Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 507

Dated 7 Aug. 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Informing Hafiza Begam and Alim-un-nisa Begam that there is no reason for continuing to them the whole or any portion of the stipend of their aunt, the late Jannat-un-nisa Begam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.
		✓					

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
		✓					

Madras
16th April 1896

To,

His Excellency The Right Honorable
The Governor in Council. Fort St George

H.P.

Ch. Sec
Hus 17.4

1st Apl

(1 The humble petition of Hafiza Begum Sahiba & Alimunnisa
(2 Begum Sahiba, nieces of the late Jannutunnisa Begum Sahiba, widow of the late Saiyud Dowlah Bahadur Shadi grandson of H. H Nawab Wallajah of the Carnatic.

G.O. 637 / 18.9.93 P.

Slip A

Most respectfully sheweth,

That in August 1893, we submitted a petition to your Excellency in which we requested Govt to take our case into benign consideration and in the circumstances therein set forth to continue to us our aunts stipends of Rs 83. On this petition, the Govt in the Political Deptt, was pleased to favour us with its order no. 637 dated 18-9-93, stating that Govt will not receive a petition on any matter, unless it shall appear that the petitioners have applied to the local authority and directing us to annex to our petition, the ~~Govt~~ answer of such local authority.

2 In obedience to the order of Govt mentioned above, we submitted our case in February 94 for the consideration of the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipend Madras, but we are sorry to say that that officer does not appear to have shown any consideration in our case, which he has apparently decided on incorre

information, furnished to him evidently [illegible] clerk in his office. We respectfully subm that we take liberty to say this, in as much as we find, that the grounds on which he has decided the case are incorrect, as will appear from his endorsement, No. 83 dated 19-2-94, copy of which is enclosed for the kind perusal of Govt.

6 In this endorsement, the Paymaster states that our aunt, the late Jannutunnisa Begum Sahiba was drawing a stipend of Rs 83-4-0 a month, upto the time of her death 63 years ago &c" and he declines to entertain our claim after a lapse of such a long period as sixty three years!

7 As regards this statement of the Paymaster, we are inclined to suppose that either the records of his office are incorrect or else he has not consulted them at all. On the other hand we respectfully submit that our aunt died some 3 or 4 years after the demise of His late Highness Nawab Ghulam Ghouse Khan Bhadur. Further we submit that it has been kindly ruled by Govt, that lapse of time will not be considered as a grou for the consideration of claims of pension. we also submit that there have been Several cases, in which pensions have bee granted after a lapse of long periods E. g. Md Barkathullah Saheb a servant of the said Nawab Ghulam Ghouse Kh Bahadur was given a pension only rece though that Nawab died 3 or 4 years befo our aunt's death. In this connection, we respectfully invite the attention of Govt to para 7(a) of our petition referred to in para. 1 abo

Who died in 1833

he 2nd point on wh

dewells as a ground for rejecting our petn is his statement that the pension which our aunt was drawing was strictly life grant - This also is quite unintelligible as will appear from what we submit below:—

4 Jannatunnisa Begum Sahiba was given a pension of Rs 83 along with other widows of Saifud Dowlah Bahada who were also given each a similar sum The pensions of Sirajunnisa Begum & Mahrunnisa Begum, the other two widows were continued to their brothers who enj the same to their lives and transmitte them to their heirs; and in the case of of them it was continued to three gener Fazal Husain and Sheriff Husain each gets Rs 4 a month out of the stipend of above Mahrunnisa Begum. We submit that Mahrunnisa Begum was drawing pension of Rs 83; after her death, her b was given a pension of half the amo after his demise his widow one Khairu Begum was given Rs 27 a month. This lady was childless and on her death, he sister's grand children, Fazal Husa and Sheriff Husain got each a pen of Rs 4 a month. Under these circum it is but just and equitable th our aunt's pension be also continued us.

