

Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 36 :

DATED 14-1-97

INDEXED.

w.1.
1/1

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing orders ~~in regard~~ on the application of
~~for copies of documents from~~ Subramanyam
Pillai, nephew of Gaudika Bai. Annual
of Tanjore, for copies of ^{certain} documents relative
to the property of that lady in view to his
addressing the Advs. Genl of Bombay & Calcutta
for recovery thereof.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1588

From The Judl Dept

Order

No.

Dated 1/12
Received 2/12
Registered 2/12 } 56

Enclosures -

Spare copies. -

SUBJECT.

Transf of petition
from J. K. Subramanaya
Pillai, Tanjore applying
for copies of certain
papers.

R.O. for paper

11/5/12

Returned from R.O. with paper
marked 14/12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Pub.]
10-25-10-96.

Public *Judicial*
Public (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. *5071*

From J.K. Subramaniya Pillai
Nephew of the late Mathias
Kantaki Bai Ammal of the Mahara
Kalyanamahal, Tanjore
No.

Dated 16th
Received 17
Registered *20*
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT, V.P.

Applying for copies of certain

Order
Endorsement.

Transferred to the Political
Dept.

Francis

As Under Secretary.

Note for S.A.

Cite reference in G.O. *11.8.96*
4.7.96

Now see that with exception of
G.O. *27.7* all the file on these
0.1.93

is Political. I think it belongs
to Polit. & may be kept there. The two
G.O.s dated may if necessary be transferred
to Polit. *Prinsep*

*It is too late in the
now*
this is just

Office

Register in Political

Rep *Has proposed to put up a...*

Can't expect

20/11

*Please refer to 61, 71 &
papers copies of which
now applied for. Also
the 77 sub & paper copy
of 27-11-96*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1118	Jud.	4-7-96
	1	Pol.	6-1-96
	506	Pol.	1-8-95
	443	do	9-7-95
	27	Jud.	10-1-93.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The peti. is the nephew of Mathurzi Kantaki Bai Ammal, now dead, of the Maharaja's Kalyana Mahal in Danjore. After the death of this lady the peti presented many petitions to the Collr. claiming the property she had left. Not having received any orders from this officer he petitioned Govt. on many occasions but all to no purpose. The peti. now intends to apply to the advocates general of Bombay and Calcutta for the recovery of the above property and has to produce copies of the following papers which he prays may be granted to him. If the peti. has to forfeit his claim to the property on account of any delay that may occur in the granting of the above copies he will have to hold Govt. responsible for the loss.

- Copy of list of papers.
1. Collr's order No 246 & 13th Sept 1893
 2. Order No. 1186 of 1896. (Collr's?)
 3. Order No. 167 of 1897. (do?)
 4. Collr's order issued to Sirkele Sama Rao, when the Govt. effected a settlement of the Kalyana Mahal affairs in 1891-92, asking him to enquire into the

x vide peti. in
G.O. No. 1118
4-7-96
443
9-7-95
B

Petition
27/2/97
D

G.O.

the claims of the pati and certain others.

5. Sirkale Sama Rao's report submitted in accordance with the last mentioned order.

6. Copy of His Highness the late Saraboji Maharaja's will of 1832 so far as it relates to the affairs of the Kalyanamahab.

G.O.

7. Report submitted to Govt. by Mr. H. L. Phillips in 1861 regarding the members of the Kalyanamahab.

8. Petition presented thereon by the members of the Kalyanamahab to Mr. Phillips.

9. G.O. on the subject issued to Mr. Phillips in 1861.

10. Report submitted to Govt. in 1871 by Mr. W. M. Cadel regarding the settlement of the Kalyanamahab affairs by Govt.

11. Mr. Cadel's order to the members of the Kalyanamahab of 8th August 1871.

12. Letter to the Coll. written by the members of the Kalyanamahab in 1871.

13. G.O. on his letter issued to Mr. Cadel.

The pati prays that orders may issue to the offices in which these papers may be kept, to grant to the pati the copies prayed for.

C.O.
18.11.96

not traceable

x no reports of 1861 can be traced - Copies of 1860 perhaps

x The only order in 1871 -
G.O. 222 of 20/7/71 + 222 of
11/9/71 Put up.



1583
CURRENT N

Handwritten text in Tamil script, likely a memorandum or official communication.

1. Handwritten text in Tamil script, continuing the official communication.

2. 1873-92. Handwritten list of dates and events in Tamil script, possibly a chronology or record of proceedings.

16-11-963

1709 December

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated , from

" "

" 189 , " , "

" "

" 189 , " , "

CS C. W. 1588

Note for ORDERS.

