Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 434

DATED 1-7-97

Indexed

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing with remarks that Paymaster C.S. be requested to report whether the stipend of Rs 155-6-8 can be safely paid to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam herself and if not whether it might not be disbursed to Muhammad Abd-ur-Razzaq rather than to her father-in-law Muhamma Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
502	8/29.7.97	Pol					

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 482

Order

From The Offg. Paymaster Carnatic Stipends Chepak

CHIEF SECRETARY
-5. APR. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No. 156 of 1897.

Dated 5th
Received 5
Registered 6
} April 1897.

Enclosures 7 (Seven)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the orders of Govt. corresp that took place between him, M Branson & Branson, Muhamm Abdur-razzaq Sahib and Muham-mad Mahmud Khan Baha Subsequent to the publication of G.O. No: 177 d/ 18th March 1897. Politi re the continuance of ~~the~~ whole of the allowance of the late Abd-ul-hai sahib to ~~the~~ his widow ~~of the~~ for life.

BACK PAPERS.

G,O.	No.	Department.	Date.
177:	18.3.97		
749:	9.11-93		
35:	14-1-97		
R447:	15-12-96.		
R438:	6.12-96		

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 118 of 1897. ✓

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends'
Office, Chepák 24th March 1897

To,
Muhammad Mahmúd
Khán Bahádur.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that Government in
their Order No. 177 dated 18th March 1897 "Political" have sa
-tioned the continuation of the whole of the Stipend* of your la
son Abd-ul-hai Sáhib to his widow Rahmat-un-nisá Bég
for her life, with effect from 20th October 1896, and reversible, on
death, to the surviving brothers and sisters of the deceased (Ab
-ul-hai Sáhib) or their children.

*Rs. 155-6-8 per mensem.

I have &ca.
(signed) E: Marsden
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

(True Copy)
E Marsden
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

master Carnatic Stipends'
ice, Chepák 5th April 1897

1897
Pol. Dept.
Enclos. No. 7
To
O. No 462

No. 138 of 1897. 3 Paymaster Carnatic Stipends' Office, Chepák. 31st March 1897

To, Messrs Branson & Branson
Solicitors, Madras

Gentlemen,

In reply to your letter dated 29th Instant, I regret to state that I cannot, without the express order of Government, furnish you with a Copy of the G. O. you refer to. I may note however that I gave your client the substance of the Order in my letter No. 118 dated 24th March 1897.

I have &ca
(signed) E. Marsden.
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

(True Copy)

E Marsden
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák.

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends' Office, Chepák 5th April 1897

1897
Pol. Dept.
Enclos. No. 5
To
C. No. 452

X

Paymaster Carnatic Stipen
Office, Chepák 1st April 18

*A bill for Rs 819=12=11 from Ammat-ul-wahhab Rahmat-un-nisá Begum.

Forwarded* to Muhammad Mahmúd Kha Khán Bahádur for disposal.

(signed) E. Marsden
Offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

To,
Muhammad Mahmúd
Khán Bahádur.

(True Copy)
E Marsden
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák.

Exp

Paymaster Carnatic
Stipends' Office Chepák
5th April 1897.

1897
P.M. Dept.
Enclos. No 3
To
G. No 482

---

sanctioned by G. , dated

5

1

From Messrs Branson & Branson to E. Marsden Esq., Officiating Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, Madras, dated 2nd April 1897:-

We have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.138, dated the 31st March 1897, in reply to ours to you of the 29th idem at the instance of Mahomed Khan Bahadur.

Our client regrets your refusal to furnish him with copy of the order of Government No.177, dated 18th March 1897, "Political" which we on his behalf applied for inasmuch as our client feels aggrieved by the said order and is desirous of appealing to Government against same.

We need hardly inform you that in order to prepare for our client a satisfactory appeal against the said order it is absolutely necessary that we should be furnished with copy thereof as the same must contain the reasons upon which the said order (purport of which you have intimated to our client) has been passed. It may be that our client may be able to shew that the facts of the case do not warrant the [illegible]king of the order referred to and that the Government may have been induced to pass same under a misapprehension as to the actual state of affairs.

Since receipt by us of yours of the said 31st ultimo our client has received and handed to us a memo received by him from you forwarding a Bill for Rs.819-12-11 from Amat-ul-Wahab Rahmat-un-nissa Begam Sahiba for disposal.

Our client instructs us to state that although he has always been and still is desirous of respecting and carrying out any order that Government may be pleased to pass and to carry out any suggestion expressed by you he fails to see, why in the face of the order of Government, dated 9th November 1893, No.749, "Political" passed upon his letter to the then Pay-master, Carnatic Stipends, dated 19th October 1893, whereby His Excellency the Governor in Council was pleased to accede to his request, i.e., that during his life time and so long as he should discharge his work

6

House-rent may continue to be drawn on his signature and distributed by him you should have addressed him as you have done, thereby interfering with the arrangement which as above shewn was sanctioned by Government. Our client is not aware that any circumstances have arisen to necessitate any departure from the said arrangement - under this arrangement only my client's son-in-law draws his share of pension direct from our client which was granted to him by Government out of the stipend of his late daughter.

In conclusion our request is that the matter of the disposal of your said memo of the 1st April 1897 may be permitted to remain in abeyance until the disposal of his contemplated appeal against the order of Government, No.177 of 18th March 1897, Political, and for the purpose of enabling our client to place his case before Government without any loss of time we have again on his behalf to request you to furnish us with copy of the said order.

---

sanctioned by G.[illegible]son [illegible], dated
1897, Political.............................

Deduct incometax at the rate of 4 pies
in the Rupee on Rs.837........................ 17- 7- 0

Net income Rupees Eight hundred and nineteen, Annas twelve and pies eleven only. 819-12-11

Madras,
1st April 1897.

(Seal of) Ammat-ool-wahab
Rahmat-un-nisa Begam.

Petition from Muhammad Abdul Razzaq, to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, dated 31st March 1897:-

I beg to enclose herewith Bill of my daughter for th allowance sanctioned to her by Government with the request that you will be very kindly pleased to forward the same to Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur for adjustment.

From Messrs Branson & Branson to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, dated 29th March 1897:-

Under instructions from Mahummad Mahmud Khan Bahadur we have the honour to request you to furnish us with copy of the order of Government No.177, dated 18th March 1897, Political, referred to in your letter to him of the 24th instant, No.118 of 1897.

Our Client has instructed us to address Government with reference to their sanctioning the continuation of the whole of the stipend (Rs.155-6-8 per mensem) of his late son, Abdul Hai Sahib, to his widow Rahmut-un-nisa Begam Sahiba for her life as he considers himself aggrieved by such order.

late son, Muhammad A

No:

office Chepak 5th April 1897

From

E. Marsden Esquire
Offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the orders of Government the following correspondence:-

(1) Letter from the offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends No. dated 24th March 1897, informing Muhammad Ma:mud Khan Bahadur of the orders of Government a regards the continuance of the whole of the allowance his late son Abd-ul-hai Sahib to the widow of latter for life

(2) Letter dated 29th March 1897 from Messrs Branson Branson asking for a copy of G:O. No 177 dated 18th Ma 1897 "Political".

(3) Reply from the offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipen Messrs Branson & Branson No 138 dated 31st March declining to give a copy of G:O: No 177 dated 18th Mar 1897 "Political" without the express orders of Governm

(4) Letter from Muhammad Abd-ur-razzaq father of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam (widow of the Abdul-hai Sahib) requesting offg: Paymaster

K

[illegible] Khan
[illegible] payment to her, of the arrears of her stipend.

Endorsement of the offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
warding the receipt to Muhammad Mahmud Khan
hadur for disposal.

Letter from Mess^rs Branson & Branson dated 2^d ~
il 1897 returning the receipt of Rahmat-un-nisa ~
gam and requesting ^that the disbursement of the amount
permitted to remain in abeyance until his client's
Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur's) appeal to
vernment against G: O. N^o 177 dated 18^th March 1897
Political" is settled.

I beg to solicit ^the orders of Government as to
hether Copy of the G: O. ~~he~~ ^Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bdr. asks for, may be given him.
noted that copy was not sent him by Government,
hough copy was sent to the Accountant General and
hence presumed it was not the intention of Govern:
ment that he should have a copy, as the G: O. em=
bodies references to correspondence in his case which
ssibly it was not intended that he should see.

As far as I can see, my forwarding to
Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur) ~
receipt from Ammat-ul-wahhab Rahmat-un-
sa Begam was in direct conformity with G: O: N^o
dated 9^th November 1893 "Political" as it leaves
payment to him. He was not requested to make
ments through my office. Further it may be ~
ed that the widow lady is not his child and
the G: O: quoted permits him to draw stipends
ehalf of, and make payments to his own children
only. [illegible]
somewhat opposed to those of the widow.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Se
E Manson
offg: Paymaster Carna
Stipends, Chep

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 490

CHIEF SECRETARY
-8. APR. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From
The Offg: Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepa

Order

No. 160 of 1897.

Dated 8th
Received 8
Registered 8
April 1897.

Enclosures one (1)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for orders of Govt. a
letter from the father of Rahm
-un-nisa Begam praying
her stipend sanctioned in
No. 177 d/ 18th March 1897 "Polit
may be separated ~~& paid~~
~~to her~~ ~~from the fami~~
stipend & paid direct.

P
with [illegible]
[illegible] Correspondence

Note
The ~~papers~~ connected pa
are with the CA.