E.M.C. 377/17.10.37

Slip B

5 Before we close our humb petition we respectfully beg to the attention of Govt to another

in the Paymaster's endorsement, re states that the 2nd Petitioner was drawing a pension of Rs5 which she commuted in 1887. The amount given by the Paymaster is incorrect, she was not drawing Rs 5 a month, but her stipend was only Rs 4-8; any how we fail to see why this fact is mentioned in the endorsement when we see that in several cases 3 different pensions have been given e.g. One Umdatunnisa Begum a Carnatic Stipendiary draws 3 different pensions even to day.

8 In conclusion we respectfully invite the attention to our former petition to Govt (Copy enclosed) and request that Govt will be kindly pleased to grant our request therein contained.

9 For this act of charity we shall as in duly bound ever pray

حافظہ بیگم ، علیم النسا بیگم

Petitioners

Address

To the Petitioners
Thro' Haider Shariff Saheb
B.A. B.L.
High Court Vakil

Roll
3
524

Enclo No I

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HON'BLE
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
(FORT SAINT GEORGE,)

MADRAS.

The humble petition of Hafiza Begum Sahiba *and* Alimunnisa Begum Sahiba, *nieces of the late* Jamiatunnissa Begum Sahiba, *widow of the late* Saifud Dowlah Bahadur, *shadi grandson of* H. H. Nawab Walaja *of the Carnatic.*

Most Respectfully Showeth,

That memorialists are the descendants of Mir Abu Ahmed Sahib the original Kiladar of Odayagiri and of Nawab Halim Khan, the Governor of Nellore.

2. That during the convulsions of the last century this unfortunate family sustained several losses and Badshaw Begum the widow of Mir Abu Ahmed Khan and her infant son, were ousted from their possessions and were at last granted a Jagir of a few villages in the Nellore District one of which *Kuru* attached to Iskapalli and situated at the mouth of the Pennar was till recently in this family's possession.

3. That owing to the respectability of this family several intermarriages took place between this family and that of the Carnatic, and one of them was that of petitioner's aunt the late Jamitunnissa Begum with Prince Saifud Dowlah Bahadur deceased.

4. That the said Jamitunnissa Begum Sahiba was on the death of Saifud Dowlah Bahadur granted a monthly stipend of Rs. 83 which she enjoyed during her life and which on her death lapsed for want of proper applicants.

5. That petitioners' parents though then in well-to-do circumstances yet petitioners were solely brought up and maintained by the said Jamitunnissa Begum Sahiba and since her death petitioners have become prey to iron beaked time and owing to circumstances over which they had no control they have been reduced to a destitute state in their old age.

6. That it is but natural that they should seek their rights at the hands of your Excellency's Government, and their rights extend to the continuance of the lapsed stipend of their aunt the late Jamitunnissa Begum.

7. That in their contentions for the continuance of this stipend, petitioners are supported both by precedents and rulings :—

(*a*) That it has been more than once ruled, to wit in 1801 and 1881, that lapse of time is no bar to the claims of lapsed stipends.

(*b*) That on the death of the said Saifud Dowlah Bahadur his other widows Sirajunnissa Begum and Mahrunnissa Begum also obtained pensions which were continued to their brothers who enjoyed the same to their lives and transmitted it to their heirs and that in the case of one of them it was continued to three generations. Again in the case of Gulam Hussain and Taki Hussain pensions were granted after a lapse of several years out of their mother Abbasi Begum Sahiba's pension. Further, Barkatulla Saib was granted with arrears a stipend of Rs. 40 per mensem after a lapse of over 30 years though he was only a servant of H. H. the late Nawab Gulam Ghouse Khan Bahadur.

8. Under these circumstances petitioners beg respectfully to submit that their case be taken into your Lordship's benign consideration and that it would be bare justice to continue to them the whole or at least a major portion of their aunt's stipend, with arrears from the date of her death, as was done in the case of Barkatulla Sahib referred to above and therefore pray that your Lordship would be pleased to continue the said stipend to petitioners.

For which act of kindness, petitioners shall as in duty bound, EVER PRAY.

PRINTED BY SRINIVASA, VARADACHARI & CO., WALLAJAH ROAD—MADRAS.

