

Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 877

DATED 28-12-96

INDEXED.

W.S.
4/1

[Despatch Abstract.]

Respecting under para V(3) of G. Petition
Rules, petition from Ramen Velayuda
Perumal of Travancore on the subject
of the recission, at the suggestion of the
Resident, of an order by the Darbar
Concerning the site of certain shops.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 1626



From

The Asst. Resident in charge
Tranancore & Cochin

Order

Ref No. 35-20

Dated 8th December 1896

Received 12 12-86

Registered 12 12-86

Enclosures 3

Spare copies of each 3

SUBJECT.

Forwards a petition, to His
Excellency the Governor in Council
by one Ramen Velayudhan
Bennimal, Ichalai, Trivandrum

For Mr. Parris

Please say if you have got 80 yd. of
31-1-96. If so will you kindly take the
ct.

14/12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
677:	24.9.96		
78:	31.1.96		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To

His Excellency

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL OF
Fort St. George and its Dependencies

The humble Petition of RAMEN VALAYUDA-PERUMAU
Thavercoolangara Purayidam Chalai, Trevandrum.

REFERENCE.

- Proceedings of the Madras Government Political Department No. 78 dated the 31st January 1896.
- Proceedings of the same Government No. 677 dated the 24th September 1896.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That a careful perusal of these Proceedings under Reference, will convince Your Excellency in Council that the complaint and prayer of Petitioner has been misunderstood. It is the interference of the British Resident i. e. interference of the British or Paramount Power with the admittedly internal policy affecting Petitioner as a subject of a Native State, that is the proper subject-matter of his complaint. The prayer of Petitioner specifically is, that such interference of the British Resident with the final decision of the Maharajah, with regard to Service Inam property in his own territory, being, as admitted in the Proceedings B under reference, improper, that the result of such interference, viz. the reversal of such final decision, must be set aside, and matters restored to the state in which they were when that decision was in full force.

That Proceedings A under reference declines to interfere with the action of the British Resident in the matter, while Proceedings B sees no reason to interfere with the final decision of the Maharajah which is the very redress that Petitioner seeks at the hands of Your Excellency in Council. If the Proceedings B which practically grants the Petitioner's prayer may be accepted as final, a Proceedings of Government setting aside the Proceedings A on the ground of the illegality and impropriety of the Residents action in a matter of purely "internal policy affecting him (Petitioner) as a subject of a Native State" becomes absolutely necessary and will not only answer the ends of justice but perfectly satisfy the Petitioner. Your Excellency in Council cannot fail to observe that consistency with the proceedings B—the final decision of the Madras Government—requires that the proceedings A cannot stand, and that the action of the British Resident in a matter of admittedly purely internal policy in this Native State should be interfered with and condemned as palpably illegal and improper, and that the Decision of the Maha Rajah as conveyed in Jambandy Rayasom order No. 3936 dated the 4th Madom 1070, be restored to full force, (Vide Enclosure A to the memorial with-held.)

This being the true nature and character of Petitioner's prayer, Petitioner humbly prays that in kind and benign consideration of the reasons given above,

- the Proceedings A of the Madras Government which cannot co-exist with B, be set aside and that the action of the British Resident with admittedly internal policy of the Native State be declared illegal and improper,
- that the decision of the Maha Rajah which but for such improper interference would never have been disturbed, be restored,
- that the memorial with-held be forwarded to the Government of India in case prayers (a) and (b) are not complied with,
- that Petitioner be saved the necessity of addressing the Imperial Government of India in this matter in which the Madras Government itself has, in its Proceedings B, practically granted the remedy sought, if as prayed above, a Proceedings had been drawn up in harmony with Proceedings B, condemning the action of the British Resident in a matter amply admitted to be one of pure "internal policy affecting Petitioner as a subject of a Native State."

Trevandrum,
1st November 1896.

For which act of grace,
Petitioner shall ever pray;

RAMEN VALAYUDA PERUMAU.

Political Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper :—
From the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, dated 17th January, 1896, Reference on C. No. 3889.

ORDER 31 JANUARY 1896 No. 78.

Petitioner will be informed that the Government declines to interfere with the action of the Resident.

(True copy.)