8/4/97

Chep

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Petition from Muhammad Abdur Razzaq, to t
Carnatic Stipends, dated 5th April 1897:-

I wrote to you a letter on the 31st March 1897 forwarding a receipt from my daughter, Rahmat-un-nisa Begam, and requested you to forward the same to Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur for disbursement of the allowance due to her from 20th October 1896 the day following the demise of her husband to 31st March 1897, as per G.O. No.177, dated 18th March 1897, as I heard that Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur was drawing the full allowance of Rs.900 per month from the Bank of Madras including late Muhammad Abdul Hai's (his deceased son's) allowance. It was not my object to create any ill feelings with the above named Bahadur beyond that seeing my daughter receive the allowance due to her, I therefore, without any objection sent her receipt to him through you. Today is the 5th instant. My daughter has not yet received her allowance sanctioned by Government. Whereas all other members of the Arcot family received their respective dues on the 1st April 1897. By this delay it is quite clear that he neither observed my friendly procedure of sending the receipt to him nor regarded the order of Government quoted above. It would not be out of place to mention here that Muhammad Mahmud Kha Bahadur may be considered as head of the family as far as hi own children are concerned. But in the case of my daughter who is living separately from him and who can manage her own affairs wisely and judiciously, Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur cannot rightly claim the indulgence. In these circumstance I beg that you will be pleased to move Government to separa her share of Rs.155-6-8 in the allowance from that of Muham Mahmud Khan Bahadur and allow her to draw the same direct from the Madras Bank as I and several other members of the Arcot family do. If this favour is not shown to her, she w always be thrown at the mercy of the said Bahadur and be subject to much inconveneince as her allowance has been sanctioned much against the will of Bahadur, who left no stone unturned to deprive her.

Trusting

Trusting that my humble request be complied with.

CURRENT No. 490

No: 160. of 1897. Paymaster Carnatic St
office Chepak 8th April 897

From

E. Marsden Esquire
offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St: Georg

Sir,

Cur 482/97

In continuation of my letter No. 156 da 5th april 1897, I have the honor to forward for ord letter dated 5th april 1897 from Muhammad Ab -ur-razzag Sahib father of Rahmat-un-nisa Beg asking that the Stipend of her late husband Ab -ul-hai Sahib the continuation of the whole of which to her for life, Government sanctioned their order No. 177 dated 18th March 1897 "Politica may be made direct to her and separated from th family Stipend of Rs 900. now paid on the Signat of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
E Marsden
offg: Paymaster Carnatic Sti
Chep

Encl.

07

Political (1897.) Department.

CURRENT No. 516

CHIEF SECRETARY
12 APR. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From The Offg: Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

Order

No. 177 of 1897.

Dated 12th } April 1897.
Received 12th
Registered 13th
Enclosures Two.
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the orders of Government a letter received from Messrs Branson & Branson and the reply thereto given.

N.B. The previous letter quoted in this current was sent up to the Govt
[illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cud	490/97		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 176 of 1897.

Paymaster Carnatic St
Office, Chepak 12th April

To,

Messrs Branson & Branson
Solicitors,
Madras

Gentlemen,

In reply to your letter dated 12th Apri 1897, forwarding Rs. 819-12-11 for payment "under pro to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam, I have the honour to that I regret I am unable to charge of the money an forward it to the lady.

2. If you will kindly refer to my Memoran dated the 1st Instant you will observe that the rec was forwarded to Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahad "for disposal". It was not my intention that payment should be made through this Office

3. The proper course for your client is, I presume, to pay the money direct to the claimant I shall of course forward your letter and Copy o this my reply to Government for information

4. I have not taken charge of the money

I have &c.
/Signed/ E. Marsden
Offg: Paymr: Car: Stipends
Chepák

(True Copy)

E Marsden

Offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

Paymr: Car. Stipends'
Office Chepák 12th April/97

Dup

1897
Pol Dept.
Enclos. No. 2
To
C. No. 516

No. 177 of 1897.

Paymaster Carnatic Office, Chepak 12th April 1897.

CURRENT No. 576

From,

E. Marsden Esquire,
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák.

To,

The Honourable J. F. Price, C. S. I.,
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

[illegible] Where is this? [illegible]

I have the honour, in continuation of my letter No. 160, dated 8th April 1897, to ~~forward~~ submit letter dated 12th Instant from Messrs. Branson & Branson received this day afternoon and copy of my answer No. 176 dated 12th Instant for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
E Marsden
Offg: Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepák

[illegible]

From Messrs Branson & Branson, to the Paymaster, Carnat
Stipends, dated 12th April 1897:-

Referring to your Official Memorandum, dated the 1st instant, forwarding to our Client, Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bah a Bill for Rs. 819-12-11 from Ammat-ul-Wahab Rahimat-un Begam Sahiba for disposal and our letter to you of the 2n idem, we have been instructed by our Client to inform you t pending the disposal of the appeal which he is about presenting to Government against the Order of Government, dated 18th March 1897, No.177 "Political" he is prepared to pay the amount of the said Bill to you under protest. Our Client instructs us to state expressly that he has not and never had the smallest intention of disobeying the order of Government or g acting counter to same.

As, however, the disposal of the intended appeal may take some time our Client has instructed us in deference to the above order to forward herewith on his behalf the sum of Rs. 819-12-11 under protest and subject to any Order that the Government might be pleased to pass thereon.

We have the honour to request that you will acknowledge the receipt of the said sum of Rs. 819-12-11 and advise Government at once of the payment of the above sum by our Client.

---

late son Muhammad

21

P

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. } 10

(Class.)

To (Office) Ootacamund | From (Office) Madras.

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
34	16.	14.	20	

To (Person) Chief Secretary to Government Stonehouse Hill | From (Person) Muhammad Mahmud. Khan Bahad

17/4/97

Please defer orders carnatic paymasters letter regarding payme arrears due to Rahmutinnissa pending receipt of my letter Submitte to you yesterday madras.

Recd. from | I.N. | Sent to | I.N. | Telegraph Master.

At Hrs. Mts. by | At Hrs. Mts. by

OOTACAMUND 16-4-97

O G P—No. 490 T—18 2 96—1600000

CURRENT No. 520.

Submitted to the Chief Secy. in continuation of the file sub^d. yesterday. This is apparently the further communica promised in the telegram from Md. Mahmud Khan a ma but it contains nothing new

From ~~Mahammad~~ Mahammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur. Nungumbakam.

Order

A.S.H. 16.5.97

20. 4. 97

No. —

Dated 15 }
Received 15 } April 1897.
Registered 15 }
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing Govt. the refusal of Rahmut-unnisa Begam to receive the arrears of Stipend sent to her by him.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

The Honorable J. F. Price C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Recs without [illegible] H.E. abstract 13 Feb [illegible]

Sir

I beg to submit for the information of Government that in obedience to G. O. No 177, Political, dated 18th March 1897 I sent under protest the arrears of stipend amounting to Rs 819-12-11 through my Solicitors to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, on the 12th instant to be kindly made over to Rahmatunnisa Begum pending the order of Government on a petition which I shortly intend submitting to them for a reconsideration of their G. O. above referred to- The Paymaster however suggested the payment of the amount direct to her- On receipt of his reply I caused the said amount to be sent to Rahmatunnisa Begum direct under a similar protest, but she has refused to receive it-

I therefore respectfully bring the above circumstance to the notice of Government-

I have also intimated this fact to the

Paymaster

late son Muhammad A

CURRENT No. 553

CS

This file is with C.S., it is believed.
The papers were ~~sent~~ submitted
to C.S.'s house during the holidays.

26/4/97

CHIEF SECRETARIAT GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
23 APR. 1897

From

The Offg: Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

Order

H.E. The Govr.

The papers in this case were submitted before I saw this. It gives the reason for the refusal of Rahamut un nisa Begum to receive the amount tendered to her

27/4/97.

16.5.97

No. 185 of 1897.

Dated 22nd } april
Received 23rd }
Registered 26th }
Enclosures one (1)
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the orders of Govt. a letter received from Messrs. Branson & Branson.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

late son,Muhammad

nds,dated 15th April 1897:-

We have the honour to forward overleaf for your information copy of a letter from Ammat-ul-Wahab Rahamut-un-nisa Begam in reply to ours to her of the 13th instant on behalf of Mahomed Mahmud Khan Bahadur tendering to ~~him~~ her the sum of Rs.819-12-11 "under protest".

You will observe that the lady declines to receive the sum as it is sent "under protest".

We shall thank you on behalf of our Client to intimate to the Chief Secretary to Government the fact of our having tendered the above sum to the lady "under protest" and of her having refused to accept such tender for the reason stated by her.

Madras 14th April 1897.

Messrs Branson & Branson,
Solicitors,Madras.

Gentlemen,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 13th April 1897, and in reply to state that I decline to receive the amount as the same is sent to me "under protest".

I remain,
Gentlemen,
Yours obediently,

(Sealed) Ammatul Wahab Rahimut-un-nisa Begam.

AB. 129/8/7

[illegible] Chepak 22nd april 1897

From

E. Marsden Esquire
Offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Cul. 516/97

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 177 dated 12th april 1897, I have the honor to forward herewith a letter dated 15th Instant received from Messrs Branson & Branson for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
EMarsden
offg: Paymaster Carnatic
Stipends Chepak.

Enclo

Political (189/) Department.

CURRENT No. 585.

H.E. the Governor

H.r Orders

Submitted in continuation of C. No. 482, 490, 516, 520 and 553 circulated to H.E. the Governor in box No. 23 on the 27th ultimo.

Cw S
3. 5. 97

Rd
4/5/97

Sen.
A.S.K
16.5.97

From Mahammad Mahmood Khan Bahadoor.

No.

Dated
Received
Registered } 3rd May 1897.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that Rahamut-un-nisa Begum again refuses, to receive her allowance for April.

C 4/5/97.
R 17/5/97

Note: the previous papers – Cur. 482, 490, 516 + 520 + 553 – ~~[illegible]~~ circd. to H.E. the Govr. on the 27th april in Box no 23 have not come back yet

A.S. 3/5/97

G.O.	No.	Department.	D

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 600

H.E.

