Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

(1)

G.O., No. 196-197

Dated 16 Mar: 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

EMPLOYMENT OF PENSIONERS BY NATIVE STATES.

Remarking on the irregularities that took place in connection with the grant of a pension to Hospital Assistant T.Arunachellam Pillai in 1883, and replying to the enquiry of the Government of India as to the circumstances under which Arunachellam Pillai was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukkottai Durbar.

– Pudukottah.

1. Ce Hosp. asst
S. Arnachellum's case

6
2
97

Political Agency to the Govt
Quarkottai
Reference 3340 of 95. dy. 15 January 1896.
Ex. 3. 11 Sheets Despatched

From

J. Andrew, Esqr.
Political Agent for Pudukota
Trichinopoly

To

The District Medical & Sanitary Officer
Trichinopoly.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 3301 of 27th Instant, I have the honour to inform you that the records do not show the circumstances under which the Political Agent applied in 1883 for an invalid pension for S. Arunachella Pillai. The Dewan also informs me that the records of his office do not throw any light on the subject.

2. The Pension application describes him as "1st class Hospital Asst. Pudukottai state", but the Dewan informs me that this is incorrect. He was 1st class Hospital assistant British Service lent to the Pudukottai State as Medical Officer in charge of Pudukottai Hospital under the local rank of Apothecary. That appointment he held at the time of his retirement.

3. With reference to para 2 of your letter, I have to state that the Medical Certificate stated that he was "permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs". The Department would presumably have meant the British Medical department and not any department of the Pudukottai

Service Register which is given in the former case as binding. On the question of his interest he (Surgeon writes to me as follows:- "The certificate of health invalided him from the British service but the Medical Department did not appear to have considered it a bar to his continuing him in charge of the medical Department as he attached greater value to native experience and long training in the British Service than to physical fitness since his object in retaining him was evidently to organize and reform and he considered the Medical Department which duty he has fulfilled with the physical fitness required for it."

3. As per item 5 of the Pension application the then Political Agent should apparently have entered his last employment in British Service adding, if necessary the post he was then holding in foreign Service. It was perhaps irregular on the part of the Political Agent to have signed the application at all as head of office" as such a designation was incorrect.

4. I return the enclosures received with your letter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Secretary

Political Agent for Pudukkottai

FORM No. 2.

MINUTE FORM.

18

Reference Minute of Reference No 3602 of 12th December 1895 regarding the pension application of late Chief Medical Officer Srimavelu Pillai Avergal of Pudukkottai State

Political Department

OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT 21 JAN 96 WITH GOVT OF MADRAS

Enclosures Nil

No. 154

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MEDICAL AND SANITARY OFFICER

Trichinopoly 19th January 1896.

To

THE Secretary Surgeon General with the Govt of Madras, Madras

With reference to the above noted I have the honour to report for the Pudukkottai State applied in October 1882 for the services of Mr Assistant Surgeon Thiruvachellam Pillai No 35 and that his services were accordingly lent to the State by Government. In this capacity he served the State till June 1883 when he was invalided from Govt Service; but was retained by order of the Durbar Agent in the same office as he had previously held. The reasons for his retention in office are fully set forth in the enclosed Original Communication (Marked C) from Mr Such a Gentleman, C.S.I. (and retired) and appear to him here - under the circumstances of a purely Agent nature.

2. In the communication from the present Political Agent marked (B) also enclosed in original the circumstances under which the application for pension was made are explained.

3 Apparently the State of Pudukkottai was empowered there as now, to maintain its own Medical officers with reference to the Govt medical Service. The Collector of Trichinopoly - the Political Agent - exercises general administrative control over the State. The Superintendent of Police Pudukkottai the Pudukkottai State Police but the District Medical & Sanitary Officer Trichinopoly

Has no concern with the medical & sanitary arrangements of the State beyond (since of late) transmitting periodical Medical returns.

William O'Flaherty
Surgeon Lt/Col
Dist Med & Sanity Officer

Vaelundshot
19 Jan 96

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

No. 357
Encl.