Enclo No II

No 83 of 1894

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Office Chepauk
19th February 1894

Hafiza Begum and Alimunnisa Begum are informed in reply to their petition of the 5th Instant that on reference to the records it appears that the stipend of Rs 83-4-0 which the late Jannat-un-nisa Begum was drawing up to the time of her decease (63 years ago) being _strictly life grant_ its continuation to any of her heirs (much less to a "collateral" of which branch the petitioners belong) is admissible under regulation. It is further noticed that the 2nd Petitioner had a pension of Rs 5/- which she commuted in 1887. The Paymaster declines to entertain a claim of the nature preferred by the Petitioners after a lapse of such a long period as _sixty three years_.

a

40

(Signed) J S Stuart Colonel
Paymaster Carnatic Stipend
Chepauk

To Hafiza Begum & Alimun-ni-sa Begum
thro' Hyder Sheriff Sahib B.A. B.L
Triplicane

(True Copy)

CURRENT No. 524

Petition from Hafiza Begum Sahiba and Alimunnisa Begum Sahiba Madras.

DATED 16th April 1896.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

H A Stewart

Private Secretary.

18/4

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Ootacamund
18th April 1896.

524

Political (1896) DEPARTMENT.

From H. A. Stuart Esq. I.C.S.
~~The~~ PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

19/4/96

Dated 18
Received ~~19th~~ 20th
+ Regd } April 1896.

ENCLOSURE. 52

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Hafiza Begum Sahiba and Alimunnissa Begum Sahiba of Madras praying that the stipend enjoyed by their late aunt may be continued to them.

No. 253 of 1896

837 : 18-9-93
R 77 : 18-4-93.
182 : 30-3-93.
172 : 28-3-93.
221 : 25-4-90
92 : 17-2-90
222 : 3-8-82
Gmc 1.3.53, No 97.
Gmc 25-10-53, No 371
Gmc 19, 20 : 22-5-38
Gmc 191

575 : 20-8-94
504 : 20-7-94
657 : 17-11-92
509 : 29-8-92
469 : 4-8-92
No 325/92. Lodged 5/3
97 : 9-2-92
R15 : 1-2-92
726 : 9-12-91
146 : 27-2-88 SC
577 : 3-6-86 SC
205 : 13-5-80
Gmc : 23 : 16-1-54
Gmc 119 : 11-3-53
Gmc 191 : 22-5-38
" 377 : 17-10-37
" 13-12-31
" 30-1-27.

RECD.4-5-

Endt No. 925

sup. 4.v.96

Referred to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, for report, especially with reference to para: 4 of the petition

CS. 30.4.96

Chief Secretary

Ootacamund
1st May 1896

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

md 86

CURRENT No. 628

No: 171 of 1896.

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
office Chepak 7th May 1896.

From

Colonel H. S. Stewart I.S.C.
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No: 925 dated 1st Instant, on petition* current No: 524 from Hafiza Begam and another, I have the honor to state that the reasons for the inadmissibility of the claim preferred by the petitioners are set forth in my Endorsement No: 83 dated 19th February 1894 and which I consider (after perusing the petition under report) I have every reason to uphold, with the exception of a clerical error, which unfortunately occurred in the document above alluded to, where it is stated that 63 years had elapsed since the demise of the petitioners' aunt (Janiat-im.nisa Begam) the fact is that 40 years only had elapsed since her death and 63 years since 1831 the date of her succeeding to the Rs 83-4-0, out of which a claim is now advanced by petitioners.

* Herewith returned.

2. As regards para 4. of the petition on which I am specially asked to report, I have the honor to observe that on reference to the old records I find the statement of the petitioners therein contained are partially true in as much as a moiety of the stipend of Mihr-un-nisa ~~as~~ was continued by E. M. C. No: 377 dated 17th October 1837 to her dependants to be drawn under the seal of her

Slip B.

her brother Hashim Ali Beg, but the Stipend of Rs 20 per mensem drawn by Siraj-un-nisa lapsed to the State on her demise in December 1869. No continuation was made to any body. Petitioners' statement that on the demise of Khair-un-nisa Begam (widow of Hashim Ali Beg) a further continuation was made to her sister's grandsons Fazl Husain and Sharf Husain is unfounded, as the latter named lads draw Rs 4 each, to lapse on their attaining 21st year, out of their own grandmother Vazir-un-nisa Begam's Stipend of Rs 30. vide G: O: No: 577 dated 3rd June 1886 "Political". Slip D