From Mahammad Mahmood Khan Bahadur.

For Orders

Submitted to His Excellency the Governor in Continuation of C. No. 482, 490, 516, 520 + 553 and C. No. 585 circulated on the 27th april and 4th May in boxes No. 23 and 35 respectively.

Cws
6/5/97

6/5/97

A.S.H.
16.5.97

No.

Dated 5th
Received 5th
Registered 6th } May 1897
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to refer Paymaster's letter 483 dated 23rd October 1893 while passing orders upon his letter of the 15th April and to protect his honor by passing favorable orders.

C. 585 - Circ 4/5 - box No 35.
" 482, 490
516, 520 + 553 } Circ 27/4 Box No 23.

G.O.	No.	Department.	D

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
39-2	3	9	14	Pd

To (Person)
The Honble The
chief secretary to
Govt Stone House
Hill

From (Person)
Mohd Mahmood
Khan Bahadur

CHIEF SECRETARY
3. MAY. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

In continuation my letter april 15 Rahimuntunnisa Begum has again refused her allowance for april tendered by the paymaster also informed

F. C1.

Recd. from LN. At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN. At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

C G P—No 494 T—27 4 96—4000000

OOTACAMUND 3-5-97

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 127/8/7

(Sd) Muhammad Abdur Razzak
secretary to the Prince of Arcot

G.O.	No.	Department.

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 600

H.E.

From Mahammad Mahmood Khan Bahadur.

No.

Dated 5th
Received 5th
Registered 6th
} may 1897
Enclosures
Spare copies

For Orders

Submitted to His Excellency the Governor in Continuation of C. Nos. 482, 490, 516, 520 + 553 and C No. 585 circulated on the 27th april and 4th May in boxes Nos. 23 and 35 respectively.

fo
9/5/97

Cwd
6/5/97

A.414
16.5.97

SUBJECT.

Requesting to refer Paymaster's letter 483 dated 23rd October 1893 while passing orders upon his letter of the 15th April and to protect his honor by passing favorable orders.

C 585 - Circ 4/5 - box No 35.
" 482, 490
516, 520 + 553 } Circ 27/4 Box No 23.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Code Class. Dl.
Monthly Number.

To (Office) Ootacamund
From (Office) Mount Road

Words. 59/9. Day. 5 Hour. 17 Minute. 14 Official Instructions. Cfd

To (Person) Chief Secretary Stonehouse Hill
From (Person) Mohammud Mahood Khan Bahadoor

Respectfully beg you to kindly refer paymasters letter 483 october 23 1893 when passing orders on my letter of fifteenth april last. Most respectfully promise to pay rahmatunnissa regularly beg you to pass favourable orders on the × abovementioned letter and protect my honour.

fo 749 Pol
9.11.93

64 Word Form.

F.C.

Recd. from LN. Sent to LN.
At Hrs. Mts. by Hrs. Mts. by
Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 5-5-97

G.C.P. No. 64 T.

C 10/5/97
R 27/5/97

Gentlemen,

Yours very obediently,

(Sd) Muhammad Abdur Razzak

Secretary to the Prince of Arcot

129/8/7

G.O.	No.	Department.	D
G.O.	No.	Department.	D

---

late son, Muhammad A

Political (189 Ameer Mahal

looked upon
This was
ses,

CURRENT No. 6

CHIEF SECRETARY
-6 MAY 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

H.E. the Gr.

~~For~~ Orders

Submitted to His Excellency the Governor in continuation of C. Nos. 482, 490, 516, 520 & 553, 585 and 600 circulated on the 27th April, 4th and 6th May 1897 in boxes Nos. 23, 35 & 31 respectively.

Cur. S.
8/5/97

10/5/97

From The Offg. Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

No. 208 of 1897.

Dated 5th } May 1897
Received 6th }
Registered 7th }
Enclosures one (1)
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the orders of Government a letter recei
from Messrs. Branson & Bra

C 10/5/97
R 25/5/97

Yours very obediently,
(Sd) Muhammad Abdur Razzaq

124/8/7

G.O.	No.	Department.	D

FURTHER PAPERS

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

s late son Muhammad A

Carnatic Stipends, Chepauk, dated 3rd May 1897:- Umeer Mahal

We have the honour to forward overleaf for you ooked upon -ation copy of a letter from Muhammad Abdur Razzaq Sah his was s, father of Ammat-ul-Wahab Rahimut-un-nisa Begam, in reply to ours to the said Amatool Wahab Rahamut-un-nisa Begam of th instant on behalf of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur tendering to her the sum of Rupees 152-2-11 "under protest" for April.

You will observe that the father of the lady declines to receive the sum as it is sent "under protest".

We shall thank you on behalf of our client to intimate to the Chief Secretary to Government the fact of our having tendered the above sum to the lady "under protest" and of her father having refused to accept such tender for the reason stated by him.

Madras, 2nd May 1897.

Messrs Branson & Branson,
Solicitors, Madras.

Gentlemen,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 1st May 1897, and in reply to state that I decline to receive the amount (Rs.152-2-0) for April as the same is sent to me "under Protest" which is against G.O. No.177, dated 13th March 1897.

I further beg to refer you to a reply already sent by daughter, Rahmath-un-nisa Begam, on the 14th April 1897 concerning the receipt of amount.

Lastly, I beg to state that I have addressed the Pay-master in my letter, dated 5th April 1897, with reference to the said stipend and until any reply is received from him, I cannot receive any amount from you.

I beg to remain
Gentlemen,
Yours very obediently,
(Sd) Muhammad Abdur Razzaq
Secretary to the Prince of Arcot

No 179/8/7

From

E. Marsden Esquire
offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipe
Chepak

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 185 dated 22nd April 1897, I have the honour to forward herewith a letter dated 3rd May 1897 received from Messrs. Branson & Branson for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
E Marsden
offg: Paymaster Carnatic
Stipends Chepak

Encl

G.O. | No. | Department. | D

late son M

Ameer Mahal

looked upo
This was
ases,

CURRENT No. 618

Telegram

From

The Hon'ble P. Ananda
Charlu, Rai Bahadur

No. –

H.E. the Gr.

~~For~~ **Orders**

Submitted to H.E. the Governor in continuation of C. No. 600 circulated in box No. 31 on the 6th inst.

Cwd
11. 5. 97

fd
12.5.97.

Seen.
A.S.K
16.5.97

Dated
Received } 11" May 1897
Registered }
Enclosures Tel. Pass for one rupee
Spare copies "

SUBJECT.

Requesting extension of time till 20° Inst. for the submissi of Md. Muhammad Khan's peti re: claim to pension of Abdu Hai.

C.A.
Previous ct, no 600 went in cu to H.E. the Governor on 6/5/9

Box No. 31. Cwd 11/5/91

C 12/5/97
R 17/5/97

to the Prince of Arcot

G.O.	No.	Department.	D
(Nos 520 [illegible] of 97			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

		Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructio
1897	11.	9.	21.	

To (Person) The Hon'ble Chief
Secy to govemnt
Of Madras

Representing Mohamed
Khan his petition respecting
claim to pension of late Abdul
Hye now pending before gove
is unavoidably delayed
praying extension of time till
20 inst

rd Form.

F. Cl.

from LN. Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN. At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master

OOTACAMUND 11-59-7

Do T—18 2 96—1600000

Political (189/) Mahal

CURRENT No. 6

Note. The draft order was typewritten + the connected papers ~~were typewritten~~ are probably with C.A.
Rc
19.5.97.

CS

For Orders as to whether the orders in the locked file need await the disposal of this current.

CHIEF SECRETARY
18. MAY. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From
The offg: Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

No. 224 of 1897

Dated 17th
Received 18th — May 1897
Registered 18th
Enclosures Five (5)
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the orders of Gove-
-ment a Mahzur from certai
members of the arcot Jamil
regarding G: O: No: 177 date
18th March 1897 "Poll".

Secretary to the Prince of Arcot

G.O.	No.	Department.	D
	177	P.M.	18.3.97 mis (abs)

FURTHER PAPERS.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

41

Ameer Mahal

12th

/888

From Azeem Jah Muhammad M ... ooked upon

Arcot, Ameer Mahal, dated 12th M ... is was

The Prince of Arcot presents . between th ents to es, Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, and begs to forward the accu -ing influentially signed Mahzar with its English translat from the members of the Arcot family for favour of very early transmission to Government as requested by its signatories.

The mahzar is a respectful representation against the recent decision of Government regarding the disposal of the pension of the late Abdul Hai Sahib, a member of the Arcot family, as per G.O. No.177, dated 18th March 1897, Political. The signatories are evidently and naturally alarmed regarding their own interests and consider that the decision above referred to establishes a very undesirable precedent and is at variance with the principle which has always guided Government in the distribution of such pensions. The case of the widow of one Najibullah Khan Bahadur on which the present decision is apparently based, was an exceptional one as in it the husband himself had expressed a written request that the pension should be continued to his widow in full and the said request was supported and recommended by the Prince of Arcot, whereas in the present instance no such circumstances exist and when the Prince was consulted by Colonel H.S.Stewart, he gave him clearly to understand that the widow of Abdul Hai Sahib was not entitled to anything more than the proportion allowed by Muhammadan Law or Government practice.

The Prince is in full sympathy with the object of the mahzur and considers that it deserves the kind and favourable consideration of Government.

The Prince further requests that this letter may be forwarded to Government along with the mahzur.

