

Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 502

Dated 29-7-97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that the disbursement of the
stipend of Rahmat-un-nisa Begum may be
entrusted to Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Ba-
hadur; and directing the Paymaster, C.S. to
intimate the decision to the parties concerned.

29/7/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

*Ad. C. No. 1109
of 27.*

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8875



Order

*From The offg. Paymaster
Carnatic Circle
Chennai*

No. 291 of 1897.

Dated 13th July 1897.

Received 14th July 1897.

Registered 14th

Enclosures Nil

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Under the reasons mentioned
within requests to allow Muhammad
-mad Muhammad Khan Bahadur
to draw the whole pension payable
to his household and remit to his
daughter-in-law Rahmat-un-
-nisa her share of Rs 155 Rs
per mensem.*

*per
6/5/97*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	434	Pae	1-7-97
	177	"	18-2-97
	85	"	16-1-97
	749	"	8-6-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 291 of 1897.

Paymaster Carnatic stipends
Office Chepak 13th July 1897.

From

C. Maroden Esquire
offg. Paymaster Carnatic stipends
Chepak

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honour to reply to para I of
G.O. No. 434 dated 1st July 1897 "Political".

2. I am of opinion that as Government has
upheld its original decision regarding the payment of
the full pension of the late Abd. ul. Hoi to Rahmat-
un-nisa Begam, there will be no harm in permitting
the monthly payment to be made by Mohammad
Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

3. If Mohammad Mahmud Khan Baha-
dur should at any time cause any inconvenience or
delay in payment the order might be reconsidered,
but I do not anticipate any trouble of the kind.

4. In the first instance Rahmat-un-nisa
Begam and her father Mohammad Abd. ur. Razzag
Sahib were quite willing to receive payment from
Mohammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur as will
be seen from Mohammad Abd. ur. Razzag Sahib's
letter to the Ag. Paymaster dated 31st March 1897.

was only subsequently that he requested Government that the payment should be made direct.

5. By G.O. No. 749 dated 9th November 1893 "Poll" Government directed that Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur during his life time distribute the allowance of his children, so long as he should perform the work of distribution correctly. He evidently feels that an order that payment should be made to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam would be a slur upon him and regarded generally as evidence that Government does not trust him. It would, I beg to submit, be well to soften the decision of Government if this can be done without causing any harm to anybody and this would be effected by allowing Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur to draw the whole pension payable to his household and permit to his daughter-in-law her share of Rs 155-6-8. per mensem.

6. In the meantime and until the orders of Government have been received on the point, I beg to report that I have directed Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur to forward to me the arrears of pension due to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam and that the money will be refunded to her, on receipt.

I have the honour to be

Sir

your most obedient servant

Chander

offg. Paymaster Carnatic
Stipends & Chepuk.

info.

[Poll.]
7
1,000-25-11-96.

Political (1897) Department.

Entered in the Pethoi register.
16.7.97

CURRENT No. 892

From

Muhammad Abdu Razzaq
Madras.

Order

No.

Dated 13th } July 1897
Received 15th

Registered 16-7-97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT,

Requesting that his daughter
Rahmat-un-nisa Begam may be
permitted to receive her pension
direct from the Bank and not
thru Mr. Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Jani Jahan's road
Triplian, Madras.
13 July 1897.



The Honourable Mr. J. F. Prie I. C. S. C. S.
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Political Department.

Honourable Sir,

In thanking your honour
and Govt. once more for the just and favourable
consideration shown to my daughter Rahmat
Unissa Begum in regard to her claim for the
pension of her late husband, Abdul Hai Sahib,
I beg respectfully to state that I have just
received intimation from the Paymaster,
Carnatic Stipends that the question of the
mode of payment of my daughter's pension
is still under the consideration of Govt.

