

Political (1896) Department.

①

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 4

Dated 6th Jan. 1896

INDEXED.

G.O. No. 17

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Declining to interfere on behalf of T.P. Kunju Naina Armanan of Travancore praying for a redress of grievances alleged to have been caused by the Travancore Sarkar.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.

பாதுகாப்பு மிஷி-1

செப்பலிபெலர் பெயர்: V. ஜி. ஜி.

செப்பலிபெலர் காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 06/08 10/08

சுப்பார்க்கும்
மதல் மதுபாள
கையொப்பம்

சுப்பார்க்கும்
2ம் மதுபாள
கையொப்பம்

சுப்பார்க்கும்
2ம் மதுபாள
கையொப்பம்

[G]
[9]
2 000-15-4-28.

1802
Current No. 4068
DEPARTMENT.

From
J. P. Kunnunnamurakan
Kunnunnamur

No.

Dated

Received 3/12/95
Enclosures 15 17

in P.M. 12 V.P.

SUBJECT.

Regard redress of the
grievances set forth
in his petn.

3/11

C.S. 11/11

Order. N. 4 d/6 Jan 96

Petitioner will be
informed that the Govt.
declines to interfere

C.S. 21.12.95

20/1/95

Petitioner (P)
Vino. Road. in Travancore
Hochim
(with all original enclos
20/1/95)

100

3/
4

The petition of Thakur
Chakral Kunindne
humbly
follows:—

Petitioner's enemies
very powerful and the
Sirkar got him imprisoned
1056 M. S. to 1059 M. S.
to trouble, to cause him
loss, and to insult him, and
took possession of his property
valued about Rs 5000.00
are as follows:—
1. It was alleged that
addition to the amount
by the petitioner towards
assessment that ought
paid by him in 1056 M.

of Medam that year
40 paras (sic) of one crop
and Rs 4000 was also
he secretly sold and
possession.
In accordance with the
order to the effect that
of Kanian Kott Jekce-
Kochidichandi which
attached for the sirkar
also sold to those that
the money, petitioner remitted
to the Travancore Treasury
a receipt for it. But as
petitioner did not get either
the money, he represented
to the sirkar authorities,
stated that the petitioner
should

borrowed 77
persons and instituted
in the Civil Court and
it as far as the App
and obtained a decree
for the amount and the
therein, but he has not
paid the decree amount
3. Some years ago the
took on lease some 55
of paddy land in Chave
belonging to Vokuvattu
Mutt and has been enjoying
ever since. But that also
caused to be sold for the
due by the said Jekce
Kochidichandi
4. At the instigation
p

his moveable and
ble properties were
arding this matter
complained to the
l officers and to all
er tribunals, but without
then petitioner represented
ances personally to the
ja and also sent a written
by post registered as well
ram and the Maharaja
put on him issued an order
uzur office stating that
cases complained of
litioner should be
for and decided justly
order was also intimated
to

att 5/4 1841. It was
to him. As the complain
not disposed of (even after)
he petitioned the British
Resident who without the
Compassion for the petitioner
gave an endorsement
missing his petition. It
is unable owing to old
to come and represent
to the Madras Government
the grievances and
unjust action of the
British to petition
as an answer
and who

were first taken in Muniam of that year
and another of Viippu land of forty
paras was subsequently sold.

2. Taking advantage of my
misfortune, Sampatti Bhuta
athan and Registrar Annara Pillai,
the brothers-in-law of Melazhuthu
Sami Pillai and Karanavams of judge
Chakalingam Pillai, obtained ex parte
decrees against me in civil suits
Nos. 27 & 28 of 1048 on the file of the
Quilon Court for Rs. 1875 in respect
of a bribe (or ~~Rs. 1875~~) clandestinely
received payment of more than three
thousand Rupees due to me from the
Sirkar under a decree passed in 1047
by the High Court in civil suit No. 486
of 1045. They have also purchased at
a low price, dwelling house 3500
or a small price. The plaintiff (sic)
has subsequently been
of other lands
made

for a trifling sum and they are being
sold by them for higher prices. (I) am
still served with notices (of demand).