Ah 14/8/7

ecretary to the Prince of Arcot

G.O.	No.	Department.	D

No. 85 of 1898

Ameer Mahal

12th N. 1898

late son, Muhammad A
Perhaps in dealing with
widow of late Muhammad Najeeb
a precedent.

looked upo

This was quite unwarranted as there was no analogy between these cases, the gentleman named above having left a will for the continuance of his full allowance to his widow. In the present case however no such will or request existed and the allowance enjoyed by the deceased ought therefore to have been distributed amongst his surviving brothers, sisters, and wife, the latter receiving only half of the allowance to which she was entitled according to the rule hitherto invariably observed by Government.

Under the new decision should a stipendiary die leaving an inferior wife, the whole of his allowance would revert to that widow. We are much disappointed with the present order of Government and pray for a reconsideration of the same as the order in question will not only affect the family of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur but will seriously injure the interests of the Arcot family as a whole.

Dated 7th April 1897

(Sealed) Nawab Khursheed-un-nisa Begam Sahiba, mother of the present Prince of Arcot.

(Signed) Raheemoon nisa Begam-daughter of H.H. the First Prince of Arcot.

(Signed) Ghulam Muhammad Ghouse Khan Bahadur-brother of the present Prince of Arcot

,, Abdul Ali Khan Bahadur-brother of the present Prince of Arcot.

(Sealed) Amatur-Rub-Fatimatun-nisa Begam, sister of the present Prince of Arcot.

,, Amatool Hameed Hameedun-nisa Begam-daughter-in-law of Rifatul - Mulk Bhr.

(Signed) Amatool Hye Vaheedun-nisa Begam-grand-daughter of H.H. the 3rd Prince of Arcot.

,, Muhammad Abdul Khadir for Amatool Hafeez Mofuzzul-oon-nisa Begam- granddaughter of H.H. the 3rd Prince of Arcot.

(Sealed) Imamiah Begam- daughter of H.H. the 2nd Prince of Arcot.

Azum Husain

Secretary to the Prince of Arcot

Department.

daughter of H.H. the 2nd Prin.

Arcot

gned) Muhammad Salauddeen - Grandson of H.H. the 2nd Prince of Arcot

,, Muhammad Waris Ali - grand son-in-law - Do

ealed) Jameelun-nisa Begam-Grand daughter of H.H. the First Prince of Arcot.

Signed) Anwarudeen - grand-son of H.H. the 2nd Prince of Arcot.

Signed) Muhammad Kareemoollah Khan Bahadur- son of H.H. the 2nd Prince of Arcot.

,, Muhammad Abdul Wahab- grandson of H.H. the First Prince of Arcot.

,, Amatool Lateef Saeedeen-nisa Begam- grand-daughter of H.H. the First Prince of Arcot.

(Sealed) Amatoollah Muhamedut-un-nisa Begam- daughter of H.H. the 3rd Prince of Arcot.

,, Zaheerum-nisa Begam- daughter of H.H. the 2nd Prince of Arcot

,, Amatool monim Monimun-nisa Begam- grand-daughter of ~~H.H. the~~ ~~grand-daughter of~~ H.H. the First Prince of Arcot.

,, Amatool Khadir, Khaderi Begam- daughter of the 3rd Prince of Arcot.

,, Hajee Hafiz Khoorsheed Ahmed - son-in-law of H.H. the First Prince of Arcot.

(Signed) Hajee Abdussamad- Grandson of the 1st Prince of Arcot.

,, Raoof Ahmad - Do

(Sealed) Shabberiah Begam- daughter of the 2nd Prince of Arcot

,, Malikoon nisa Begam- Nickahi widow of the 3rd Prince of Arcot,

Ameer Mahal,
12th May 1897

(True translation)

(Sd) Azum Husain
Secretary to the Prince of Arcot.

129

No. 85 of 1888

Ameer Mahal
12th November 1888

To

Colonel J. O. Underwood
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

Sir,

I have the honor by desire of His Highness the Prince of Arcot to inform you that Mohommed Najeebullah Khan Bahadoor Shadee Son of the late Prince Zaheerud Daulah Bahadoor died on the 11th Instant without issue leaving his only Shady wife Kareem-un Nisa Begum.

The deceased was in receipt of a monthly allowance of Rupees 60 plus Rupees 10 on account of house rent. A short time before his death he submitted a petition to His Highness praying that after his death his full income of Rupees 70 may be continued in the name of his Shady wife the said Kareem-un Nisa Begum, the grant being of a perpetual nature, His Highness has no objection to the wishes of the deceased being carried out and I am accordingly directed to request you will be so good as to recommend to Government that the whole allowance of the deceased many be continued in the name of his said Shady wife Kareem-un Nisa Begum.

I have &c

(Signed) Mohiddeen Ahmed
Secretary to H. H. the Prince of Arcot

(True Copy)
Azum Husain
Secretary to the Prince of Arcot

1897
Pol. Dept
Enclos. No. 5
To
O. No. 656

Political Department.

42

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following paper From the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends Chepak, dated 10 November 1888 No: 515 of 1888.

Order 27th November 1888, No: 769.
Recorded.

B

2 The Government do not wish to interfere with the distribution which seems proper to His Highness the Prince of Arcot and consider that it is sufficient for the Paymaster to report such arrangements for their information.

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Price
Chief Secretary

To
The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
(with enclosure returned)

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends Office, Chepak
1st December 1888.

(True Copy)
T. O. Underwood Colonel
Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To
His Highness the Prince of Arcot.

Amir Mahal
12th May 1897

(True Copy)
Azum Husain
Secretary to the Prince of Arcot

1897
Poll Dept.
Enclos. No. 4
O. No. 656

No. 224 of 1897. 4B Paymaster, Carnatic Stip
Office Chepák 17th May 18

CURRENT NO 656

From,

The Officiating Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends.

To

The Chief Secretary to Governmen

Sir,

I have the honour to forward for the orders of Government, a Mahazar or petition from certain members of the Arcot family regarding the recent order* of Government in the case of the pension granted to the widow of the late Abdul Hai, Son ~~in law~~ of Mr Muhammed Muhammed, Khan Bahadur, - and a letter from the Prince of Arcot on the same subject.

* No. 177.
Dated. 18th March 1897.

I have the honour &c,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
E. Marsden
Offg Paymaster.

Enfd

44

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 660

Telegram

From Muhammed Abdul Razzak

Order

No.

Dated 19th May 1897

Received 19th May 1897

Registered 19th May 97

Enclosures –

Spare copies –

SUBJECT.

Informing that Mahammed Mahmud Khan Bahadur disregarded Government order of 18th March and that he intends moving Government to allow him to draw entire allowance and pay of ~~my~~ his daughter.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No 660

45

1816

Code Class } up

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number. }

To (Office) Ootacamund	From (Office) Madras Triplicane

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
68	19	14	43	

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 19. MAY. 1897

To (Person) The Honble Mr J. F. Price C. I. E. chief secy to Govt	From (Person) Muhammed abdul Razzak

Muhammed Mahmud Khan bahadur disregarded govern=ment order of Eighteenth march and threatened us with appeal and protest he appears moving government to allow him draw entire allowance and pay my daughter please Consider how his request is reasonable when my daughter suffers inconvenience and trouble besides money being governments x

64 Word Form.

F C 2

W B

Recd. from LN. At Hrs. Mts. by	Sent to LN. At Hrs. Mts. by	Telegraph Master.

C G P—No. 534 T—24-7-95

OOTACAMUND 19-5-97

that the balance sho
distributed amongst his children

[Poll. 7]
1,000—25-11-96.

46

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 661

Order

From Muhammad Mahmud Khan
Bahadur
Nungumbaukum

No.

Dated 15th
Received 20th } May 1897
Registered 20th
Enclosures One
Spare copies One,, of the current

SUBJECT.

Stating that Rahmat-un-nisa Begam is ~~only~~ entitled to a portion only of her husbands' stipend and that the balance should be distributed amongst his children

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

COURT FEE
INDIA
2 Rs
TWO RUPEES
~~15-5-97~~

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 MAY 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

47

I Mohamed Mahmud Khan Bahadur do hereby appoint and retain the Honorable P. Anandacharloo a Vakeel of the High Court of Judicature at Madras to be my Vakeel for preparing and presenting a petition to the Government of Fort Saint George in respect of my claim on behalf of my sons and daughters, to shares in the pension enjoyed by my Eldest son the late Abdul Hye Saheb

I further authorise the said Honorable P. Anandacharloo to appear for me, before His Excellency the Governor-in-Council and to do all other acts that may be needful in that behalf – Dated 15th May 1897.

Executed at Madras
aforesaid this 15th
day of May 1897
Before me
[illegible]
Presidency Magistrate.

Mahomed Mahmood Khan Badr.

I accept
P. Anandacharlu
Vakil, High Court Madras

1897
Poll Dept.
Bundle No. 1

COURT FEE
INDIA
2 Rs
TWO RUPEES

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 MAY 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

17

CURRENT No. 661.

108

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

OOTACAMUND.

The humble petition of Mohamed Mahmúd Khan Bahadur *son-in-law of* H. H. the First Prince of Arcot, *residing at No.* 1-2 *in Gream's Road at Nungumbakam within the local limits of Madras,* 9

Humbly Showeth,

That your petitioner is the father of Abdul Hye Saheb who died on the 19th October 1896.

2 That the said Abdul Hye Saheb died leaving Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah, his widow, a Nickah having been contracted between the said Abdul Hye Saheb and the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah a short time before his death but which was never consummated.

3 That by an order No. 177 dated ~~15th~~ 18th March 1897 Political, Your Excellency in Council directed that the whole of the stipend to which the said Abdul Hye Saheb was in his life entitled *viz.* Rs. 155-6-8 per mensem should be continued to the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah for her life with effect from the 20th October 1896, revertible on her death to the surviving brothers and sisters of the said Abdul Hye Saheb.

