai. Of course, there are other instances also which you know of; while such has been the rule as well as the long standing custom, it grieves to my mind seriously that in the case of my only poor son Harirudra Manai Ingle Row Sahib a different interpretation should be put to the long established rules and he be deprived of his pension of Rs. 32½ to which he is properly and justly entitled.

In conclusion I cannot but crave you as a gentleman of a very large experience in minute details of fixing the rate of pension and other political matters of the Tanjore Royal family that you should be pleased to lay the whole matter with all the precedence and usage vividly before the Government Agent, so as to enable him to recommend to the Government to confer on my poor son the pension of Rs. 32½ without splitting it, nor subjecting it to compulsory commutation.

(Signed) M. YEMUNA BOI.

ENCLOSURE 3. (TRUE COPY)

Sirkele's Office, Tanjore.

Despatched 19*th January*, 1897.

Enc. Nil.

Ref. No. $\frac{226}{\text{Agency}}$ of 1896.

From

K. Govinda Row,
Sirkele, Government Agency,
Tanjore.

To

M. Yemuna Boi,
Widow of late V. Ry. Ramachandra Row
Ingle Row Sahib.

Madam,

With reference to your letter dated 15th July 96, I have the honor to inform you under instructions from the Government Agent that he has decided that there is no room to ask Government for a reconsideration of their order granting the pensions noted below.

To your son Rs. 16—4—0.
To yourself Rs. 8—2—0.
To your daughter Rs. 8—2—0.

I have the honor to be,
Madam,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) K. GOVINDA ROW.
Sirkele.

re Ry Hariudra Manay Ingley Rao Sahib.

CURRENT NO 676.

TO

His Excellency the Governor in Council,

FORT St GEORGE,

MADRAS.

CHIEF SECRETARY
25 MAY 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The humble memoriol of V. Ry. Harirudra Manai Rao Ingle Rao, son of V. Ry. Ramachandra Rao Ingle Rao Sahib and grandson of V. Ry. Prataprudra Manai Rao Ingle, who was the grandson of Serfojee Maharajah by his daughter and nephew of Sivaji Maharajah, the last king of Tanjore.

Most Respectfully Sheweth.

That, with reference to the Order of the Government, Political Department, No. 260, dated 21st April, 1897, on the enclosed printed petition presented by the Memorialist, he begs to submit the accompanying copies of the application made to the Government Agent, Tanjore, through the Sirkele, and the order passed by him.

That the Memorialist, as an immediate member of the family of the late Rajah of Tanjore, is wholly dependent on the liberality of the Government.

That the rule for the award of pensions to the members of the royal house has, in the Memorialist's case, been departed from and is in direct opposition to the expressed wishes of the Government and the practice obtaining in the Palace.

And that, in conclusion, the Memorialist prays that your Excellency will be pleased to order his father's pension to be settled on him without splitting it among the members of his family, which now consists of the petitioner himself and his mother.

For which act of charity and kindness, your Excellency's humble Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray. He begs to remain your Excellency's most obedient servant.

Tanjore Palace,
22nd *May* 1897.

V. Ry Harirudra Manay Inglay Rao Sahib.

Political (1897) Department.

written to Mad for Govt 332 Pol
1922. 5. 94 + papers.
Mee
4/8/97

CURRENT No. 973

CHIEF SECRETARY
-2. AUG. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From

The Government Agent
Tanjore

Order

No. 68

Dated 31st July 1897

Received 2 August 97

Registered 3

Enclosures Pol C. No 576 dated.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the petition of V. Rya. Harisundra Manai Inglai Row Sahebs praying for the grant of his father's pension in full.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C 13-8-97
R 14-8-97

[G. 25]
1,000—8-5-97.

Political DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 676 & 973

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, O.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, O.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Tanjore Pensions

FOR ORDERS.