2. The Govt. is well aware that Mohammed
Mohamed Khan Bahader is very influential
both by position and money, that he has
left no stone unturned in obtaining the signa-
ture of many relatives of mine to his mahzur
which was signed and sealed by them most
reluctantly, that he has persuaded the Prince
of Arcot to support the mahzur, and that he
has also sent the Honourable Mr. Suantha charla
to Aotaramund to have an interview with
His Excellency in the matter of the pension under

dispute. It is very likely that Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur has since of late misrepresented his case ex parte to the Paymaster who is new to the appointment and prejudiced his mind to contradict his previous statements if any and to move Govt. not to split the pension. I therefore request that the question of the payment of pension may be considered on its own merits and on the following grounds:-

- (a) My daughter is not one of Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur's children residing under his own roof, but a member of a different family living separately with her father.
- (b) The order vesting Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur with power to draw the pension of his children and to make the necessary disbursements himself was issued at his own request supported by the then Paymaster. The children of the former were then minors and the daughters known to be semi-idiot. This order cannot in fairness be applied to my daughter who is 22 years old and is not in any way incapacitated to receive her pension.
- (c) The relations now existing between ourselves and Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur are most bitter.
- (d) There are at present disputes between us and Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur in regard to my daughter's dowries and share of her husband's estate according to the Mahomedan Law. In this matter Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur has gone to the extremity of saying that we should quietly receive anything he gives and if not, law should be resorted to.
- (e) There are many zenana ladies now

drawing pension directly from the Bank of Madras and the following are some of the names of those surviving:-

- (1) Her Highness Nawab Kyrunnissa Begum Sahiba
- (2) Annmatul Lateef Syed Unissa Begum Sahiba
- (3) Annmatul Munnim Munnim Unissa Begum Sahiba
- (4) Nawab Khursheed-un-nisa Begum Sahiba
- (5) Raheem Unisa Begum Sahiba
- (6) Malick Unisa Begum Sahiba
- (7) Annmatul Rub Fatimat Unnisa Begum Sahiba
- (8) Imannia Begum Sahiba
- (9) Tyab Unnisa Begum Sahiba
- (10) Shub Boria Begum Sahiba

There is no special reason why a different course should be followed in the case of my daughter.

- (f) The pension is State pension and the enjoyers thereof should have all the freedom that is allowed to British subjects.
- (g) My daughter cannot be regarded as one of the children of Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur inasmuch as his interests are detrimental to hers.
- (h) Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur is anxious to retain the pension in his hands so as not to allow my daughter to remarry.

3. When L. A. No: 177 Political of 18 March 1897 was received, I wanted to petition to Govt. requesting that the pension might be paid by Govt. direct to my daughter, but under the impression that such a petition without due cause would annoy Govt. and with the confidence that Mahomed Mahomed Khan Bahadur would dispute the order of Govt. and would not pay the pension ordered, I left the matter to take its own course and submitted a bill to Mahomed Mahomed

80749 PM
9.11.93

Chaw Bahadur thro' the Paymaster on the 31 March 1897 so that the Govt. might itself be convinced that my anticipations were true. Finding that the Paymaster received no reply for 5 days and that Mahomed Mahomed Khaw Bahadur totally refused to make the payment, I thought I could satisfy Govt. with reasons as to the necessity for the separation of my daughter's pension and then addressed the Paymaster to move Govt. accordingly. I and my daughter have also subsequently refused to accept payments made by Mahomed Mahomed Khaw Bahadur through his attorneys Messrs Brauns and Brauns.

4. In the above circumstances I respectfully beg that my daughter may be safely permitted to draw the pension direct from the Bank of Madras in the same manner as other zenana ladies alone referred to do. This procedure will relieve Mahomed Mahomed Khaw Bahadur from the trouble of drawing my daughter's pension and paying it to her and will help my daughter in getting the pension earlier and without inconvenience and trouble. If any of the sons or daughters of Mahomed Mahomed Khaw Bahadur lives separately from him and asks Govt. to pay the pension direct to him or to her on the score of delay, inconvenience or trouble, it is submitted that no valid objection thereto can possibly be raised by Mahomed Mahomed Khaw Bahadur or Govt.