Madras, 27th January 1896.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I.
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Madras,

ASSISTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
22 JAN 96
MADRAS

To

THE *Accountant General*
Fort Saint George.

357

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. S.A.E. 385 dated the 31st December 1895, I have the honour to forward for your information and favour of return the enclosed correspondence received from the District medical & Sanitary Officer Trichinopoly which fully explains the circumstances under which pensioned Hospital Assistant Arnachellam Pillai was retained in the Service of the Pudoocotah State. The question of his retention was not referred to this office.

2. The accompaniments of your letter are herewith returned as requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
C. Sibthorpe
Surgn.-Major-Genl.,
Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

6
6
97

10 S.G.—1,500—2-2-95.

(1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENL. C. SMETHURST, F.R.C.P.I.,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

The Accountant-General

Fort Saint George.

No. *357*

ENCLOSURE.

Dated *27th* January 1896.

Received

No.

SUBJECT.

Re- retention in the service of the Pudukotta State of retired Asst. Asst. Surgeon Arunachellam Pillai No. 36

Pension DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:--

Endorsement of the Political Department No. 2582 dated 30th October 1895.

Endorsement to the Accountant General No. 93 dated 12th November 1895.

Read also the following letter from the Accountant General Madras, dated the 4th February 1896, No. $\frac{\text{IAE}}{466}$:--

With reference to your Endorsement No. 93 d/ the 12th of November 1895 referring to me for report a letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, No. 3423 I dated the 14th October 1895, in which he enquires how T. Arunachellam Pillai, late a 1st. Class Hospital Assistant was granted an invalid pension and yet al-lowed to remain on in the service of the Pudukottai Durbar, I have the honor to report as follows:--

T. Arunachellam Pillai, a 1st. Class Hospital Assist-ant on the Medical Establishment of the Civil Department, who was doing duty in the Pudukottai State was granted an in-valid pension of Rs 21 - 6 - 0, a month under Government Or-der No. 949, Pension, dated the 22nd. October 1883. In the Medical certificate received with the Pension application,

it was stated that he had been completely and permanently incapacitated for further ~~xxxx~~ service of any kind in the Medical Department in consequence of chronic diarhoea, dyspepsia, and debility. On the 1st page of the pension application he was shown to have ceased to do duty on the 26th June 1885, while on the third page opposite to the Heading "Any otherremarks" no mention was made, as it should have been , of his retention in the service of the Pudukottai State. The Last Pay Certificate which should have accompanied the application for pension is not traceable in our records: probably it was not attached and its omission was not noticed; the irregularity, if it occurred, is regretted. Every thing seeming inorder, this Office reported upon the pension application, and the Government accordingly sanctioned apension to him in the Order quoted above.

On a referance now made, the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras has forwarded the marginally noted papers, which are herein enclosed for perusal and return and states that the question of this officer's retention in service was not referred to his office. It will be seen from the correspondence that the medical certificate furnished in support of the pension application was not understood to bar his continuing in the same service under a different master, who in this view of the case was left to safeguard his own interests.

1. Letter from Surgeon General No. 354 d/ 27th Jany. 1896
2. Letter from Medical Officer Trichinopoly, No. 154 d/ 19th January 1896.
3. Letter from the same office No. 3301 d/ 27th Decr 1895
4. Letter from Political Agent Pudukotai No. 39 dated 15 January 1896.
5. Letter from M. R. Ry. Seshayya Sastri Avargal, CSI. d/ 28th December 1895.
6. Letter from Arunachellam Pillai d/ 31st Decr. 1895.

The Endorsement under reply with the connected papers is herewith returned.

ORDER thereon, No. d/ Feby. 1896.

The Accountant General's remarks will be communicated to the Political Department with reference to its Endorsement No. 2582 dated 30th October 1895.

(True Extract)

Diana C Bradon
6.2

Ag. Under Secretary to Govt.

To the Political Department.
with the Govt. of India letter in orig.
and 6 enclos. reported to (the retirement)

Political Dept.