4 Your petitioner submits on behalf of his surviving children that the order above referred to is not warranted by the circumstances of the case and results in a hardship upon the remaining members of his family, inasmuch as the lady in question is, under the Mahomedan law and according to custom and usage prevailing amongst Carnatic stipendiaries, entitled, on the death of her husband, to only ⅓ or ½ of the said stipend, the remaining ⅔ or ½ being divisible amongst your petitioner's surviving children.

5 Your petitioner is aware that an isolated instance had occurred in which the full pension of a deceased stipendiary was continued to his widow *i. e.*, in the case of the widow of Najeebulla Khan; but your petitioner submits that it was a very special case in which there were special circumstances which accounted for the departure from the usual rule *viz.*, that her husband had requested the Government to grant the full pension to her and that the then Prince of Arcot backed up the said request with his recommendation.

6 Your petitioner would refer to the more recent case of his own eldest daughter, named Ameeroonnessa Begam, on whose death only ⅓ of her stipend was granted to her husband, the remaining portion reverting to the family of your Petitioner. Vide G. O. 21st May 1894 No. 328, Political. Vide para 4 of P. C's letter W.

[illegible]

7 Your petitioner would also refer to the case of Malikun Nisa Begum the Nickah wife of Prince Inthizamul Mulk Bahadur, the 3rd Prince of Arcot, who was a very res-

pectable lady but was only granted a monthly stipend of Rs. 100 out of Rs. 900 the personal allowance of her husband, the remaining 800 Rs. having been given to his shadi wife Khatheeja Begam Sahebah.

8 That the above two instances, one in the petitioner's own family and the other in the 3rd Prince of Arcot's family, together with the general rule observed by Government in all such cases, are in favor of the petitioner's contention that the decision in the case of his late son's stipend is at variance with both principle and practice. That under Mohamedan Law the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah, being a childless widow, would take only ¼ share in her husband's property and your petitioner submits that there are no special circumstances for the Government to be so pleased as to direct that the whole of her husband's pension should be continued to her for her life; specially when it is borne in mind that the Nickah was not consummated, and that during the deceased's lifetime Rahmatun Nisa Begum lived under her father's roof and protection, and neither drew nor claimed any portion of her husband's stipend for her maintenance, as, according to the family practice, she was not entitled to the same until the consummation of her Nickah.

9 With reference to the mode of payment of the pension payable to Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah your petitioner submits that such payment should be made to her by or through your petitioner as the head of the family to which she by Nickah belongs.

10 That by an order of 9th day of November 1893 No. 749, Political, Government was pleased to accede to your petitioner's request that, during his lifetime and so long as he should discharge the work of distribution correctly and regularly of the allowance of his children, both the stipend and the house rent should be continued to be drawn on his signature and distributed by him.

11 Your petitioner submits that from the date of the above order he has always punctually distributed the stipends of his children including their allowances for house rent and that there is no reason which can be assigned for an attempt which petitioner hears is now being made by the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum to obtain the cancellation of the said order and the introduction of another system whereby the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah should he allowed to draw her pension direct from the Paymaster Carnatic stipends instead of through your petitioner.

12 Your petitioner therefore prays that this his Petition may be received and that Your Excellency in Council will, upon consideration of the statement of facts therein set forth, be pleased to direct that the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah should only draw and through your petitioner a portion of the stipend of her late husband for life and that the balance should be rateably distributed amongst your Petitioner's surviving children: the said portion, to be paid to the said Rahmatun Nisa Begum Sahebah during her life reverting to your Petitioner's children upon her death or upon her contracting another Nickah or marriage. For which act of sheer justice and benevolence Petitioner as in duty bound,

SHALL EVER PRAY,

MADRAS,
15th May 1897.

P. [illegible]
Vakil High Court Vakil
& Petitioners Vakil

PRINTED AT THE VYJAYANTI PRESS, MADRAS.

[Poll. / 7]
1,000—25-11-96.

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 693.

From

Muhammad Abdur Razzaq
Madras

Order

No. –

Dated 26th May 1897
Received 28th — do —
Registered 31st May 1897.
Enclosures 4
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting that orders may be issued to the Bank of Madras to pay his daughter's stipend direct to her and not through Muhammad Mahmad Khan Bahadur as at present.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
		T	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Madras 13 April 1897

Rahmut un Nissa Begum Sahiba

Madam

Under instructions from Mahomed Mahmood Khan Bee we do hereby tender to you under protest the sum of Rs 819.12.11 as per bill forwarded by the Officiating Paymaster Carnatic Stipends Chepauk to our Client for disposal

"In deference to the Order of Government dated 18th March 1897 No 177 Political" our Client instructs us to make the above tender and to inform you that monthly payments of Rs 155. 6. 8 will be made to you pending the disposal of the Appeal which our client purposes to prefer against the said Order

We are, Madam

Your obedient servants

2807
Fil
4
693.

Mahzar

containing seals & signatures of the Arcot family.

We the undersigned most respectfully beg to represent that an arrangement was made in 1868 between the Government and Prince Azeem Jah Bahadur, First Prince of Arcot in which His Highness requested the Government to be kind enough to continue to distribute an allowance of a lac and a half of rupees annually amongst the scheduled members of his family. In accordance with the above arrangement every branch of the family that was given its due share was to enjoy the same until every member of such branch family was alive. When that branch family should cease, then the allowance should revert to the member of the Scheduled family from which that branch family claimed its descent. If all the members of this Scheduled family should depart from this world, then the whole amount of Rs 900/- should revert to the then existing Prince. For instance, a renewal of this arrangement was again made in 1894, when Amir-un-Nisa Begum, daughter of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur died.

We further beg to submit that Muhammad Abdul Hye Sahib, the deceased son of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur left two legiti legal heirs behind him, one of them is his father, Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur and the other his wife Rahmath-un-Nisa Begum. The former having received his full shares as per arrangement quoted above, Government after fully discussing the rights and claims of the latter accorded full justice to her by kindly granting her the full allowance of her late husband as per G. O. No: 177, dated 18. March 1897.

We therefore consider it our bounden duty to record by signatures and seals our cordial thanks to our Excellency for having done full justice (which is most praise worthy) to the deserving party as the same is quite in keeping with the arrangement above adverted to.

Dated 17. May 1897. }
Madras. }

Sign of Rafat-ul-Mulk Bahadur
Son-in-law of H. H. the First-Prince of Arcot.
" Amat-ul-Qadir Qadir-un Nisa Begum Sahiba
Shadi daughter of H. H. Prince Intizam-ul-mulk Br.
" Tajammul Husain Khan Bahadur
husband of the above Begum.
" Hajee Ahmed Ali Sahib
Shadi grandson of H. H. First-Prince of Arcot
" Muhammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib, do.
Mark of Amat-ul-Lateef Syeed-un-Nisa Begum
Shadi grand daughter of H. H. 1st Prince of Arcot
Sign of Muhammad Anwar-ul Haq Sahib
Shadi great grandson of H. H. 1st Prince of Arcot
" Hajee Abdus Samad Sahib
Shadi G. son of H. H. 1st Prince of Arcot.
Mark of Anwar Begum Sahiba, Shadi wife of the aforesaid Abdus Samad Sahib

---

Sign of Abdul Ahad Sahib,
Shadi G. Grandson of H. H. 1st Prince of Arcot
Seal of Mahboob-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba,
G. Grand daughter of H. H. 1st Prince of Arcot
" Farhat-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba, do.
Sign of Ghulam Husain Sahib, husband of the afore-said Mahboob-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba
Seal of Md. Fazl-ulla Khan Bahadur,
Son of H. H. Zaheer-ud-Doulah Br.
" Md. Karamat-ulla Khan Br., do.
" Md. Ghias-ud-din Sahib, do.
" Afzal-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba, wife of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Doulah Br.
" Hafizath-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba
daughter of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Doulah Br.
Sign of Ghulam Qader Sahib, son-in-law of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Dowlah Br.
Seal of Waheed-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba
daughter of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Dowlah Br.
" Mahmud Husain Sahib, son-in-law of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Doulah Br.
Mark of Sajid-un-Nisa Begum Sahiba, daughter of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Doulah Br.
" Ghouse Bee Sahiba, wife of H. H. Prince Zaheer-ud-Dowlah Br.

1897
Finl Dept.
Procs. No 2
To
693

From Messrs Branson & Branson to Rahamut-Un-nisa Begam Sahiba, dated 1st May 1897:-

With reference to our letter to you of the 13th ultimo we herewith tender you under protest on behalf of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur Rs. 152-2-11 being the amount payable to you for April last under order of Government dated 18th March 1897, No. 177, "Political" less the incometax thereon.

AB 129/817

26. May 1897, Madras
Jan-i-Jehan Khan Rd.

To

The Honble. J. F. Price, C.I.E.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Ootacamund.

CHIEF SECRETARY
28 MAY 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

I beg most respectfully to thank you and Government for imparting due justice to my daughter Rahmath-un-Nisa Begum, as per mutual arrangement between the Government and the First Prince of Arcot in 1868, which was renewed in 1894 on the demise of Amir-un-Nisa Begum, daughter of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

2. I am also highly indebted to you for having, in accordance with the above arrangement continued the full stipend of Muhammad Abdul Aziz Sahib, the deceased son of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur, in his family, that is, his wife, my daughter Rahmath-un-Nisa Begum (vide G. O. No: 177, dated 18th March 1897). But I regret to have to submit that no action has yet been taken in the execution of the said order. Although a bill, amounting to Rs 819-12-11, was sent to Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur through the Ag. Paymaster on 31st March 1897 under cover, but he paid little or no attention to the Government Order until 5. April 1897. I again addressed the Paymaster requesting him to move the

Governme

Government to separate the stipend of my daughter from the Consolidated Stipend in the name of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur to enable my daughter to draw her stipend direct from the Bank of Madras.