3. I cannot see how the instance cited by the petitioners, which occurred in 1837 or 59 years ago, strengthens their claim, as doubtless this claim was adjusted at the time on the Special merits of the case, and cannot reasonably be quoted as an applicable precedent. To re-open claims of this sort at this distant time would be highly objectionable and detrimental to the State; and to judge the lights and shades (so to speak) of claims of this nature now, would be impossible, as I am told that they were in a measure settled by the authorities on reports submitted by the Nawabs of the Carnatic and other evidence available at the time.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
J. H. S. Stewart: Colonel
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

628

(1896)
No. 293 of 96

RECD. 21-5-96

Political (1896) Department

Pol. Endt. No. 1046.

C. Nos 524 & 628

21.v.96.

From

The Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George.

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, is requested to submit a complete report upon the petitions, setting forth clearly the history of the various stipends in question

Chief Secretary

C.S.
16.5.96

Ootacamund }
19th May 1896 }

Dated 7th }
Recd 8th } May 1896.

Regd 9th

No: 171 of 1896

one enclosure:- Pol. C. No. 524 peti

CHIEF SECRETARY
-8 MAY 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Reports on petition Current No: 524 from Hafiza Begam & another and states that their claim after a lapse of 42 years is inadmissible.

M.O. written to for
Gm C. 377 of 17-10.37 & the 69
papers.

Initials ?
date ?

Gm C 377 of 37 recd & returned
15/5.

CURRENT No. 731

No: 201 of 1896.

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Office Chepak 29th May 1896.

From

Colonel H. S. Stewart I. S. C.
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No: 1046 dated 19th May 1896 "Political" on current No: 628 (herewith returned with enclosures) I have the honor to submit the complete report as follows, on the petition of Hafiza Begam and another:-

×
Nos. 11 & 12.
Slip E

2. By a letter from the Honorable J. R. Lushington dated 13th× December 1831, the family of Saif-ud-daula Bahadur were granted pensions, of whom the following three (who are the subject matter of the petition under report) received stipends set opposite to their respective names.-

(1) Janniat-un-nisa Begam ...	"Nickahi" widow of Saif-ud-daula }	Rs 83-4-0 ✓
(2) Mihr-un-nisa Begam ...	— Do —	Rs 83-4-0 ✓
(3) Siraj-un-nisa	"Muttaee" widow of Do }	Rs 20-0-0 ✓

3. No. 1, Janniat-un-nisa Begam died on the 27th July 1854, or 15 months prior to the demise of the last Nawab of the Carnatic and not 3 or 4 years after His late Highness' demise as stated by the petitioners in the 7th para of their petition under report. Her stipend is shown in the lapses return of this office with the following remar

"Because

"Because His Highness the Nawab of the Carnatic in his" letter of the 31st reports her to have demised on the 27th July" 1854 and that her heirs being in the enjoyment of pensions" her stipend should lapse to Government."

(Sd) E: G: Balfour
Government Agent.

Consequently her share of Rs 83-4-0 per mensem lapsed to the State and now the petitioners claim a continuation therefrom!

4. No: 2, Mihr-un-nisa Begam died on the 12th May 1837 and by E. M: C: No: 377 dated 17th October 1837, a sum of Rs 42 out of her lapsed stipend of Rs 83-4-0 was continued in the name of her brother Hashim ali Beg for the support of himself and her + other dependants. Subsequently by E: M: C: No: 119 dated 11th March 1853 the shares of the several dependants were split and Hashim ali Beg was allowed a monthly stipend of Rs 18. Hashim ali Beg was the husband of one Khair-un-nisa Begam a grand daughter of Husain Muhammad Khan Dilawar Jang. By E: M: C: No: 23 dated 16th January 1854 Khair-un-nisa Begam was granted a monthly stipend of Rs 9. in the distribution of her grand father's stipend. In recommending the above stated sum of Rs 9. to Khair-un-nisa Begam the then Government Agent in the list submitted with his letter No: 124 dated 21st November 1853, remarks opposite to her name, as follows:-

Slip B

+ mother sister nephew niece.