(Signed) FREDRICK PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

THE RESIDENT IN TRAVANCORE AND COCHIN,
for communication to petitioner.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
Trevandrum, 6th February, 1896.

Reference on C. No. 372 of 96.

Copy forwarded to Raman Velayudha Perumal of Thevar Kulangara Purayidam, Chala, with reference to his petition of the 16th December, 1895.

J. THOMSON,
Resident.

/True Copy/ 

(Signed)

For Chief Secretary.

To

The Resident in Travancore and Cochin for communication to memorialist.

Reference—3143.

Copy forwarded to Ramen Velayuda Perumal with reference to the petition addressed to the Madras Government.

Residents Office,
Trivandrum,
6th October 1896.

L. J. ANDREWS, CAPT.
Asstt. Resident
for Ag. Resident.

(True copy)

VALAYUDA PERUMAUL,



1896
P.O.
No. 3
1626

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT,

Proceedings of the Government of Madras.

Read:—

Again G. O. No. 78 dated 31st January 1896.

Read also the following:—

From the Resident in Travancore and Cochin dated 4th September 1896

— : — : — : — : — : — : —

Order:—No. 677 dated 24th September 1896.

The memorial is withheld as the matter in respect of which the memorialist appeals (1) is one of internal policy affecting him as a subject of a Native State and His Excellency the Governor in Council sees no reason to interfere.

(True Extract)

(Signed)

For Chief Secretary.

To

The Resident in Tanjore and Cochin for communication to memorialist.

Reference—3143.

Copy forwarded to Ramen Velayuda Perumal with reference to the petition addressed to the Madras Government.

Residents Office, }
Trivandrum, }
6th October 1896. }

L. J. ANDREWS, CAPT.
Asstt. Resident
for Ag. Resident.

(True copy)

VALAYUDA PERUMAL,



1896
1626

To

His Excellency

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL OF
Fort St. George and its Dependencies

The humble Petition of RAMEN VALAYUDA-PERUMAU
Thavercoolangara Purayidam Chalai, Trevandrum.

REFERENCE.

- Conf
- A. Proceedings of the Madras Government Political Department No. 78 dated the 31st January 1896.
- B. Proceedings of the same Government No. 677 dated the 24th September 1896.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That a careful perusal of these Proceedings under Reference, will convince Your Excellency in Council that the complaint and prayer of Petitioner has been misunderstood. It is the interference of the British Resident i. e. interference of the British or Paramount Power with the admittedly internal policy affecting Petitioner as a subject of a Native State, that is the proper subject-matter of his complaint. The prayer of Petitioner specifically is, that such interference of the British Resident with the final decision of the Maharajah, with regard to Service Inam property in his own territory, being, as admitted in the Proceedings B under reference, improper, that the result of such interference, viz. the reversal of such final decision, must be set aside, and matters restored to the state in which they were when that decision was in full force.

That Proceedings A under reference declines to interfere with the action of the British Resident in the matter, while Proceedings B sees no reason to interfere with the final decision of the Maharajah which is the very redress that Petitioner seeks at the hands of Your Excellency in Council. If the Proceedings B which practically grants the Petitioner's prayer may be accepted as final, a Proceedings of Government setting aside the Proceedings A on the ground of the illegality and impropriety of the Residents action in a matter of purely "internal policy affecting him (Petitioner) as a subject of a Native State" becomes absolutely necessary and will not only answer the ends of justice but perfectly satisfy the Petitioner. Your Excellency in Council cannot fail to observe that consistency with the proceedings B—the final decision of the Madras Government—requires that the proceedings A cannot stand, and that the action of the British Resident in a matter of admitted purely internal policy in this Native State should be interfered with and condemned as palpably illegal and improper, and that the Decision of the Maha Rajah as conveyed in Jama-bandy Rayasom order No. 3936 dated the 4th Madom 1070, be restored to full force, (Vide Enclosure A to the memorial with-held.)