Read again G.O. [illegible] (1)

" " G.O. [illegible] (2)

Nos. 1470, 1743 & 200

C I R C U L A R

The correspondence read above shows that various irregularities occurred in connection with the grant of a pension to Hospital Asst: Arunachellam [illegible] in 1893. Foremost among those was the omission on the part of the Poll: Agent to bring to the notice of Govt. the fact that it was the intention of the Pudukottai state to continue to employ the Hospital Asst. The signature of the Poll: Agent to the application as "head of office" was also most irregular. The S.G. states that the question of the retention of Arunachellam Pillai in the service of the Pudukottai state was not referred to his office, but it is observed that the Pension application passed through the hands of the S.G. of the day who failed to bring to notice that several entries therein were incorrect. Steps will be taken in the Pension Dept: to prevent the recurrence of such irregularities in future.

To

The A.G. .6

" Pension Dept.:6

LETTER

To No 197 dy 3rd Feb 96

The Secy: to the Govt. of India,

Foreign dept:

Orig 4/Cor
Letter 4/Cor
Draft 4/4/96

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with Mr. Bosanquet's letter No.3433-I, d/ 14-10-95, in which enquiry was made as to the circumstances under which Arunachellam Pillai was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukottai darbar

2. In reply I am directed to state that no mention was made in Arunachellam Pillai's application for pension in 1893 of the fact that the Pudukottai Darbar intended to continue him in its service. The then Diwan-R.B.Sy. Seshayya Sastri C.S.I.-, who has been addressed on the subject, explains that the Hospital Asst: was invalided with reference to the conditions & requirements of the British service and not with reference to those of the Pudukottai Darbar which were materially different; that the Darbar was moreover at the time in need, not of a Hospital Asst: for Hospital duties, but of a medical officer of mature experience and superior intelligence and one trained in the British service, who could organize, reform and superintend the medical dept: of the State; that so long as Arunachellam Pillai was shown in the effective strength of the British Medical Dept:

he was liable to be employed for military or civil duty, & the rigorous nature of the duties attached to which the state of his health totally unfitted him for and that the lighter duties required of him by the Darbar State, so far from increasing his incapacity for service, contributed largely to a material improvement in his health

CS 26/2/96 — Do 27/2/96

FOR ORDERS

Whether it is necessary to say any more than the above to India at present. The Foreign dept: will doubtless pass some general orders on the subject of the employment by a Native State of an officer invalided from British service. The employment of British pensioners by Native States does not require the sanction of the Govt. of India, [vide G.C. No.354 Poll., d/ 2nd May 1887] but it seems objectionable that an officer retired on medical certificate should continue to work for a Native State. The present case is a type of what may happen and the late Diwan-Regent of Pudukottai seems to think that the present is not an isolated instance.

CS. 25.2.96

I think not if we lend them a copy of our Proceedings. Native States always pick up our cast offs - if they can as they get them cheap.

to — Mar

non-European

It ought not to be allowed to —

Do 28/2/96

28 120

Forwarded copy of the proceedings of the Govt of India, Foreign dept, No 197 dated 3rd February 1896, regarding the case of Arunachellam Pillai, Hospital Assistant, Pudukottai State.

CS
to reply letter in accordance with draft

Employment of pensioners by ~~active~~ Strikes

H.E. the Governor
The Hon'ble Mr. Secy. C & S

The Secy, Revenue Deptt. for favour of counter-initial

No. Department. Date.
80 437 10-7-96

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., Nos. 514, 515, Political, dated 3rd August 1895.

Abstract.—Requesting the sanction of the Government of India to the grant of a special pension of Rs. 50 per mensem to T. Arunachellam Pillai, late Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer of the Pudukkóttai State.

G.O., No. 595, Political, dated 6th September 1895.

Abstract.—Telegraphing to the Government of India that the services of T. Arunachellam Pillai were lent to the Pudukkóttai State in November 1892, and that he was subsequently invalided by the British Government and granted pension with effect from the 27th June 1883.