A

X

The above papers concern a petition from V.Ry. Harirudra Manai Ingle Rao, grandson of Tanjore pensioner V.Ry.Prataprudra Manai Rao Ingle. The last named died in Decr. 1893 and monthly pensions of Rs.65 were sanctioned to each of his sons, these stipends being declared continuable for one more generation (G.O.No.552 Poll., d/ 22nd May 1894 on page 2 of G.O.No.582 Poll., d/ 3rd Sep 1895) One of the sons, V.Ry.Ramachandra Rao Ingle, died soon after the grant of pension to him and on his death it was decided (G.O.No.582 Poll., d/ 3rd Septr. 1895) that a moiety of the Rs.65 drawn by him should be continued as follows:--

	Rs. as
(1) Harirudra Manai Ingle Rao --	16-4-
(2) Widow ----------	8-2-
(3) Daughter ----------	8-2-

the stipend of the widow and daughter being, on production of the necessary age and health certificates, compulsorily commuted. It is against this decision that the present petition which is submitted by

by the son No (1) above is directed. The petitioner alleges that the procedure under which the continuable portion of his father's stipend was split up and not granted to himself in full is against precedent. The Govt Agent, who set out the facts clearly in his letter, reports that the mother being dissatisfied with the mode of allotment applied to the Govt. Agent in July 1896 to move for a reconsideration of the order, quoting in support of her request the case disposed of by G.O.No.328 Poll., d/ 23rd April 1887 where the two infant sons of a Tanjore Pensioner, Yeswant Rao Manoji Mohiti, were granted a moiety of the father's stipend although their mother was living; that Mr. Stokes recorded his opinion that this change on the part of Govt. in its mode of allotment should be brought to its notice; that the papers came to Mr. Andrew for final disposal in Decr. 1896 when he refused to submit the proposal to Govt. on the ground that no new facts had been shown to warrant its submission. Mr. Andrew points out that the 1887 case quoted is exactly analogous to that of the petitioner and that, although it is clear that the petitioner suffers from the apparent change in the mode of distribution of the stipend, in other cases daughters and widows would benefit especially if owing to ill-feeling or to other causes the son should happen to be indisposed to help them or if the son were to predecease his mother or sister. The petitioner's sister however died on the 11th Sep. 1896 and, if the Govt. is willing, Mr. Andrew considers, that her share might, as a matter of grace, go to the petitioner as he is the first to suffer by the new departure. It would appear from these remarks of the ~~Govt~~. Govt. Agent that the amounts allotted to the mother and daughter have not yet been commuted. The reasons for the method of distribution adopted in the case of the late Ramachandra Rao Ingle Rao are set out in the notes printed ~~x~~ on yellow paper in G.O.No.582 Poll., d/3rd Septr. 1895. The precedent of 1887 was not then before Govt. but two others were. Lord Wenlock considered, for very much the same reasons as Mr. Andrew does, that in the last distribution of one of these Tanjore stipends there should be no joint pensions. It is though that the principle should be maintained.

Orders are solicited.

I agree with the Office note.
11/8/97

This may I submit be recalled to L.D.
Yes. A.S.H

Ref Make certain that there are no papers later than G.O. 582 of 1895 on the record
Mother's pension increased.
Cwl

I concur.
A.S.H
13.8.97

TANJORE PENSIONS

C. S.

From Orders

58

Political Dept:

(P) Read again G.O.No.582 Poll., d/ 3-9-95

" also C.No.676 ---------(P)

" C.No.973 ---------(A)

ORDER W. 550 & 17 aust 97

O.S.

H.E. the Governor in Council considers that the principle enunciated in para 1 of G.O.No.582 Poll., d/ 5rd Septr. 1895, should be maintained. The recommendation contained in para 8 of the letter from the Govt. Agent, Tanjore, read above is approved and as a matter of grace, the stipend of Rs.8-2-0 granted to the daughter of the late V.Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao Sahib in para 2 of the ~~above~~ quoted above order will be added to that already sanctioned to V.Ry. Harirudra Manai Ingle Rao. It is understood that the stipends granted to the widow and daughter of the late V.Ry. Ramachandra Ingle Rao have not yet been commuted. The Govt. Agent will be requested to report on this point and expedite the submission of the age and health certificates in the case of the widow.

(From orders)

To

The Govt. Agent, Tanjore 2
" Acct. Genl. 4

OFFICE

Spare copy to Tanjore File;

also print up copies of order with notes on yellow paper for record, these copies a/s going to the file.

H.C. Madras
Please see to this
AS. 17/8/97.

Cms 19/8/97
Dep 26/8/97

AS 17/8/97

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature. 25/8

Despatched in print.

Number of copies required. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter. } 6

Read again G.O. No. 189 ; dated

Current

As marked

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government " " "

Letter of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

10 Copies

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.