3. On the 13th of April and again on the 1st May 1897 Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur through his Solicitors Messrs. Branson & Branson tendered the amount under protest. I have herewith enclosed the notices received from the Solicitors in original. The amount, however, was declined as the same was tendered under protest.

4. In November 1896 when he (Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur) forwarded the Fatwa of the Qazi, he argued that the continuation of grants was solely at the Choice of Government; but he now contradicts his own statement when he means to appeal. I once more beg respectfully to draw the particular attention of Government to this point.

5. I further beg to submit that Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur with a view to approach Government with an appeal, has opened a Mahzar and with the aid of the present Prince of Arcot, a tool in the above Bahadur's hands, prevailed upon his friends and relations by personal solicitations to sign the said Mahzar or put their seals to it, pointing out that the decision arrived at by Government in my daughter's case is not justified. But some of the members of

of the Arcot family have expressly refused to sign the Mahzar. In this connection it may be humbly remarked that he proceeded to make an attempt inspite of the distinct order of Government in his hand. I regret to have to say that this is on account of his wealth and his position as Consul to the Shah of Persia.

6. If I had taken an initiative part I would have also secured such signatures and seals to any Mahzar I thought of submitting. Though it was rather late for me to make an attempt in this direction still I have obtained a few signatures× and seals and some are of the very persons who have signed and sealed Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur's Mahzar. Thus it is clear that Mahzars are of no earthly avail and possess no reputation in themselves and Government are not guided by them in any way whatever.

× Mahzar annexed.

7. The Chief point of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur's contention in his Mahzar is that Karim-un-Nisa Begum got her full allowance as per will of her husband. Government do not act in accordance with the wills of the Stipendiaries (as wills come under the category of Law) as they have also decided in their order dated 22. May 1804.

8. In conclusion I beg to submit that the decision of Government in their G. O. No: 177, dated 18th March 1897 is in perfect harmony with the mutual arrangement as entered into between the Government and

59

and the First Prince of Arcot and is analogous to the case of Karim-un-Nisa Begum; based on the recommendation of the experienced Prince of Arcot of the time and the then Paymaster in pursuance of the above arrangement. Taking into consideration Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur's total ignorance of the claims of my daughter and his attempts to deprive my daughter of her due share and the preparation of his Mahzar (whether it is before Government or not I cannot confidentially say) even after the receipt of the Government order and also the keen and partial interest shown by the present Prince of Arcot from the beginning in favour of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur, I cannot but humbly move Government to separate her allowance from the stipend of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur to enable her to draw it direct from the Bank of Madras.

For this act of justice and charity I shall ever feel thankful.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

(Sd.) Muhammad Abdur Razzaq

[Poll. / 7]
1,000—25-11-96.

60

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 712

Telegram

From

Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur

Order

No. –

Dated 2nd } June 1897
Received 3rd
Registered 3rd
Enclosures –
Spare copies –

SUBJECT.

Stating that Rahmat-un-nisa Begum has declined to receive the pension amount tendered to her this month,

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Telegram

Mahommed Rahmut Khan
Bahadur

2nd } June 1897
3rd }

Stating that Rahmut-
unnissa Begum has
again [illegible]
[illegible]

CURRENT NO. 712

61

Code Class. } D.O.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

Monthly Number. } 19

To (Office) Ootacamund	From (Office) Madras. Triplica

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
26	2.	11.	30	Dfd

To (Person) The Honble The Chief Secretary To Govt.	From (Person) Mohd Mahmut Khan Bahadur

3.6.97

Pension amount tendered to rahmut unnisa begum this month again declined

32 Word Form.

F. C1.

Recd. from	I.N.	Sent to	I.N.
At Hrs. Mts. by		At Hrs. Mts. by	

Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 2 6-97

G C P—No 490 T—18 2 6—1600000

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 789

C/

Add this to file which has gone to C.S which will shortly circ to H.E

Cws
25/6/97

Done
RC
28.6.97.

Order

From

The Offg: Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak

No. 255 of 1897

Dated 23rd }
Received } June 1897
Registered }
Enclosures one (1)
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwards a letter received from the firm of Messrs. Branson & Branson, for the orders of Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Forwards a letter received from
[illegible] Messrs Branson & Branson
for the information of Govt.

63

From Messrs Branson & Branson, to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, dated 3rd June 1897:-

We have the honour to inform you that on our tendering to Ammatul-Wahab Rahamut-un-nisa Begam Sahiba the sum of Rs.152-2-11 "under protest" for May she refused to receive same.

We shall thank you on behalf of our client to intimate to the Chief Secretary to Government the fact of our having tendered the above sum to the lady "under protest" and of her having refused to accept such tender.

127
8/7

CURRENT No. 64 789

No.- 255 of 1897.

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Office Chepak 23rd June 1897.

From

E. Marsden Esquire
offg: Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

C/604/97 A

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 208 dated 5th May 1897 I have the honour to forward herewith a letter dated 3rd June 1897, received on the 18th Instant, from the firm of Messrs. Branson & Branson, for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
E Marsden
offg: Paymaster Carnatic
Stipends Chepak

[Poll./7]
1,000—25-11-96.

65

Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 812

From Muhammad Abdur-Razzaq, Madras

~~Order~~

No.

Lodged with reference to G.O. No. 434 B Pol. d. 1-2-97.

For the Madras. Please see to this. AJ 5/7/97

No. Send down to AC/M and tell him to have it read to him that SO and return the enclosure with the others to pet. for return to Abdur. Razzaq.

Close this current by G.O. 434 Pol. 7.1.297 [illegible] 30/7/97

Dated 29th June 1897

Received 1st July "

Registered 2nd July "

Enclosures One

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for information letter from Messrs. Branson & Branson tendering under protest Rs 152-2-11 on behalf of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

66

From Messrs Branson & Branson to Rahamut-un-nisa Begam Sahiba, dated 1st June 1897:-

With reference to our letter to you of the 13th April last, we herewith tender you under protest on behalf of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur the sum of Rs.152-2-11 being the amount payable to you for May last under order of Government, dated 18th March 1897, No.177, "Political" less the incometax thereon.

AB 129/8|7

CURRENT No. 812

To

The Honble. Mr. J. F. Price, I.C.S., C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to Government,
Ootacamund.

CHIEF SECRETARY
-1 JUL 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Honble. Sir,

I most respectfully beg to enclose herewith a letter addressed to my daughter by Messrs. Branson & Branson on behalf of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur tendering under Protest a sum of Rs. 152-2-11 (It ought to be Rs 152-3-0) and hope that you would be graciously pleased to lay the same before His Excellency for information.

I beg to remain,
Honble. Sir,
your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) Muhammad Abdur-Razzaq

Jani Jehan Khan Road,
Triplicane, Madras
29 June 1897

3 files

C 27/4/97
R 17/5/97

68

[G./26]
1,000—14-12-96.

Please see other currents
1898 chronologically

Ale
17/5

Political DEPARTMENT.

C. Nos. 482, 490 & 516.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Carnatic stipendiaries

FOR ORDERS.

K

In the first of the currents read above the Paymaster Carnatic Stipends submits certain correspondence which has arisen out of G.O. No. 177 Pol., d/ 18th March 1897, which sanctioned the continuance of the whole of the stipend of the late Abd-ul-Hai to his widow Rahmat-un-nisa Begum for her life, revertable on her death to the surviving brothers and sisters of the deceased or their children. As directed in that order Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur the father of the late Abd-ul-Hai was informed of the decision by the Paymaster. Mr. Mahmud then applied for a copy of G.O. No. 177 Pol d/ 18/3/97, ~~through~~ Messrs Branson & Branson, in view to his appealing against this order. The Paymaster declined to furnish copy of the order without the express orders of Govt. As a copy was not sent to ~~him~~ Muhammad Mahmud by Govt, though a copy was sent to the Acctt. General, the Paymaster presumed that it was not the intention of Govt that ~~he~~ Muhd. Mahmud should have a copy as the G.O. embodied references to correspondence in his case which possibly it was not intended that he should see. The Paymaster now solicits orders as to whether a copy of the order may be given.

K

Submitted that nothing beyond the bare order itself be given

2. In the meantime Mr. Abdul Razzaq, the father of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam, forwarded to the Paymaster a bill for Rs 819-12-11 for the allowance, with arrears, sanctioned to her by Govt, which he desired might be sent to Mr. Mahmud Khan Bahadur

for adjustment. This the Paymaster did; but Mr. Mahmud Khan Bahadur has misconstrued the Paymaster's action as interfering with the arrangement sanctioned in G.O. No. 749 Pol., d/ 9th Novr. 1893, by which he was permitted to draw the stipends & house-rent allowances of his children on his own receipt. The Paymaster however protests that his action in forwarding to Mr. Mahmud Khan Bdr. the receipt from Ammat-ul-wahhab Rahmat-un-nisa Begam was in direct conformity with G.O. No. 749 Pol., d/ 9th Novr. 1893, as it left the payment to him and did not require him to make any payment through the Paymaster's office. He further points out that the widow lady is not Mr. Mahmud Khan Bahadur's child and that the G.O. quoted permit him to draw stipends on beha of, and make payments to his +

H

H

A

+ Note This argument upsets that of the Paymaster at A of the disposal of the Paymaster's memo transferring to Mr. Mahmud Khan Bahadur Rahmat-un-nisa Begam's bill for Rs 819-12-11 for disposal, might be permitted to remain in abeyance until orders were passed on his contemplated appeal against G.O. No. 177 Pol: of 18th March 1897. Messrs Branson & Branson however have since forwarded to the Paymaster the amount of the bill in question (Rs 819-12-11) for payment under protest to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam. The Paymaster has declined to take charge of the money & has referred them to his memo of the 1st instant which forwarded the bill 'for disposal' and did not require that any payment should be made through his office. He has also pointed out that the proper course for their client was to have paid the money direct to the claimant, and

K

L. No. 576.

now reports the matter to Govt. In these circumstances and in order to avoid any future misunderstanding it is submitted that Md. Abdur-razzaq Sahib's request that his daughter's stipend may be paid direct to her and separated from the family stipend now paid on the signature of Md. Mahmud Khan Bd. may be complied with and that the Paymaster may be directed to ascertain and report whether the allowance due to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam has since been paid to her.