Read—also the following papers :—

From O. V. BOSANQUET, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 14th October 1895, No. 3423-I.

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your telegram, No. 547, dated the 6th September 1895, regarding the proposal of the Pudukkóttai State to grant a special pension to T. Arunachellam Pillai.

2. Arunachellam Pillai was transferred to the Pudukkóttai State in November 1882. In June 1883, he was invalided from Government service and granted a pension of Rs. 21-6-0 a month. He continued, however, in the service of the State for thirteen years, drawing his invalid pension in addition to the salary paid by the Darbar, and has now retired.

3. An invalid pension is awarded on retirement to an officer who by bodily or mental infirmity is permanently incapacitated for the public service or for the particular branch of it to which he belongs. It appears, however, that Arunachellam Pillai held the same appointment before and after he was invalided from the service of Government. I am, accordingly, to enquire under what circumstances Arunachellam Pillai was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain on in the service of the Pudukkóttai Darbar.

Memorandum from the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated 2nd December 1895, No. 95.

G.O., Mis. No. 100, Pension, dated 5th February 1896.

Read—the following papers :—

Endorsement of the Political Department, No. 2582, dated 30th October 1895.

,, to the Accountant-General, No. 93, dated 12th November 1895.

Letter from the Accountant-General, Madras, dated 4th February 1896, No. 466—I.A.E.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 93, dated the 12th of November 1895, referring to me for report a letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, No. 3423 I., dated the 14th October 1895, in which he enquires how T. Arunachellam Pillai, late a 1st-class hospital assistant, was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain on in the service of the Pudukkóttai Darbar, I have the honour to report as follows:—

T. Arunachellam Pillai, a 1st-class hospital assistant on the medical establishment of the Civil department, who was doing duty in the Pudukkóttai State, was granted an invalid pension of Rs. 21-6-0 a month under G.O., No. 949, Pension, dated the 22nd October 1883. In the medical certificate received with the pension application, it was stated that he had become completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the Medical Department in consequence of chronic diarrhœa, dyspepsia and debility. On the first page of the pension application he was shown to have ceased to do duty on the 26th June 1883, while on the third page opposite to the heading "Any other remarks" no mention was made, as it should have been, of his retention in the service of the Pudukkóttai State. The last pay certificate which should have accompanied the application for pension is not traceable in our records: probably it was not attached and its omission was not noticed; the irregularity, if it occurred, is regretted. Everything seeming in order, this office reported upon the pension application, and the Government accordingly sanctioned a pension to him in the order quoted above.

On a reference now made, the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras has forwarded the marginally noted papers, which are herein enclosed, for perusal and return, and states that the question of this officer's retention in service was not referred to his office. It will be seen from the correspondence that the medical certificate furnished in support of the pension application was not understood to bar his continuing in the same service under a different master, who in this view of the case was left to safeguard his own interests.

(1) Letter from Surgeon-General, No. 354, dated 27th January 1896.
(2) Letter from Medical Officer, Trichinopoly, No. 154, dated 19th January 1896.
(3) Letter from the same officer, No. 3301, dated 27th December 1895.
(4) Letter from Political Agent, Pudukkóttai, No. 39, dated 15th January 1896.
(5) Letter from M.R Ry. Seshayya Sastri Avargal, C.S.I., dated 24th Dec. 1895.
(6) Letter from Arunachellam Pillai, dated 31st December 1895.

The endorsement under reply with the connected papers is herewith returned.

Enclosures.

From Surgeon-Lieutenant-Colonel W. O'HARA, District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Trichinopoly, to the Political Agent to the State of Pudukkóttai, dated 27th December 1895, No. 3301.

In forwarding the accompanying correspondence, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to inform me under what circumstances the Political Agent to the Pudukkóttai State applied in June 1883 for an invalid pension for 1st-class Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai, No. 35, who was at the time lent for employment to the Pudukkóttai State.

