

Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 432

Dated 8th July 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Rejecting under Rule V(10) C. Petition rules, ---from Mr. T.M. Thomas of Kottayam in Travancore requesting that cases connected with Christian churches in that State may be ordered to be heard by a Christian Judge

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 855

Poll:

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

H. A. Stuart Esq. J.C.S.
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
His Excellency
~~RIGHT HONORABLE~~ THE GOVERNOR.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.



Dated 26 "

Received 26 "

Recd 29 "

June 1896.

ENCLOSURE. /

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from *Mr. J. M. Thomas*
praying that cases affecting Christian
Churches be heard by *a Bench*
consisting of a Christian Judge.

*Mr. O. written to
for G.O. 619:3-11-92
connected paper
49/6*

*Papers recd returned
47.*

*619:3-11-92
553:17-9-92
R170:29-8-92
160:4-3-92
614:10-10-98*

*C.S.
mis*

ORDER No. 43288 July 1896.

The petition will be
rejected under the following rule.

[H. E. rule I (10) C. Petition
rules]

*C.S.
4.7.96. 12/7/96.*

*Petitioner (Mr. J. M. Thomas) - (1)
Depd 13-7-96*

NEW ORDER

Copy abstract only.
Q - Copy heading only.

Petition from Mr. J. M. Thomas
Trustee of the Manarcad Church
residing in Kottayam.

DATED 20 June 1896.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

H. A. L. L. L.
Private Secretary

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
26 June 1896.

To

His Excellency

The Governor-in-Council
Madras

May it please your Excellency

That the petitioner seeing
that the Manarcad church is in ruins
petitioned the Travancore government to
grant the petitioner and others to sue
for the above church. but the Travancore
government though it found in its investiga-
tion that the church is in ruins still it did
not take up its duty to restore it but
the petitioner an endorsement to the
they like in Proceedings of the Madras Govt dated 2 and

The petitioner filed the suit
the Travancore Courts. One Munsiff dismissed
the suit but another Munsiff gave a finding
in petitioners favour. The District Judge
also dismissed the suit. The petitioner
has appealed to the High Court in special
appeal suit No 317 of 1901.

The matter to be decided is a
Christian matter. The Hindu judges
sitting to hear on Christian trusts cannot
fully comprehend the matter and they
some how or other decide the matter. The
decision No 3 of the Royal Court of Final
appeal in Travancore is a good example
where the Christian judges

The petitioners petitioned the British Government to order the High Court to order the matter be heard by a bench of 3 judges of the Christian Judge at least dated the 28th January 1891.

The British Government has been kind enough to grant the petitioners an order meant to the effect that the "petitioners" prayer is inadmissible "which order the petitioners are very much obliged to."

The petitioners must have been up your throat for a long time. I think enough to order that Justice be distributed to the public at large and that Christian matters be heard by judges who have a deep knowledge of Christianity and principles.

I or which out of the only the petitioners as in such court that only.

*W. H. Jones
J. H. Jones
J. H. Jones
J. H. Jones
J. H. Jones*

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

APPROPRIATE NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

A = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.