It has since been tendered to her but refused. Vide C No. 520

The matter has assumed a new phase and has been complicated by the omission of the Paymaster to bring to notice, ~~the fact~~ when submitting his reports recorded in G.O. No. 177 of 18. 3. 97, K the fact that Muhd. Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, had been drawing the stipend of the late Abd-ul Hai under G.O. No. H 749 Pol. of 9. 11. 93 The original ~~or~~ letters from Muhd. Mahmud disposed of by that order are

[illegible]/10/97

not available but it will be seen from the Paymaster's letter of 23d. October 1893 in that order that all the children of Muhammad Mahmud Khan were then minors and that the principal reason for the step then taken was that the daughters were weak-minded. Considering the opposition to the continuance of the stipend to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam which has been offered by Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, it would, it is submitted, be productive of much trouble if the former were to draw the stipend & the best course appears to be that proposed above. (separation of the stipend)

Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, has telegraphed asking that orders in the matter may be deferred pending receipt of a ~~further~~ certain ~~appeal~~ letter from him (vide telegram tagged at end of currents - un-numbered as registers have not yet come up) – but it is subd. that no good purpose will be served by further delay in this matter

Cw.S. 19th 4.97

C No. 520 is evidently the letter referred to in Muhammad Mahmud K.B's telegram of the 18th inst.

The money has been tendered to Rahmat-un-nisa under protest and has been refused by her. If she chooses to do this it is her own concern and Govt. cannot compel her to accept it.

The only thing to be done appears to be to give the copy of G.O. No 177 Pol. dated 18th March 1897 asked for and to await the proposed appeal. If this goes against Muhammad Mahmud, as it probably will, he no doubt will lay an appeal to India, and if the result is unfavourable will then pay up without protest. Branson & Branson have very probably been "bouncing" the widow and hence possibly her ~~[illegible]~~ refusal of the tender made

LO
22/4/97.

The Paymaster should report if the money can be safely paid to the widow herself; and, if not whether it might not be paid to her own father rather than to her father-in-law. In the meantime, the money already drawn by the father-in-law on the widow's acct., might be refunded to the Paymaster, and by him paid to the widow, unless he thinks such a course unsafe. A.G.H. 16.5.97

A copy of this G.O. may be given.

A.G.H.
16.5.97

CARNATIC STIPENDIARIES

C.S.

From Orders

Political Dept:

C.Nos. 482, 490, 516, 553 ------
520, 585, 600, 604, 618 -------

Having considered the later papers on this matter, this Order is passed, with the addition ~~of the~~ suggested in para 5 of the office note, C No. 656, 660 + 661, flagged Z.

A.G.H. 30.6.97

See revised copy next paper

A

ORDER

The Paymaster, Carnatic Sti pends, will be requested to report wheth the stipend of Rs.155-6-8 can be safely paid to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam herself an if not, whether it might not be disburse to her father, Muhammad Abdul Razzac, ratha than to her father-in-law Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur. In the meantime the amount already drawn by the latter on account o Rahmat-un-nisa Begam should be refunded to the Paymaster and by him paid to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam unless ~~she~~ he considers such a course to be unsafe.

2. The Paymaster will be authorized to supply Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur with a copy of G.O.No.177 Poll., d/ 18th March 1897; Copy of the order alone should be furnished and not of any of the papers disposed of therein.

(from orders)

Cw.S.
19.5.97

To

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
(with original enclosures retd.
and with tel: pass enclosure
to C.No.618 to be retd. to
Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur).

OFFICE

Keep copy of all original enclosures returned and stitch them in their proper place in the order.

C 26/5/97
R 31/5/97

77

[G. 25]
1,(6-97.

Political DEPARTMENT.

C. Nos. 656, 660 & 661

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.
~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

PENSION of ABDUL HAI

FOR ORDERS.

Linked file K

While the draft on the currents in the linked file was being prepared, C.Nos. 656 & 660 and 661 were received. With the first of these the Paymaster, submits a letter from the Prince of Arcot giving cover to a Mahazar from certain members of the Arcot family in which objection is taken to the decision in G.O.No.177 Poll., d/ 18th March 1897, to continue the whole of the pension of the late Abdul Hai to his widow Rahmat-un-nisa Begam. The signatories to the Mahazar, which has the full sympathy of the Prince of Arcot, consider that the above decision would establish an undesirable precedent and that it is at variance with the principle which has always guided Govt in the distribution of Arcot pensions. The mahazar further represents that the case of the widow of Najib-ulla Khan Bahadur, on which the decision in question was apparently based, was exceptional as in that case the husband himself, had expressed a written request

that

that his pension should be continued to his widow in full and ~~that~~ this request was supported and recommended by the Prince of Arcot. In the present instance, it is represented, ~~that~~ no such circumstances exist, for when the Prince was consulted by the late Paymaster, he gave him clearly to understand that Rahmat-un-nisa Begam was not entitled to anything more than the proportion allowed by Muhammadan law or Govt. practice.

2. The case of Najib-ulla Khan Bahadur was dealt with in G.O.No.769 Political, dated 27th Novr. 1888, copy of which is enclosed in C.No.656. ~~The~~ The original of this order is at Madras, but it will be seen from para 2 of the Paymaster's letter No.67, dated 19th Feb. 1897, from the Prince of Arcot's letter to the Paymaster d/ 16th Feb. 1897 and from the ~~portion of the~~ notes in G.O. No.177 Poll., d/ 18th March 1887 in the linked file, that the Prince of Arcot himself informed the Paymaster that there was no precedent in the Arcot family for continuing to the widow of a pensioner only one-fourth of his stipend as contended by Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur in Abdul Hai's case ; that the Prince quoted the case of the widow of Najib-ulla Khan Bahadur as one analogous to that of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam ; that it was clearly understood by Govt. that the Prince by quoting this precedent

T
W
Y
X

x He has no doubt been got at - his opinion is of no value either one way or the other -

practically gave his consent to its application in the case of Abdul Hai's pension; and that the Paymaster himself reported that there was no case in the Arcot family of widows being apportioned only a part of the stipends of their deceased husbands whilst the only instance on record was that of the widow of Najibulla Khan Bahadur who got his entire stipend. The action of the Prince of Arcot in supporting the present request x is therefore , it is submitted, inconsistent.

3. C.No.660 is a telegram from Muhammad Abdul Razzaq , the father of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam, who objects to Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur being entrusted with the payment of the stipend which has been granted to her ~~Rahmat-un-nisa Begam [illegible] on these two papers. Perhaps it would [illegible] paragraph to the order [illegible] in the linked [illegible] stating that the Govt. [illegible] ed the order [illegible] forwarded with C.No.656, but sees no reason to alter its decision. C.No.660 [illegible] action in view of the revised draft order and may, if it is submitted, be merely filed with the papers thereon.~~

4. C.No.661 is the long promised petition from Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, which has been forwarded by the Hon: P.Ananda Charlu, together with a Vakalat authorizing his employment as Counsel for Muhammad Mahmud. In para 4 of this petition the alleged custom and usage prevailing

amongst Carnatic stipendiaries under which a widow is entitled on the death of her husband to only 1/3 or 1/2 of the stipend is again advanced as a ground against G.O.No.177 Poll., d/ 18th March 1897. With reference to this point attention is solicited to the notes in that G.O. and to para 2 of this note.

K

Para 5 of the petition alludes to the case of the widow of Najib-ulla Khan. This is no new argument.

In para 6, Muhammad Mahmud instances the case of his eldest daughter, Am~~ee~~ir-un-nisa Begam, whose husband was granted only 1/3d of her stipend on her death. As regards this, attention is solicited to para 4 of the Paymaster's letter No.67, d/ 19th Feb. 1897 where he rightly pointed out that the cases of Am~~ee~~ir-un-nisa Begam and Abdul Hai were not analogous since the former was a female and the latter a male; there being many cases of widowers in the Arcot family who have been awarded only a portion of their deceased wives' ~~hu~~ stipends but none of females who have been awarded only a part of their husbands'.

W (linked file)

In para 7 of the petition reference is made to the case of the wives of ~~Prince~~ Inthizamul-Mulk, ~~Bahadur~~ the third Prince of Arcot, and some stress is laid on the fact that the nikahi wife only received Rs.100 out of the (Rs.900) personal allowance of her husband. The same para admits however that

that the remaining Rs.800 was granted to the shadi wife. There ~~a~~ were therefore two wi~~ves~~dows in question in that case and the shadi wi~~fe~~dow naturally got the larger share. It is not quite x clear how this can affect the case of Abdul Hai.

x I do not see that it has anything to do with it

Para 8 advances the fact that the nikahi of Abdul Hai and Rahmat-un-nisa Begam was not consummated, but this is again no new point for the subject has been threshed out and the opinion of the Advocate General has been acted upon.

The remainder of the petition is concerned with the mode of payment of the pension of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam. Muhammad Mahmud points out that under G.O. No.749 Poll., d/ 9th Novr. 1893 he has been permitted to distribute the allowances of his children and contends that Rahmat-un-nisa Begam's stipend, whatever it may be, should be disbursed by him. For the reasons advanced in the note in the linked file and in view of the recent circumstances detailed therein, the continuance of the present arrangement does not appear to be advisable, and it is submitted that the decision on this point which is embodied in para 1 of the draft order in the linked file should be adhered to.

