

Political (1897) Department.

②
ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 350

③
Dated 1-6-97.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Receiving a letter from the S.G. of Police reporting that
with ref: to the want of touch between the Puduk-
kottai State police and the police in the ad-
joining districts refd to in the above report
that state matters have now improved and there
is nothing to complain of now.

2
Judicial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

M. HAMMICK, Esq. of P.C.S.,
Inspector-General of Police,
Madras.

No. 958

The Ld's letter is not clear.
The Insp. clearly alludes to a "great
want of touch between the Police
of these [Madura Tanjore & Trichy]
districts and Pudukkottai police"
in the para of his report headed
"Meetings between border stations
of British territory" which
is found in the first part of
"PART IV. Appendices" to the
Pudukkottai Admin. Report.

SUBJECT.

Referring to G. O. on the
Administration report of the Puduk-
kottai State, states that a station
between Gondrakottai and Tanjore
is unnecessary and that careful
arrangements for nightly patrols on
the road between the above two places
have been made.

Has made
the place in
the current
The only P.O. quoted
in the current has
been flagged & filed
18/3/97

CS

For Orders

mem 89812
75.4.97

CS
26/3/97

31/3/97

Placed in Despatch
5/4/97
Copied &
Ext. 5/6/97

DEPARTMENT.

No. 958.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

MADRAS, 17 March

1897.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to G. O. No. 54 (Political), dated 19th January 1897 para 4 on the Pudukkottai State Administration Report for 1895--96, I have the honor to say that I find from the Report of the Police Superintendent Trichinopoly para 9 that he remarks on the cordial co-operation on the part of the State police with that of the neighbouring British Districts. I cannot see anything in his report as regards the want of touch upon which the Government remarks in the above order. The Political Agent was apparently very well pleased with the Superintendent's work in the State.

2. As regards the establishment of a new station between Gondrakottai and Tanjore I have the honor to say that in last year the Superintendent, Tanjore, reported that he considered a new station unnecessary, and that careful arrangements had been made for nightly patrols on the road between the above two places.

3. I had instructed Mr. West the Superintendent of Police, Trichinopoly to take care that he inspected the Pudukkottai Police carefully in 1895--96 and I am glad to report that he did so and that the Pudukkottai Dewan is

apparently

apparently now quite satisfied with present arrangement. Mr. West is the first Superintendent of Trichinopoly for a considerable time who has given a due portion of his time to Pudukkottai.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant,

M. Hammick

Inspector-General of Police

Full
Judicial (1897) Department.



CURRENT No.

Political

From

M. HAMMICK, ESQUIRE, I.C.S.,

Inspector-General of Police,

No. 1861 Madras.

Dated 25. May 1897

Received 27

Registered 27

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting that with reference to the want of touch between the Pudukottai State Police and the Police in the adjoining British Districts noticed in the State Administration report, matters have now improved and there is now nothing to complain of.

Order
29.5.97

Records

29.5.97

De Pucc (6th of)

P. A. Pudukkottai (6th of)

Copied 25.5.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date
	54-5	19.1.97	
	65	28.1.96	

No. 0-48.

DEPARTMENT
No 1861.

CURRENT No. 2207

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

MADRAS, 25th May 1897.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

TO

THE Chief Secretary to Government,

Cotacamund.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to Memorandum No. 812, dated 5th April 1897 regarding the Pudukottai Police, I have the honor to say that when I wrote my first letter dated 17th March 1897 No. 958 I inadvertently misunderstood the Government Order. I had before me the Superintendent's Administration Report for Fasli 1305 to which I alluded. Mr. West the Superintendent apparently expressed himself somewhat differently in his manuscript administration report from what he did in his remarks printed in the appendices to the Pudukottai Administration Report. He now reports that matters have improved and that the Police of the State are in better touch with those of the neighbouring districts and that he has nothing now to complain of. I regret the mistake I made but I thought Government alluded to the Pudukottai State Police Administration Report which is not printed in the State report as the Dewan's Administration report which came subsequently to the G.O. was by a mistake of the office not put up with the papers when I wrote my first letter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

Asst. Insp: Genl of Police,
for Inspector-General of Police.

Encd. 1