

Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 346-347

Dated 2^d June 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

COCHIN DISTRICT.

Sanctioning the loan to the-----of the services of M.R.EY. T. Santara Rao, B.A., Fifth Assistant Lecturer, Kumbakonam College, for a period of three years on a salary of Rs. 300 per mensem; and forwarding copy of the proceedings to the Government of India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	844. 409		1896. 30-6-96				

Ernakulam
25th March 1896.

N^o 678
P. 104

To. J. Thomson Esquire.
British Resident in
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir, I have the honour to inform you that His Highness the Raja has sanctioned the appointment of Mr. J. Sankara Row, Assistant Lecturer, Kumbhakonam College, as Guardian and Tutor to the junior Princes of Cochin on a salary of Rs 300 per mensem from the 13th May 1896. I request therefore you will be so good as to address the Government of Madras with a view to Mr. Sankara Row's services being lent to this Sarkar for a period of 3 years from the above date.

I have &c
(sd) V. Subramanya Pillai.
Dewan.

1 True Copy 1

Thomson
14.

Resident.

27
2

BW

(A)

26

Ref: on C N^o 989 of 96

CURRENT NO. 470

Resident's Office
Trivandrum, 15th April 1896.

From, J. Thomson Esquire,
Resident in Travancore and Cochin.
To,
The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George.

Sir,
I have the honor to forward herewith
for the favourable Consideration of Government
Should Government see no objection, the accompany-
-ing application from the Cochin Durbar
for the services of M. R R. J. Sankara Row
of the Rumbakonam College for employment as
guardian and Tutor to the Junior Princes of
Cochin on a Salary of Rs 300 per mensem
for a period of 3 years from the 13th May
next.

2. The Director of Public Instruction will be
able to report ^{as to} whether he belongs to the graded
Educational Service & if not whether he has the
necessary 10 years qualifying service under
Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Thomson

1896
P.M. Dept.
Enclos. No. 1
To
470

(A P)

P.M.

CURRENT NO. 470
1896.

Political Department.

RR 5968/96.



From,
The Resident in Travancore and
Cochin,
To,


The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St George.

Dated 1st March 1896.

Received 6th April 1896.
Regd 7th April 1896.

Ref on CN^o 987. 989

Enclosure 1



Gotacamund }
21st April 1896 }



Forwarding an application from the
Cochin Durbar for the services of
M R R J. Sankara Rao of the
Kumbakonam College for employment
as guardian and tutor to the Junior
Princes of Cochin.

To
The Director of Public Instruction.

9/4/96

Received
30/4

Encl. 5 S.P.D's
No. 4864/96

W.S.

[G.]
9,000-28-1-96

Current No. 335

DEPARTMENT.

From

The Honorable D. Duncanson,
Coonoor.

No.

Dated 14th May 1896

Received 15th —

Registered 16th —

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that he had replied
on the 11th inst with reference
to the Cochin Durbar's
application for M. R. R.
J. Sankara Rao's services.

Is await report from the acct. genl.
22/5/96

(A Photo)

D. O. No 335

Ed. Cor. 300-5-1-00
21

Office of Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Cum gratia

CHIEF SECRETARY

15 MAY 1896

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

My dear Sir

With reference to
Assistant Secretary
Phillips' reminder post-
card with reference to
the Cochin Durbar's
application for Mr. R. R.
T. Samkara Rao's services
I beg to state that the
Endorsement No 851
Political, dated 21st
April was forwarded
submitted through the
Account General
on the 27th April. A

Your most obedient
servant
J. Srinivasan

(A Photo)
Foreign Dept.
12/26/96

letter on the subject
from the Accountant
General was received
on the 9th instant
& replied to on the
11th


Truly yours
J. Duncan

CURRENT No.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 27 April 1896.



No. 4864.

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.SC.,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

FORT ST. GEORGE,

(through the Accountant General)

SIR,

In returning Endorsement,
No. 851, Political, dated 25th instant,
I have the honour to state that I see
no objection to the employment of
Mr. R. Ry. Y. Sankara Rau B. A., Fifth
Assistant Lecturer, Kumbakonam College,
as guardian and tutor to the junior
Princes of Cochin. In point of fact,
it was at the request of the Cochin
Government, that I selected Mr. R. Ry.
Y. Sankara Rau for this important
post. He does not belong to the graded
Educational service but he has put in
ten years' qualifying service.

I have the honour to be,
Sir

Your most obedt. servant.

S. Duncan

CURRENT No.

From

The Director of Public
Instruction, Madras

To

The Honorable the
Chief Secretary to Govt
Fort St. George



4364.