Sabramania Pillai, who is the nephew of Gandika Boi Ammal of Tanjore applies for copies of certain documents in view to applying to the Advocate General of Bombay & Calcutta for the recovery of the property which formed the subject of Nos 1118 Jnl dated 4th July '96,
A 443 Jnl dated 9th July 1895 and
B 27 Jnl dated 10th Janr '93
C From the Collector's letters under cover of endorsement dated 27th Feb^y 1877 and G.O. W. 27 Jnl dated 10th Janr '93 it would appear that Gandika Boi Ammal, one of the ladies of Kalyanamahal or Seraglio of the late Raja Sarfoji of Tanjore, died issueless in 1870 leaving a house, some moveable property

property and debts. Jewels worth Rs 1430.8.3 had been pawned by her during her lifetime as security for a loan. These jewels together with the title deeds of the house, ^{which} had also been mortgaged ^{by Gonda Ma Bai} were redeemed by the for^t. Agent, Tanjore, when he came to settle the claims of her creditors; ~~and~~ Of the jewels thus redeemed some valued at Rs 849.2.3 were claimed by the for^t. Subramania Pillai, who subsequently agreed to deliver free of encumbrances the house - which had been assigned to him by the deceased lady for temporary occupation - to the for^t. Agent who should in return deliver to him the jewels claimed. Subramania Pillai not having turned up either to make over the house or claim the jewels, the jewels were auctioned out in 1886 in pursuance of a notice published in the District Gazette for No 1746.14.6 (Gandika Bai's jewels for Rs 680.8 plus Subramania Pillai's for Rs 1066.6.6) In January 1888 Subramania Pillai applied to the for^t. Agent for the delivery of his share of the jewels and

in which Subramania Pillai was given clear notice of the contemplated action

and the proceeds were credited to the Kalyanaramahal Chattram funds.

[G.
24.]
500-28-10-96.

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:--

Again G.O., dated	189	, No.
Again G.O., dated	189	, No.
Current No.	of 189	, dated , from
" "	" 189	, " , "
" "	" 189	, " , "

ORDER.

and was informed by the for^t. Agent that they had been disposed of. In April of the same year he put in a petition objecting to the sale of the jewels and was referred to for^t. sud^t. dated 27th February 1877. Again in Sep^r. of 1889 he petitioned stating that he was prepared to deliver possession of the house and execute the necessary release deed on the jewels or their sale proceeds being made over to him. As he had slept over the matter for about 13 years after the date of the original agreement & did not appear even after the notice given in the District Gazette he was referred to the previous ⁶⁰ endorsement

endorsement of 1888 & intimated that his claim had been finally disposed of. And again in July 1891 he requested that in case the sale proceeds of the jewels could not be paid to him the house may be formally made over to him by means of a release together with the original documents. This was refused by Mr Gibson, the Fort Agent. The several petitions from Subramania Pillai having thus proved futile, his son Singaravelu Pillai gave notice on behalf of himself & his brother of his intention to file a suit if a sale deed in respect of the house be not executed and given him within two months together with the title deeds. The title deeds however were not forthcoming in the Fort Agent's office. In G.O. No. 27 Jndl dated 10th Jan^y 1893 the CoW. was authorized to offer to negotiate with Singaravelu Pillai on the proposal made by him viz that Fort should waive in petitioner's favour any claim that Fort may possess to or in respect of the said house. The negotiation does not seem to have proved successful, for Subramania Pillai and

There also contain some
confidential papers.
Cub

Copies of
(2) & (3) might be given
Reading petn (purportedly page 3 of the 80
not in full - report flagged D
from G. A (abstract)
order in full
Cub

vide page 11 of the 80
Flagged D

13/1/97

Tell him that
more copies
must be
sent

Copies of these papers, which the records but has put up papers contain semi-confid. reports of 1860 on the subject: please see for the G. A Tanjore & should not be given
Cub

B
A
C
A

and J. S. Singaravelu Pillai petitioned for again in 1895 and 1896. They were referred to G.O. No. 27 Jndl dated 10th Jan 1893 and informed in G.O. No. 1118 Jndl dated 4th July 1896 that further petitions from them on the subject of their claims to the property of the deceased Jandika Bai would not be noticed.

Of the documents applied for by the petitioner only two are available & they are items No. 2 & 3 - Item No. 2 is an endorsement on the petition from Subramania Pillai & item No. 3 is the order of Fort on the above petition.

Items No. 1, 4, 5 & 8: these are correspondence between the Collector & the Sirkale & the petitioner should apply to the Collector for the copies he requires.

Item No. 6. Sarabhoji Maharaja's will. The Record Office reports that a copy of the will is not traceable.

Items No. 7, 8 & 9. Report on the members of the Kalyana Mahal -

The Record Office reports that no papers of 1861 can be traced in the records but has put up papers of 1860 on the subject: please see for the G. A Tanjore & should not be given
E F

Items No. 10, 11, 12 & 13. Settlement
of the Kalyana Mahal affairs.
The Record Office reports that
there are no other papers trace-
able except G.O. No. 222 Bk 2 G
20th July 1871, 282 Bk 2 11th Sept 71 H

These also contain semi-
confidential papers.
Cms

Ct
It is proposed to say-

Order No. 36 of 14-1-97
Petitioner will be

supplied with copies of documents
(2) and (3) specified in his list, on his forwarding the copyers
Applic: should be made to the Secy for this same (for Amendment)
Govt. Agent, Tanjore, as regards
documents (1), (4), (5), (8). Document
(6) is not traceable in the
Secretariat records & the
remaining papers cannot
be granted.

HC
File in
Cms

Cms
2.6.97
13/1/97

Petitioner (9/)

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 _____

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only