This being the true nature and character of Petitioner's prayer, Petitioner humbly prays that in kind and benign consideration of the reasons given above,

- the Proceedings A of the Madras Government which cannot co-exist with B, be set aside and that the action of the British Resident with admittedly internal policy of the Native State be declared illegal and improper,
- that the decision of the Maha Rajah which but for such improper interference would never have been disturbed, be restored,
- that the memorial with-held be forwarded to the Government of India in case prayers (a) and (b) are not complied with,
- that Petitioner be saved the necessity of addressing the Imperial Government of India in this matter in which the Madras Government itself has, in its Proceedings B, practically granted the remedy sought, if as prayed above, a Proceedings had been drawn up in harmony with Proceedings B, condemning the action of the British Resident in a matter amply admitted to be one of pure "internal policy affecting Petitioner as a subject of a Native State."

Trevandrum,
1st November 1896. }

For which act of grace,
Petitioner shall ever pray;

RAMEN VALAYUDA PERUMAU.



Ref No. 3520

RESIDENT'S OFFICE,

Trivandrum 8th Decr. 1896.

From

Captain L. J. Andrews
Asst. Resident in Charge.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith
a petition with its enclosures addressed
to His Excellency the Governor in Council
by one Ramen Velazudhan Perumal,
Thevarkulangara Purazidam, Ichalai,
Trivandrum. The petitioner's original
petition to the Madras Government was
B rejected in G. O. no 78 Pol dated 31st
January 1896 and his memorial to the
Government of India appealing against
this order, was withheld under G. O. no 673
C dated 24th September 1896. The present
petition is merely a rejoinder to the
latter order and no remarks seem

to be called for on it:

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant.

J. Lawrence C.M.
8/12

Asst. Resident
in Charge.

Political Department.

C. No 1626.

SUBJECT.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

Petition from one Velayudha
Perumal of Travancore

FOR ORDERS.

The facts of the case
which has given rise to these
petitions are briefly narrated
in the Resident's letter A in
82. No. 78 Poll. & 31-1-96.

The Travancore Darbar,
at the suggestion of the
Resident, rescinded ^{an order.} ~~one of its~~
previous ^{by passed} ~~orders~~ concerning
the site of ^{certain} ~~a few~~ shops which
^{The original order was}
in favour of the petitioner.
Velayudha Perumal requested
this Govt. to declare that
the Resident's interference
was illegal and improper,
but in 80. No. 78 Poll. &
31st Jan'y 1896 Govt. declined
to interfere with the decision
action of the Resident.

Velayudha

Velayudha Perumal thereupon
submitted a memorial
to the Government of India
appealing against the decision
of this Govt. as conveyed in
G.O. No. 78 of 31st Jan'y 1896. B

This memorial was, however,
withheld as the matter in
respect of which the
memorialist appealed was one
of internal policy affecting
him as a subject of a native
state and H.E. the Governor
in Council declined to
interfere (G.O. No. 677 of 24th Sept'r 1896.) C

This order
was based on para 7(3) of
D. Memorial rules - vide note
flagged C in that order.
In the present petition

Velayudha Perumal tries to
make out that G.O. No. 78 of
31 Jan'y 1896 is inconsistent
with G.O. No. 677 of 24th Sept'r 1896. B

It is submitted that no new
grounds are adduced
for reconsidering the
decisions already arrived

at and that the petition
be rejected under para E.
D (3)

The p^{ty} is merely an
attempt to reopen
the matter.

At.

E V(3) of C Petition Rules

order No. 877 of 28-12-96
The petition

is rejected under para
V(3) of C. Petition Rules.
(H.E. the rule)

Curd
15.12.96

At
17.12.96

Resident in L.C. (4)

for Cont. to Petr.

When given for copying 11
 When copied 4/1
 When examined 4/1
 When signed 1897

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.--
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only

Political Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper:—
From the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, dated 17th January, 1896, Reference on C. No. 3889.

ORDER 31 JANUARY 1896 No. 78.

Petitioner will be informed that the Government declines to interfere with the action of the Resident.

(The copy.)

(Signed) FREDRICK PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To

THE RESIDENT IN TRAVANCORE AND COCHIN,
for communication to petitioner.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
Trevarum, 6th February, 1896.

Reference on. No. 372 of 96.

Copy forwarded to Raman Velaytha Perumal of Thevar Kulangara Purayidam, Chala, with reference to his petition of the 16th December, 1895.

/ True copy /

J. THOMSON,
Resident.

Reference (Pae)

Please put this up
with the 80 on Boll
ero 1626 of 96.

This is only a spare
copy

18
4/1

877
28/12/96