Service book of 1st-class Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai, No. 35.
Pension application of 1st-class Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai, No. 35, dated 7th September 1883.
Invalid medical certificate of 1st-class Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai, No. 52, dated 27th June 1883.
Letter, No. 883—I.A.E., dated 3rd December 1895, from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Surgeon-General, Madras.
Communication, No. 3602, dated 12th December 1895, from the Surgeon-General, to the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Trichinopoly.

2. Further, how it transpired that, after the said hospital assistant was pronounced by a medical committee to be unfit completely and permanently for further service of any kind in the Medical Department, he was retained by the State in the same appointment as that held by him at the time of being invalided.

From T. ARUNACHELLAM PILLAI, Pensioned 1st-class Hospital Assistant and late Chief Medical Officer, Pudukkóttai, to the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Trichinopoly, dated Trichinopoly, 31st December 1895.

Adverting to your personal enquiry relative to the reasons for my having been invalided in 1883, and for my having continued in the Pudukkóttai State to which my services have been lent by the Madras Government as 1st-class hospital assistant, I beg respectfully to state that I was invalided in 1883 as 1st-class hospital assistant in British Medical Service on account of my ailments specified in the medical certificate granted by the then assembled medical officers, viz., Surgeon-Major J. B. Thomas and Surgeon P. A. W Bom.

Although the state of my health was so impaired as not to undertake a hard work, still I was obliged to accept the appointment in consideration of the light duty I have to perform as Chief Medical Officer (purely State service) and continued for thirteen years.

In token of my good service rendered to the State, His Highness the Maharaja was so pleased as to sanction a pension of Rs. 50 per mensem and thus recommended to Government of India for favour of formal sanction.

Detailed circumstances connected with my services in the State are mentioned in the letter in original attached from M.R Ry. A. Seshayya Sastri, C.S.I., late Diwan-Regent of Pudukkóttai, for your information and disposal.

From J. ANDREW, Esq., Political Agent for Pudukkóttai, to the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, dated Pudukkóttai, 15th January 1896, Ref. on Cur. No. 39-0, Political.

In reply to your letter, No. 3301, dated 27th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that the records do not show the circumstances under which the Political Agent applied in 1883 for an invalid pension for T. Arunachellam Pillai. The Diwan also informs me that the records of his office do not throw any light on the subject.

2. The pension application describes him as "1st-class Hospital Assistant, *Pudukkóttai State*," but the Diwan informs me that this is incorrect. He was 1st-class Hospital Assistant, *British Service*, lent to the Pudukkóttai State as "Medical officer in charge of Pudukkóttai hospital under the local rank of apothecary." That appointment he held at the time of his retirement.

3. With reference to paragraph 2 of your letter, I have to state that the medical certificate stated that he was "permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs." This department would presumably have meant the *British* Medical Department, and not any department of the Pudukkóttai State, service on which the rules in force in the former were not binding. On the question of his unfitness, the Diwan writes to me as follows:—"The certificate no doubt invalided him from the British active service, but the late Diwan did not appear to have considered it a bar to his continuing him in charge of the medical department, as he attached greater value to mature experience and long training in the British service than to physical fitness, since his object in retaining him was evidently to organize and reform and superintend the medical department, which duty he has fulfilled with the physical fitness required for it."

3. Opposite item 5 of the pension application, the then Political Agent should apparently have entered his last employment in British service, adding, if necessary, the post he was then holding in foreign service. It was perhaps irregular on the part of the Political Agent to have signed the application at all as "Head of office" as such a designation was incorrect.

4. I return the enclosures received with your letter.

From Surgeon-Lieutenant-Colonel W. O'HARA, District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 19th January 1896, No. 154.

[*Reference*.—Minute H. Ref. on C. No. 2602-5, of 12th December 1895, regarding the pension application of late Chief Medical Officer T. Arunachellam Pillai, No. 35, of Pudukkóttai State.]