Slip F

Slip G

para 8

Em. C No. 23 of 16th Janr. 54.
Slip G

"This is to be in addition to and amalgamated with" her husband Hashim ali Beg's pension of Rs 18. per men=" sem granted by E: M: C: 11th March 1853, and the" aggregate amount vizt. Rs 27 per mensem is to be paid" undiminished for the life of the longest survivor" whether husband or wife". Consequently the above sum of Rs 27 was paid in the joint names of Hashim ali Beg and Khair-un-nisa Begam until the demise of the former which occurred in 1877 and in the name of the latter until her demise, on the 17th September 1891. By G: O: No: 726 dated 9th December 1891 "Political" a sum of Rs 13-8-0 was continued to a nephew and 3 orphans of a niece which sums were capitalized and paid off.

Slip F

Slip J

5. No: 3, Siraj-un-nisa died on the 29th De=cember 1869 and no continuation was made to any=body out of her lapsed stipend of Rs 20. which she drew as a "mittace" widow of the late Saif-ud-daula Bahadur by E: M: C: dated 136 29th December 1831.

Slip E

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
H. L. Stewart. Colonel
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

CURRENT No: 731

Political (1896) Department

From

The Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St: George

Dated 29th } May 1896
Recd 31st }
Regd 1/6/96

31 MAY 1896

No: 201 of 1896

one Enclosure: Poll
C. No: 628.
& 524 rts:

Submitting a complete report on the petition of Hafiza Begam and another as requested in the Government End: No: 1046 dated 19th May 1896 "Poll".

Papers recd from Madras & Enlmd
SJ 8/6.

(1896)

No: 359 of 1896

RECD 24 6-96

Hr.

Inspr.
24.vi.96

Endt No: 1252.

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, is requested to report:-

(i) whether the petitioners, Hafiza Begam and Alim-un-nisa Begam, were living at the time of the death of their aunt, Tamizat-un-nisa Begam, and, if so, in whose protection

(ii) whether the petitioners or the parties under whose protection they were living were in receipt of pensions, and if so, of what amount.

Fredrick Price
Chief Secretary

C.S.
19.6.96

Ootacamund }
22nd June 1896 }

End 88

CURRENT No. 962

Political (1896) Department.

From

The Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George.

Dated 16th } July 1896.
Recd 17 }
Regd 18

17 JUL 1896

No: 288 1896.

Enclosure: one (Current No: 731) Pol
with its enclo: retd

Submits a further report on the claims of Hafiza Begam & another as called for by Endorsement No: 1252 d/ 2d. June 1896.

5

No: 288 of 1896.

Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Office Chepak 16th July 1896.

CURRENT No. 962

From

Colonel H: S: Stewart I: S: C:
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St: George.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No: 1252 dated 22nd June 1896 on Current* No: 731, I have the honor to state that at the time of the death of Janniat-un-nisa Begam petitioners (Hafiza Begam and Alim-un-nisa Begam) were girls of 10 and 9 years old respectively, when they were under the support of their parents who were receiving some aid from Janniat-un-nisa Begam. Soon after, they were both married and thus were under the maintenance of their respective husbands. Hafiza Begam was married to a merchant named Qadir Sherif Sahib while Alim-un-nisa Begam was the wife of Carnatic Stipendiary No: 445 Qamar-ud-daula Bahadur who was enjoying a pension of Rs 119-„-„ per mensem under E: M: C: dated 28th January 1853 and died in 1875. By G: O: No: 517 dated 18th August 1875, Alim-un-nisa Begam received a Stipend of Rs 4-8-„ per mensem, which she commuted for a lump sum in 1887. vide G: O: No: 894 dated 25th November 1887 "Political".