5. In conclusion I earnestly beg that my prayer may be favourably considered.

I beg to remain
Honourable Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
-Ji- 92

Sd/ Mahomed Akbar
Razzag Sahib

021.7.97
R.27.7.97

8

PENSION OF ABDUL HAI

It is from

7

Political dept:

C.Nos. 885 & 892

FOR ORDERS

The Paymaster in the first of the above currents forwards his reply to para 1 of G.O.No.454 Poll., d/ 1st July '97 regarding the mode of payment of the stipend of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam, widow of the late Abdul Hai, daughter of Abdul Razzaq and daughter-in-law of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur. The Paymaster was therein asked to report whether the stipend (Rs.155-6-8) could be safely paid to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam herself and, if not, whether it might not be paid to her father rather than to her father-in-law.

2. Mr. Marsden is of opinion that as Govt. has upheld its original decision to continue to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam the full pension of her late husband Abdul Hai, there will be no harm in permitting the monthly payment to be made by her father-in-law, Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur. He points out that in G.O.No.749, d/ 9th Novr 1895, Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur, was permitted during his life-time to distribute the allowance of his children so long as he should perform the work of distribution correctly ^{and} that he would feel the payment being made to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam direct as a slur upon him and regard ^{it} as evidence that Govt. does not trust him. Mr. Marsden

8
would therefore soften the decision of
Govt. if this can be done without causing
harm to anybody and such a course, in his
opinion ~~may~~ ^{be} allow ~~us~~ Muhammad Mahmud
Khan Bahadur to draw the whole pension
payable to his household and remit
to his daughter-in-law her share. If
at any time Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur
should cause any inconvenience or delay
in payment, the order might be recon-
sidered, but the Paymaster does not antici-
pate any trouble of this kind. Mr. Marsden
in para 4 of his letter alludes to the
letter from Muhammad Abdur Razzaq, d/ 31st ^M
March 1897, from which it will be seen
that the latter and his daughter were
quite willing to receive payment from
Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

2. C.No. 892 is a petition from
Muhammad Abdur Razzaq, from which it would
appear that he has got an inkling as to
what the Paymaster has written to Govt.
He is against the payment of Rahmat-un-
nisa Begam's pension being left in the
hands of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur,
^{or} ~~the~~ ^{reason} ~~score~~ principally that Rahmat-
un-nisa Begam is not a member of the
family of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur;
that there are at present disputes
between himself and Muhammad Mahmud Khan
Bahadur in regard to the dowry of
Rahmat-un-nisa Begam and her share in
her husband's estate; and that there are
several cases of zenana ladies drawing
pensions direct from the Bank of Madras.

In

This course may be adopted.

A. 54

26.7.97

Read again G.O. No 434. P.D. 7. 7. 97 - (2)

— C. No 886 - (2)

— C. No 892 (1)

9
In para 3 of his petition Muhammad Abd-
Razzaq endeavours to explain away his
letter of the 31st March 1897 to which the
Paymaster has adverted in his report.
This letter according to Muhammad Abd-ur-
Razzaq was written merely to show Govt.
that what he (Abd-ur Razzaq) expected ^{from} ~~the~~
Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur would follow
3. ^{or} Orders are solicited. For the
reasons given by the Paymaster it is
thought that Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur
may be entrusted with the disbursement of
the stipend of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam but
that he should be given distinctly to
understand that Govt. cannot permit any
family disputes or private quarrels to in
any way interfere with the disbursement of
the stipend.

Cutts The course suggested in my I submitted
12/2/92 be sanctioned. There will probably
be squabbling but Mr. Mahomed
Khan Bahadur is a respectable man
and wealthy and as the Paymaster says
he may consider an order to pay the
pension direct to his daughter in
law as a shot on himself and
an indication that ^{Govt. considers that} he is not to be
trouble

25/2/92

ORDER W.P. 502 of 29 July 97

Rev

For the reasons given in
the Paymaster's letter read above M.P.
the Govt. in Council considers that the
disbursement of the stipend of

10
Rahmat-un-nisa Begam may be
entrusted to Muhammad Mahmud,
Khan Bahadur. She should, however,
be given distinctly to understand that
family disputes or quarrels cannot
be permitted to interfere in any way
with the payment of the pension.

2. The P.C.S. will intimate this
decision to the parties concerned

/ From Order /

Cms
287762

22
29/7/47

P.C.S.
Act Encl.

Let p 27/8/47.

When given for copy 2
When copied 5/8
When examined 5/8
When signed 189

GAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

MENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO O. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

FILES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.