With reference to the above, it would appear that the Political Agent for the Pudukkóttai State applied in October 1882 for the services of 1st-class Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai, No. 35, and that his services were accordingly lent to the State by Government. In this capacity he served the State till June 1883 when he was invalided from Government service; but was retained by order of the Diwan-Regent in the same office as he had previously held. The reasons for his retention in office are fully set forth in the enclosed original communication (marked C) from Mr. Seshayya Sastri, C.S.I. (now retired), and appear to have been—under the circumstances—of a sufficiently cogent nature.

2. In the communication from the present Political Agent (marked B), also enclosed in original, the circumstances under which the application for pension was made are explained.

3. Apparently the State of Pudukkóttai was empowered then, as now, to entertain its own medical officers without reference to the Government medical service. The Collector of Trichinopoly, as Political Agent, exercises general administrative control over the State. The Superintendent of Police supervises the Pudukkóttai State Police, but the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Trichinopoly, has no concern with the medical and sanitary arrangements of the State beyond (since of late) transmitting periodical medical returns.

From Surgeon-Major-General C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Accountant-General, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 27th January 1896, No. 354.

With reference to your letter, No. 388-I.A.E., dated the 3rd December 1895, I have the honour to forward for your information and favour of return the enclosed correspondence received from the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Trichinopoly, which fully explains the circumstances under which Pensioned Hospital Assistant Arunachellam Pillai was retained in the service of the Pudukkóttai State. The question of his retention was not referred to this office.

2. The accompaniments of your letter are herewith returned as requested.

Order—Mis. No. 100, Pension, dated 5th February 1896.

The Accountant-General's remarks will be communicated to the Political Department with reference to its endorsement, No. 2582, dated 30th October 1895.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Political Department.

Order—No. 196, Political, dated 16th March 1896.

The correspondence read above shows that various irregularities occurred in connection with the grant of a pension to Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai in 1883. Foremost amongst these was the omission on the part of the then Political Agent to bring to the notice of Government the fact that it was the intention of the Pudukkóttai State to continue to employ the hospital assistant. The signature of the Political Agent to the application as "head of office" was also most irregular. The Surgeon-General states that the question of the retention of Arunachellam Pillai in the service of the Pudukkóttai State was not referred to his office, but it is observed that the pension application passed through the hands of the Surgeon-General of the day, who failed to notice the inaccuracy of entries therein. Steps will be taken in the Pension Department to prevent the recurrence of such irregularities in future.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
„ Pension Department.

Letter—to the Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, No. 197, Political, dated Fort St. George, 16th March 1896.

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with Mr. Bosanquet's letter, No. 3423—1, dated 14th October 1895, in which enquiry was made as to the circumstances under which T. Arunachellam Pillai, an hospital assistant, was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukkóttai Darbar.

2. In reply, I am directed to forward copy of the Proceedings of this Government of to-day's date, No. 196, from which it will be seen that no mention was made in Arunachellam Pillai's application for pension in 1883 of the fact that the Pudukkóttai Darbar intended to continue him in its service. The then Diwan, M.R.Ry. Seshayya Sastri, C.S.I., who has been addressed on the subject, explains that the hospital assistant was invalided with reference to the conditions and requirements of the British service and not with reference to those of the Pudukkóttai Darbar, which were materially different; that the Darbar was moreover at the time in need, not of a hospital assistant for hospital duties, but of a medical officer of mature experience and superior intelligence and one trained in the British service who could organize, reform and superintend the Medical Department of the State; that so long as Arunachellam Pillai was shown on the effective strength of the British Medical Department, he was liable to be recalled for Military or Civil duty; that the state of his health totally unfitted him for the rigorous nature of such duties and that the lighter work required of him by the Darbar, so far from increasing his incapacity for service, contributed largely to a material improvement in his health.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Ext. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

POLITICAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., &c., Nos. 196, 197, 16th March 1896.

MARCH.

Employment of Pensioners by Native States.

Remarking on the irregularities that took place in connection with the grant of a pension to Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai in 1883.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

POLITICAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 196, 16th March 1896.

MARCH.

Employment of Pensioners by Native States.