Dated 27th
Despatched 30 April 1896

Enclosure - Pol. Dept.
470 sub.



Returning with remarks
Resd. 851 re the employ-
ment of M.R. T. Sankara
Rao B.A. of the Kumbako-
nam College as guardian
and tutor to the Junior
Princes of Cochin.

CURRENT No. 687

W. J. A. L.
92C

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
19th May 1896.

Submitted with the remark that
there is no account objection to the transfer.

With reference to the proposal made
by the Raja of Cochin to pay M.R. T.
Sankara Rao a salary of Rs 300 per
mensem, attention of Government is
invited to Article 806 (IV) (as recently
revised) of the Civil Service Regulations
which lays down rules for determin-
ing the remuneration of an officer
transferred to Foreign service of the
1st kind. M.R. T. Sankara Rao's
pay as 5th Assistant-Lecturer, -
Kumbakonam College was Rs 100
only per mensem.

M. Harris

Offg: Accountant General.

To

Chief Secretary to Government;
Political Department.

Resd 851/596
Resd 523/5

Political Dept.

Cs 470 + 687

Order
mis

The
~~Order that the foot~~
of India be addressed in
the Foreign Dept.

C.S.
25.5.96.

Letter - to

The Secy to the Govt of India,
Foreign Dept.

Should not this be three years, and not
three years and a half?

Does the rule of pay proposed come within
the terms of sub-section (2) of Sec (IV) of
Art. 806 of C.S.R.? If it does, is there
reason for reference to G. of India?

The application was recd. on the 6th Feb.
Why not submitted to Govt. before 29th May?

R-514
30.5.96

Sir, with reference to Art 806 (ii) of
the C.S.R., I am directed to report
the sanction of the Govt of India
to the transfer, for a period
of three and a half years for
the ~~3rd instance~~, of the services
of M.R. T. Sankara Rao B.A.,
Fifth Asst Lecturer, Kumbako-
nam College, who has been
selected by the Cochin
Dubai for the post of
guardian and tutor to
the junior Princes of Cochin
on a salary of Rs 300 per
mensem.

2.

M.R. T. Sankara Rao
does not belong to the
Educational service
but has ten years

qualifying service, and has been specially recommended for this important post by the D.P.I. I am to request therefore that, although the proposed remuneration ~~is~~ exceeds the limit specified in clause (iv) of Art 806 C.S.R., it may be sanctioned as a special case, ~~partic-~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~case of the~~ ^{the} ~~appointment of M.R. Ry.~~ ^{the} Sundarama Iyer in 1891 as tutor to Prince Marthanda Varma ^{of Travancore}, the net salary granted was Rs 400.

Tutor to the junior Prince of Cochin

E

H. E. the Governor

[vide correspondence ending with Foreign Dept. Telegram No. 927-6 dated 25 June 1891] -

C.S. 28.5.96 3. I am to request the favour of a reply by telegram

C.S. 28.5.96 20 29/5/96

Subsequently increased but salary remained fully awarded

403 479

Political Dept

C. No. 470 + 687

With reference to H. E. the Governor's note on the draft the following is submitted:

(i) The period should be three years. The mistake of three and half years made by the clerk who drafted was overlooked & this oversight is ^{much} regretted. The draft has been corrected.

(ii) It was considered that the case fell more under subsection (1) of clause (iv) of article 806 of the C.S.R. than under subsection (2) and this appears to be the view of the Asst. Com. from his Lett. of 19 May 1896, No. I.A.E. - 92 C. as he draws attention to the pay drawn by Mr. R. Ry. Sankara Rao in ~~British~~ British service. If this view is correct the sanction of the S. of I. ~~appears to~~ is necessary under clause (ii) (a) of § 806, as the proposed pay is more than 25 per cent in excess of the British pay. If subsection (2) of clause (iv) is considered to govern the case, the ~~the~~ sanction of the G. of I. is ^{provided that} not required, if Rs 300. ~~can be~~ is considered as the pay ordinarily allowed for similar duties in B. India. There are no data for estimating such pay, as the present is a case of a ~~friendship~~ ^{friendship} to the junior

* This was the view which I took after looking the point up

On relative Staff.

In any case, the transfer must be reported for the information of the Govt. of India - vide clause (14) of § 806.