3. One Krishna amari, a
tobacco dealer in Alleppey filed in respect
of commercial transaction a false suit
No. 640 of 1048 M. 2. against me in the
Alleppey Zilla Court for Rs. 1400 and obtained
an ex parte decree in his favour. He
then without representing the facts (of
the case) and without taking proper
legal proceedings caused rice land
of more than a hundred paras and
pieces of garden lands worth more than
Seven thousand Rupees to be clandestinely
sold at a court auction putting the
(purchasers) into immediate possession
thereof. But again when they found
after a long time, that they had
secured all the property they wished
have then obtained the sale certificate
& thus obtained possession
of 30 paras
place and

They have incurred 100 Rupees and odd
on account of costs of their proceedings
and other lands of 30 paras worth
Rupees 1000 to be sold and given into
possession

4. One Sankara Rama amari
of Mavalikkara Fort obtained a decree
in civil suit no. 194 of 1056 on the file
of the quilon court for Rs 1050 due to him
and which was secured by a mortgage
of title deeds of property worth Rs 2500
and sold piecemeal two dwelling houses
and other buildings attached thereto worth
Rs 700 and not included in the
mortgage. He also sold piecemeal certain
ice lands of one hundred paras & some
ar den lands worth Rs 5000. He also
sold after dividing into two equal
parts a china jar holding fifty paras.

When after my discharge
from the jail I came
to the

person and by the person. Your
was thereupon pleased to issue
to the Nagar that my case
depend of, and also to favor
an endowment to that of
My case is still pending. I
that your Highness will not
to call for my case and re-
grievances by an impartial
In the event of my being
in my prayer, I shall be
the country with the orders
obtained from your High-
done no wrong to the State
no other help than your
God, I again entreat with
& with a heavy heart the
prayers may be graciously
be protected from further
& enclose copies of the
and of orders from
Dated 18th Karikalam 107.

Malayalam Translator
to Govt.

9/11
Saturday 18th Avasir 1047

In the Sudder Court of Travancore
O.S. No. 108 of 1043 on the file of the Quarter
Zilla Court

N.D. No. 486 of 1045 on the file of the Sudder

Government Pleader - Appellant.
Versus.

Kunji Naina Ammaiyar - Respondent (Ex)

The plaintiff recites that one Kunji Mathur
represented to the plaintiff that he had
to pay to the General & Commerce Dept.
of the Sirkar a certain sum of money
that he would incur great loss if he should
sell his property for the payment of
the debt that he would mortgage his
property to the plaintiff, if the latter
should pay the Sirkar portion of the debt
that he would obtain permission from the
Huzur to that effect, that he accordingly
obtained the said permission, that the
plaintiff was thus induced to pay
13680 Panams into the Huzur Treasury
on the 28th Kumbham 1033 towards

quient of advances made in respect
Taffua tobacco, and printed Exhibit A
the Commerce Dept. that subsequently
an order dated 24th Chitai of the same
as was issued by the Jamabandi
Department that the Sarkar had no
objection to plaintiff's obtaining transfer
of property from the said Kunja Mattem
in consideration of the money already paid
or hereafter to be paid by the plaintiff,
and that steps would be taken to enforce
the performance of the agreement; and
that upon a subsequent petition to the
Muzar praying for a conveyance of the
property to be caused in pursuance of
the agreement, he was furnished with
an endorsement on the 22nd arpan 1041.
that he might proceed against the Sarkar
in a civil court. The plaintiff prayed
judgment for the payment of 20526
panams being principal and interest
by the defendant. The Zilla Judge
gave judgment as prayed for.

¹⁰
This is an appeal from that judge

This appeal coming on for
this day in the presence of Head Sarkar
Pachappa Naickar for the appellant
of Anantha Chari for the Respondent
and upon perusing the memorandum
of appeal the judgment of the lower
Court and the documents in the case,
and on hearing the arguments on both
sides, it is ordered that the decree of
the lower Court be confirmed and the
appeal dismissed with costs.

(Signature) 2nd Judge

(") 3rd Judge

Memo of Costs of Suit

Appellant's Vakil fees 824 Panams

(Process) fees — 61 —

Total 885 (sic)

Respondant's Vakil fees 790 —

The Costs of this appeal are to be

by the appellant - The costs of the original writ are to be paid as directed the original decree -

The parties shall comply with the requirements of section 190 (sic) of the Civil Procedure Code, that the decree holder or his transferee may apply to the Court for Execution or obtain satisfaction of his claim out of Court, such satisfaction being notified to the Court, and that any other mode of adjustment shall be void.

(Signature) Registrar Sup. Court

(True Copy)

Compared by Narayana Pillai

(True Copy)

in Christianian
 G. Malayalam translator
 to Govt.

UNDER SECRETARY
3 DEC 95
PUBLIC ETC DEPT

[illegible]

19 ஆம் செப்டம்பர் 1957-ல் கைப்பிட்டு வைக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. இது
 கைப்பிட்டு வைக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. இது கைப்பிட்டு வைக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது.

[illegible][illegible]

[illegible]

① உயர் கிராம ஊராட்சி அமைச்சர் அலுவலகம், சென்னை
 சென்னை - 600 006

[illegible]

[illegible]~~16~~