Remarking on the irregularities that took place in connection with the grant of a pension to Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai in 1883.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Letter—from

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George,

To

The Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with Mr. Bosanquet's letter, No. 3423—1, dated 14th October 1895, in which enquiry was made as to the circumstances under which T. Arunachellam Pillai, an hospital assistant, was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukkóttai Darbar.

2. In reply, I am directed to forward copy of the Proceedings of this Government of to-day's date, No. 196, from which it will be seen that no mention was made in Arunachellam Pillai's application for pension in 1883 of the fact that the Pudukkóttai Darbar intended to continue him in its service. The then Diwan, M.R.Ry. Seshayya Sastri, C.S.I., who has been addressed on the subject, explains that the hospital assistant was invalided with reference to the conditions and requirements of the British service and not with reference to those of the Pudukkóttai Darbar, which were materially different; that the Darbar was moreover at the time in need, not of a hospital assistant for hospital duties, but of a medical officer of mature experience and superior intelligence and one trained in the British service who could organize, reform and superintend the Medical Department of the State; that so long as Arunachellam Pillai was shown on the effective strength of the British Medical Department, he was liable to be recalled for Military or Civil duty; that the state of his health totally unfitted him for the rigorous nature of such duties and that the lighter work required of him by the Darbar, so far from increasing his incapacity for service, contributed largely to a material improvement in his health.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Fort St. George,
16*th March* 1896.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Encl. A. Batts.

1896.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

From the Government of
Fort St. George.

Issued

Read. *Encl.*

Letter, No. 197, 16th Mar. 1896.

MARCH.

Employment of Pensioners by Native States.

Replying to an enquiry as to the circumstances under which Hospital Assistant T. Arunachellam Pillai was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukkottai Darbar.

8

G.O. 514-15 Pol. 3/8/95

Letter replying the sanction of the Secy. under the grant of a special pension of Rs 50 per mensem to T. Arunachalam Pillai, late Hospl. assistant attached to Sanitary Office of the Pudukkottai State.

G.O. 595 Pol. 6/9/95

Letter forwarding to the Govt. of India for the sanction of T. Arunachalam Pillai late Hospl. assistant to the Pudukkottai State in Nov 92, & that he was subsequently invalided by the Pudukkottai Govt. & granted pension with effect from the 27 June 1882.

Pension 1895 Department

Current Number 1511

CURRENT NO 1511

595 : 6-9-95
5 14/15 : 3-8-95
457 : 15-11-82
392 : 12-10-82
28 : 23-1-80
607 : 15-12-79
535 : 13-11-79.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
13 NOV 95
MADRAS

Rec'd 17: 2582

Referred to the Pension Department for report.

Chief Secretary

C.S.
28/10/95

Fort St. George
30 Oct 1895

From
Govt of India
Foreign Dept

Dated 14
Recd 20 } Oct 1895
Rpd 21

Asking for an explanation of the circumstances under which Arunachellam Pillai was granted an invalid pension & yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukkottai State

5312/11

Govt. No. 93 dt/12 Nov 1895

Referred to the Accountant for early report with reference to G.O. dated 22nd October 1883 No 949 Pension.

Pension Dept. Fort St. George

for Chief Secretary
Ag Under Secretary

To The Accountant, with Arunachellam Pillai's pension application.

97

No. 3423-I

FROM

THE Under SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

TO

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St George.

Dated Simla ; the 14th October 1895.

FOREIGN DEPT. SIR,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your telegram No 547, dated the 6th September 1895, regarding the proposal of the Pudukkottai State to grant a special pension to T. Arunachellam Pillai.

2. Arunachellam Pillai was transferred to the Pudukkottai State in November 1858. In June 1883, he was invalided from Government service and granted a pension of Rs 21-6-0 a month. He continued, however, in the service of the State for thirteen years, drawing his invalid pension in addition to the

the salary fixed by the Service and has since retired.