(iii) The application from the Resident was received on the 6th April and registered on the 7th. This was at the time when the Resident was being packed for Ootacamund and when clerks were on their way up or moving. It was not possible to deal during the move with any but urgent papers and C. No. 470 not being so marked did not reach the Second Asst. until he arrived at Ootacamund. The encl. to the D. P. I. was passed by him on the 13th April, the day on which the office formally opened at Ootacamund, but was not apparently signed by the Asst. Secy. who did not arrive at Ootacamund until the 20th or 21st. When the office resumed after the holidays it was despatched ~~last~~ on the 21st to the D. P. I., received by him on the 23rd and replied to on the 27th. The Asst. Genl. appears to have detained the paper until the 19th May. His encl. was recd. on the evening of the 21st and registered on the 22nd. The paper reached the table of the undersigned on the 23rd. The 24th was Sunday the 25th & 26th were holidays. The undersigned took home with him on the Saturday evening all papers on his table & tho' he worked on Sunday & Monday

2

Mr Tappal was recd. at Oot. from the 13th and among matters had to be made for clerks being here on that day ready to work.
C.B.

* The Easter holidays were taken from the 13th to the 18th.
C.B.

* The D.O. from D. Duncan in the file shows that the paper was not lost sight of in the office and that he was reminded of it.
C.B.

* He was in bed the greater part of the 26th owing to illness.

for about from Political Dept: did not notice this paper (which was not marked urgent when recd. on the 22nd as it should have been in the office) until the 27th morning when he marked it urgent & sent it to the Chief Asst's clerk for draft pointing on the lines the draft should take. The draft was passed by the undersigned on the 28th by the Chief Secy. on the 29th, to whom it came up on that date.

The undersigned trusts that the above will prove that the only avoidable delay in the office was that caused by the fact that the paper recd. on the 22nd was not marked urgent. This would have expedited its disposal by 3 or 4 days.

In submitting the above to the Chief Secy, the undersigned need not remind him that every effort is made in the office to keep work current. The men work early and late and much harder, it is thought, than in any office in the Presidency. Speaking personally, the undersigned scarcely if ever leaves office until 7. P. M. and he almost invariably works for two hours at home of a morning. Today, a holiday, he has been at work since 8. A.M. - with breaks for meals, & for a visit to the library occupying about 1 1/2 hours - in order to get rid of some big files with him. Scarcely a Sunday passes without at least three hours work. Work on holidays has been prohibited but it is impossible to keep it ^{at all} current if some hours are not devoted to it on reading.

one statue Stat.

In an intended
I have corrected it but my attention
was turned to the other point about
reference to India and I forgot that
I had looked that up that I had
not struck out the word "and".
I beg to press my regrets for this
oversight. It was 4 PM before on the
29th before I could get, the consequence
of the meeting of Council being a long one,
to work. I had then to attend to several
important papers - one a very heavy
one and it was not until after
7 PM that I left office.

LD
31/5/96

1. Rs. 300 per mensem is not a large salary
that would be paid to a tutor employed on
similar work in British service, say tutor to the
Japanese Prince, or the Governor of Etchupuram,
the case falls under Clause 2, sub-section 4 of
Art. 808, C.S.D., and the sanction of the
Govt. of India is not necessary. The off't. must,
however, be informed.

2. The Board asked in his letter of the 1st April
that the services of the tutor might be made
available from the 13th May. Knowing how
long it takes to get such a paper through, the
Board who opened it, should have marked it
urgent.

3. The tutor's services should be at once placed
at the disposal of the Board, - if this has
not been already done.
A.H. 2.6.96

Political Dept:

C.Nos. 470 & 687.

ORDER No. 346 of 2 June 1896.
Mis

H.E. the Governor in Council
considers that the case falls within the
provisions of clause (2) of sub-section
(iv) of article 806 of the C.S.D., and
sanctions the loan to the Cochin Darbar
of the services of M.R. Ry. T. Sankara Rao
B.A., Fifth Asst. Lecturer, Kumbakonam
College for a period of three years on
salary of Rs. 300 per mensem.

2. The following telegram will
be despatched to the D.P.I.
The Resident in T. & C.

294 (6) 4864, 27th April
295 (8) Your transfer of
987, 1st April

Sankara Rao's services to Cochin sanc-
tioned. [Arrange for his early relief]

3. The D.P.I. will be requested
to arrange for the very early relief
of M.R. Ry. T. Sankara Rao and to draw the
attention of that officer to the insti-
tutions contained in articles 826-834 of
the C.S.D. regarding the information
to be furnished to accounts officers

(from orders)

To The D.P.I. (q. of both currents)
,, A.G. (----do----)
,, Resident in T. & C. (----do----)

CS 2/6/96 LD 2/6/96


No. 347.

Copy to the Govt. of India
Foreign Dept: CS 2/6/96 LD 2/6/96

Tutor to the Junior Princes of Cochin

(FROM ORDERS)

C. S.
Mis



*Please find. When the fair copy that
has to be of J.*
H. J.
31/6/96

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

REAS AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED
189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO Q. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.