* Herewith returned.

x m.o. written to (18/7)

C

x m.o. written to (18/7)

2. As regards the 2nd query, I have the honor to state that none of the petitioners' parents or the parties under whose protection the petitioners were living, was in receipt of any pensions, except the 2nd petitioner Alim-un-

-un-nisa

-un-nisa Begam and her husband. Hafiza Begam has 2 sons, one of whom Hyder Sharif Sahib B: a: B: L: is a High Court Vakil and Alim-un-nisa Begam has also 2 sons, one of whom Muhammad Munir Sahib B: a: is employed in the Chief Secretariat. As stated in my previous Correspondence on this Subject, vide para 3. of my report No: 171 dated 7th May 1896, it is impossible to get reliable evidence at this Stage, to prove the validity of the petitioners' claim which I respectfully submit if re-opened after a lapse of 42 years, will form a bad precedent to those who would be encouraged to agitate similar claims.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. H. Stewart Colonel
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

& Rev Dept

C. No 628

Were these two ladies living at the time of their Aunt, Jannat-un-Nisa Begum's death? – If so, in whose protection? Were they or the people in whose protection they were living in receipt of Pension?

RGH
18.6.96

H.E. the Governor

The information indicated in H.E. the Governor's note above has been obtained from the Paymaster and is submitted in C. No. 962. Both The petitioners, it would appear, ~~both~~ have sons who are earning their living and it is submitted that the Paymr's last report shows that the case is not one for special treatment

C.S.
20.7.96

Jo
22/7/96

It seems doubtful whether under any circumstances, the petitioners' parents would have received a ~~pension~~ stipend; it is more than doubtful whether petitioners themselves w. have received a ~~Pension~~ Stipend. ~~even if they had urged their~~ ~~claims in 18~~ even if they had urged their claims on the death of their parents. On this ground & because there

Political Dept:

C.Nos. 524, 628 & 731.
& 962

FOR ORDERS

The first of the above currents is a petition from Hafiza Begam and Alim-un-nisa Begam who state that they are the nieces of the late Jannat-un-nisa Begam, widow of Saif-ud-daulah, and pray that that the whole or at least a portion of their aunt's stipend with arrears from the date of her death may be continued to them.

2. The petitioners forward copy of an endorsement received by them on a petition presented to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, in which he rejected a similar prayer on the grounds (1) that the stipend drawn by Jannat-un-nisa Begam was strictly a life grant, (2) that the second petitioner had a pension of Rs.5 which she commuted in 1887 and (3) that a claim preferred after the lapse of 63 years could not be entertained.

3. In C.No.524 the petitioners combat these reasons of the Paymaster. As regards the first they state that the stipends of two other widows of Saif-ud-daulah, who were granted pensions at the same time as Jannat-un-nisa Begam, were continued to their brothers and that in one case the stipend was continued to three

three generations. As regards (2) they point out that the amount of pension which the second petitioner commuted in 1887 was Rs.4/8 and not Rs.5 and with reference to (3) that their aunt died three or four years after the demise of Nawab Ghulam Ghans, that is, about 1858, and that lapse of time has not been considered a ground for the rejection of claims in ~~their~~ other cases.

4. The Paymaster in C.No.628 acknowledges his error in calculating the aunt's death as having occurred 63 years prior to 1894, the event having happened 40 years ago.

5. The history of this pension will be gathered from C.No.731. Saif-ud-daulah was the son of Saif-ul-Mulk who was the third son of the Nawab Wallajah. At the time of his death in July 1831, Saif-ud-daulah was in receipt of a stipend of Rs.2,150 per mensem. Four-fifths of this, viz. Rs.1720, was continued to his family and dependents. Amongst those who received pensions and whose cases are dealt with in the present papers were (1) Jannat-un-nisa Begam, Nikahi widow ---Rs.83-4-0

(2) Mihr-un-nisa Begam nikahi widow Rs.83-4-0

(3) Siraj-un-nisa Begam Mittaee widow Rs.20-0-0

Jannat-un-nisa Begam died in 1854 and her pension lapsed. It will be seen from para 3 of C.No. 731 that in the lapse return of the Paymaster's office the reason for her pension being so treated was principally because her heirs were stated to be in the enjoyment of pensions.