(linked file) H

5 Orders are solicited with reference to these three papers. Perhaps it would ~~ha~~ be sufficient to add a para to the order already drafted in the linked file stating that Govt. has considered the mahazar ~~forwarded with~~ enclosed in C.No.656 and the petition

from

from Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, forwarded by the Hon'ble P. Ananda Charlu with C.No.661, but sees no reason to alter the decision arrived at in G.O. No.177 Poll., d/ 18th March 1897. It might be intimated at the same time that the mode of payment of the pension of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam is still under consideration, but that until further orders it should be disbursed by the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.

K

6. C.No. 660 requires no action in view of the linked draft order and may, it is submitted, be merely read with the papers therein.

Chl.
22.5.97

The Mahzr and the Prince of Arcot's letter are evidently put-up businesses. The petr. has not it is submitted made out a case for reconsideration of the order complained of.

From what I have heard it seems probable that a good deal of the anxiety manifested in this matter has arisen from the contingency of Rahmat-un-nisa-Begums marrying again.

25/5/97.

I take it that the apportionment of stipends in such cases is not governed by Mohammedan law, but is decided at the discretion of Govt, consideration being had of the circumstances of the ~~individ~~ individuals concerned. It would useful to know the circumstances of the brothers and sisters who claim to share in the stipend, i.e., are they in need of help or not?

A.H. 29.5.97

C 28.6.97
R 30.6.97

C Enter all C. Nos in these three files at the head of these notes before circ. Chl.

PENSION of ABDUL HAI

Political Dept

C Nos 482, 490, 516, 520,
553, 585, 600, 604,
618, 656, 660, 661,
693, 712, & 789.

FOR ORDERS

H.E. the Governor

Z

In his note dated 29th May '97 on the linked file, H.E. the Governor observed that he took it that the apportionment of stipends in such cases is not governed by Muhammadan law, but is decided at the discretion of Govt., consideration being had of the circumstances of the individuals concerned. This remark applies to ordinary Carnatic stipends but that at present under discussion is one of the "perpetual" class, which arose in the following manner. In 1867 an arrangement was made that on the death of Azim Jah, the first Prince of Arcot, the sum of Rs.1,50,000 intended to cover all expenses and claims of the head of the family, his descendants and dependants of every kind, was to be assigned to the Arcot family in perpetuity [para 12 of Prince Azim Jah's letter on page 114 of the collection at the bottom of the file] The wishes of Prince Azim Jah were consulted and

Z'

and eventually a schedule was sanctioned in G.O.No. 233 Poll., d/ 28th Octr. 1868. This schedule brought up to Octr. 1891 will be found extracted in the paper flagged V in the file. The original pension from which that of Abdul Hai was derived was granted to Attiyat-ul-Ali Mahmud-un-nisa Begam, a shadi daughter of Prince Azim Jah. It descended from her to her daughter, Ammat-ur-rauf Amir-un-nisa Begam, and from the latter to Abdul Hai. Please see page 3 of the paper flagged V. A reference to the "remarks" column of the original schedule of 1868 [please see page 4 of G.O.No.106, dated 27th April 1868] shows that it was intended that the stipend of Attiyat ~~Ammat~~-ul-A~~Va~~li Mahmud ~~Valiyat~~-un-nisa Begam should be continued in full on her demise to her family in perpetuity. The principle that the representatives of a ~~the~~ sharer~~s~~ of these perpetual allowances ~~all apportioned~~ ~~allowances~~ should be heirs of such sharer according to Muhammadan Law

Q

X'

was

F

was recognized so lately as 1893 (G.O. No.678 Poll., d/ 3rd Octr. 1893) In the present case Govt. has the Advocate Genl's opinion dated 19th Decr. 1896 that Rahmat un-nisa Begam, as the widow of the late Abdul Hai, is entitled to her share of his estate according to Muhammadan law. Failing heirs, the pension would revert to the Prince of Arcot under para 1 of G.O. No. 470 Political, dated 4th August 1892.

M in linked file

S

2. In the same note H.E. remarked that it would be useful to know the circumstances of the brothers and sisters who claim a share in the stipend. With reference to this point it is submitted that the brothers and sisters of the late Abdul Hai are in receipt of monthly pensions as shewn below.

G.O.No.328 Poll., d/21-5-94 P

Names	Amount of stipend	House rent allowance per mensem
Muhammad Munavar Sahib	155-6-8	11-1-10
" Abdul-qadir Sahib	155-6-8	11-1-10
Ammat-ul-ghain Jawad-un-nisa Begam	77-12-0	11-1- 9
Ammat-ul-gaiyum Ghias-un-nisa Begam	77-12-0	11-1- 9
Ammat-ul-qadir Karamat-un-nisa Begam	77-12-0	11-1- 9
Ammat-un-nir Nur-un-nisa Begam	77-12-0	11-1- 9

Muhammad

Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur, the father of Abd-ul Hai, also draws in his own right a stipend of Rs.3,900 per annum (page 19 of the Quinquennial^x list)

x Volume at bottom of this file

3. Since the papers were last circulated, C.Nos.693* and 712 have been received. With the first of these Muhammad Abdul Razzaq, the father of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam, forwards letters received by the latter from Messrs. Branson & Branson, the solicitors of Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur, tendering under protest the amount of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam's stipend. Muhammad Abdul Razzaq states that the amount has been refused since it has been tendered under protest. He also encloses a counter-mahazar to that received with C.No.656 in which the action of Govt. in this matter is approved.

*Tagged to this note

4. C.No.712 is a telegram from Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, in which the fact that Rahmat-un-nisa Begam has refused her stipend is intimated.

There

Yes. I have now passed the original ~~decision~~ Draft Order.

A.S.H.
30.6.97

5. There is nothing, it is submitted, in either of these currents which needs influence the decision in the present case.

24.6.97

28/6/97

Paymaster Acct. Genl

Political Department

86

Read again G.O. No. 177 Pl. d/ 18.3.97

Q Q Read. C. No. 482 from the P.C.S. No. 156. d/ 5. April 1897

Q P ——— 490 " " " " No. 160 d/ 8. April 1897

Q Q ——— 516 " " " " No. 177 d/ 12. April 1897

A B × Telegram from Muhd. Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, d/ 16. April 1897.

A B × — C. No. 520 from Do. Do ——— d/ 15 April 1897

Q Q — C. No. 553 from the P.C.S. d/ 22. April 1897.

A Telegram — C. No. 585 " Muhd. Mahmud, Khan Bahadur d/ 3. May 1897

A Q Telegram — C. No. 600 " Do. Do. Do. d/ 5. May 1897.

Q — C. No. 604 " the P.C.S. d/ 5th May 1897, No. 208.

A Q — C. No. 618 Telegram – The Hon'ble Rai Bahadur P. Ananda Charlu C.I.E. d/ 11. May 1897.

Q P C. No. 656 " the P.C.S. No. 224 d/ 17th May 1897

A B. × — C. No. 660. Telegram from Muhd. Abdur Razzaq. d/ 19. 5. 97.

Q Q S.C. — C. No. 661 " Muhammad Mahmud Khan Bahadur d/ 15. 5. 97.

A B. × C. No. 693 " Muhd. Abdur Razzaq. d/ d/ 26. 5. 97.

A B. × — C. No. 712 Telegram " Muhd. Mahd. Khan Bahadur d/ 2. 6. 97.

Q B. × — C. No. 789 – from the P.C.S. No. 255 d/ 23. 6. 97.

C. No. 812 — Muhd. Abdur Razzaq. 29-6-97

C.S.
(From Order)

Order No. 434 d/ 1st July 97

Issue

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, will be requested to report whether the stipend of Rs 155-6-8 can be safely paid to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam herself and, if not, whether it might not be disbursed to her father, Muhd. Abd-ur-Razzaq, rather than to her father-in-law, Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur. In the meantime the amount already drawn by the latter on account of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam should

be

be refunded to the Paymaster & by him paid to Rahmat-un-nisa Begam unless he considers such a course to be unsafe.

2. The Govt. has considered the mahazar enclosed in the Paymrs letter No. 224 d 5th May 1897 d/15 May 1897 and the petition from Muhd. Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, forwarded by the Hon'ble Rai Bahadur P C. No 661 Ananda Charlu C. I. E., but sees no reason to ~~see~~ alter the decision arrived at in G.O. No 177 Political d 18th March 1897. The Paymaster will intimate this fact to Muhd. Mahmud Khan Bahadur, and also inform him that the mode of payment of the pension of Rahmat-un-nisa Begam is still under consideration but that until further orders it will be disbursed by the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.

3 The Paymaster will be authorized to supply Muhd. Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, with a copy of G.O. No. 177 Pol. d 18th March 1897. Copy of this order alone should be given and not of any

of

of the papers disposed of therein should be given

4 The Paymaster will make the necessary intimation to the parties concerned

(From Orders)

Ans.
30.6. ER

Ed
1/7/97

The Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
(C. ~~No. 482, 490, 516 and~~ as marked above with s.c of C. No. 661.)
with the following original enclos. returned

Office keep
Copies of these (except Hindustani) & stitch in their proper places

No. 1, 2, 4, & 6 to C. No. 482
No. 1. to C. No. 490
No. 1 to C. No. 576
No. 1. to C. No. 553
No. 1 to " " 604
No. 1, 2 & 3 " " 656
No. 1. " " 789

and with enclosures 1 & 3 to C. No. 693 for return to Muhd. Abdur. Razzaq.

Desp 1/7/97

Will follow

The Acct. Genl (as above)

The Hon'ble Rai Bahadur P. Ananda Charlu C.I.E.
(C. No 618 = Q C. No. 661 = P)
with telegraph. pass in C. No. 618 returned

Desp 1/7/97

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only