Mihr-un-nisa Begam died in '37 She left a numerous family and ~~died in~~ heavy liabilities and, on the recommendation of the Govt. Agent, a sum of Rs.42 of her stipend was continued for the support of her mother, brother, sister, nephew and a niece. The statement in para 4 of C.No.524 as regards the continuance of this pension is not quite correct. As pointed out by the Paymaster in para 4 of C.No. 731, Hashim Ali Beg, The brother of Mihr-un-nisa Begam, was married to Khair-un-nisa Begam who inherited a stipend of Rs.9 per mensem from her grandfather, Dilawar Jang, and in para 8 of E.M.C. No.23, d/ 16-1-54, it was decided that this sum of Rs.9 should be added to the monthly stipend of Rs.18 drawn by her husband and that the total amount should be paid undiminished for the life of the survivor but on the receipt of the husband so long as he might live. Hashim Ali Beg died in 1877 and Khair-un-nisa Begam in 1891 and in G.O.No.726 Pol., d/ 9-12-91, a moiety of the stipend of the latter was continued to her relations, that is, to the sons and grandsons of her own sisters. These grandsons included Fazl Husain & Sharf Husain who are mentioned in para 4 of the petition in C.No.524. The pension of Rs.4 drawn by these two which is referred to there was, however, granted to them in right of

There is no satisfactory explanation of the long delay that has taken place in making this application, — I think the petitioners should be informed that the Govt. sees no reason for complying with their request.

The petitioners are not in want. There is, therefore no case for a compassionate all^ce.

A.S.H.
5.8.96

Note for the CS

The orgl. draft at the end of the ~~ff~~ note may issue?

C.S.
5/8/96.

Yes
5/8/96

E.M.C. No. 1182, d. 13-12-31

E

E.M.C.No.377, d/ 17-10-37

B

H

J

their grandmother, Vazir-un-nisa Begam, vide G.O.No.577 Pol., d/ 3-6-86. The amounts they received in 1891 from the stipend of Khair-un-nisa Begam were ~~fully~~ ~~send~~ capitalized.

D

6. The third widow of Saif-ud-daulah, Siraj-ud-daulah, died in 1869 and no continuation was made out ~~f~~ of her stipend.

7. It will be seen from the above that if the claim of the petitioners is to receive consideration, it must be on the ground that the stipend of Mihr-un-nisa Begam was continued, whilst that of Jannat-un-nisa Begam lapsed. The Paymaster does not seem to have been quite correct in stating in his endorsement to the petitioners that the stipend of Jannat-un-nisa Begam was a life grant. The reason~~s~~ for its lapsing ~~was~~ is given in para 3 of G.No. 731, viz. the fact that her heirs were provided for. On the other hand, Mihr-un-nisa Begam died in debt and left several dependents and it cannot be said that her stipend was continued beyond one generation; for the continuation of the amounts granted to Hashim Ali Beg, her brother, was really in consequnce of his being married to Khair-un-nisa Begam and whatever continuations were made from the combined stipends of Hashim Ali Beg and Khair-un-nisa Begam went to the relatives of the latter.

8. Lapse of time is of course no bar to a legitimate claim but in the present instance the long period that has elapsed since the death of Jannat-un-nisa Begam without any claim having been preferred by the petitioners has not been satisfactorily explained by them. The petitioners first addressed Govt in August 1893 and their petition was rejected under para 2 of Rs. 6 petition rules in G.O. No. 637, d/ 18-9-93. In Feb. 1894 ~~before~~ they addressed the Paymaster again and his endorsement is dated the 19th of that month. Now, after the lapse of two years and two months, they come up to Govt. once more. It will be seen that one of them has already commuted ~~the~~ a pension granted to her. Whether it ~~is~~ was Rs.4/8 or Rs.5, matters little.

A

9. It is submitted that the petition should be rejected and that the following order may issue.

ORDER No. 507 d 7th August 1896.

The petitioners will be informed that the Govt. sees no reason for complying with their request.

C.S.
15.6.96.

16.6.96.

Petitioners (all of)
P.C.S. (all of)
Despd 14/8/96

Copd & [illegible] 11/8

CARNATIC STIPENDIARIES

(FOR ORDERS)

H.E. the Governor

nil

[illegible]

When given for copying ______
When copied ______
When examined ______
When signed ______
189 .

EAD AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

ULES TO C. No

GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

= Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.