An invalid pension is awarded on retirement to an officer who by bodily or mental infirmity is permanently incapacitated for the public service or for the particular branch of it to which he belongs. It appears however, that Arumachellam Pillai held the same appointment before and after he was invalided from the service of Government. I am, accordingly, to enquire under what circumstances Arumachellam Pillai was granted an invalid pension and yet allowed to remain in the service of the Pudukkottai Durbar.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

C. Brandreth.
Offg. Under Secretary to the Govt of India.

3 Precedents

C. No. 1643

[A]

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 90

19

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

RECEIVED IN THE SECRETARIAT
— 4 DEC 1895
GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY

The Political Department will be informed that a reply to the Endorsement No. 2582 dated 30th October 1895 will be sent on receipt of a report which has been called for from the Accountant-General in this Department.

Govt Office
2nd December 1895.

Lionel Brandreth
Offg. Under Secretary to Govt.

To
The Political Dept

From

Mr Pearson Dept

Secret No 85

Dated 2/12/25

Recd 4/12/25

Regd 4/12/25

Subject

Stating, that a reply

to Secret No 2582 Post

30.10.25

will be sent on receipt

of a report from the

45 [illegible]

Govt. of 1896 Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Political Dept

Dated
Read 7-2-96
Disp. 8-2-96

Encls: 6
& Covering

Mis. G.O., dated 5 Feb. 1896, No. 100.

SUBJECT.

Communicating to the Political Dept, with reference to the Govt. Order 2882 30-10-95, the Accountant-General's remarks in the case of T. Armachellum Pillai, Cadet 1st Class Hospital Asstt. who was promoted on Invalid pension but allowed to remain on in the service of the Pudukottai Durbar.

SECRETARIAT
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
7 FEB 1896

(1) Mr. Henry Sewell
Officiated the place vacated
vide G.O. 949 - 22-10-88 Min.
Civil List

(2) Dr. L. R. Cornish
vide G.O. 1432 & 22-7-93.

4) N.O: he retired in 1893
His name appears in the Gramy List
His name appears in the Gramy List for the month 93 but not in the Civil List
Considerable Journey

Kamthi Cantonment
28.12.95

Dear Armachellum Pillay,

I have read the papers you have shown me. The question which seems to have arisen is how you came to be employed in the service of the Pudukottai State after having been invalided (while doing duty in that service) by a Medical Committee in 1883. The invaliding went with reference to the conditions and regulations of the British Service and not to the Pudukottai State Service, the conditions & regulations of both of which were materially different from

from those of the former. However, as my
letter to the Col Agent written at the time will
show, I marked not a Hospital Assistant
to the Hospital duties—but a Medical officer
of mature experience and superior intelligence
trained habits in the British Medical Department
who could organize & reform & superintend
the Medical department of the State, including
Vaccination and Sanitation. So long
as you remained borne on the Effective strength
of the British Medical Department, you could
be called back to that department for Military
or Civil duty as the Engineer officer of that service
would require—and you could not have
gone back
in the state of health you were in at the
time and fulfilled the requirements duties
of a Hospital Assistant—Whereas as

the

the Head of the Medical Department of the State, your
work was chiefly one of supervision & direction
and you could adjust the performance of your
duties to the condition of your health. Bodily
health once impaired, even apparently in a bad
way, need not necessarily be impaired for
ever. The relaxation from the severe anxieties
which the change to Pinkklin gave you contri-
buted materially to the gradual improvement
of your health, and though you have com-
plately regained, there were great facilities
in your position at Pinkklin, such as your
Hospital being within a stone's throw of your
present residence and the train was so close by
which enabled you to perform your
duties as Head of the Department [illegible]
manner Regent Assiduity and devotion
to your profession which you brought to

bear

brought to bear on your work & enabled you not only to bring the department to a state of great efficiency & befitting its operations but also to render signal services in appreciation of which Government has since rewarded you with a special pension of Rupees 50. per mensem. It may not be irrelevant in this place that previous to your appointment to the Madras Political Service two British Officers who had held the appointment of Inspector of Military Schools, both of the Civil or Military Department, has been the case. I had held the appointment — that the employment of invalided British Officers, both of the Civil or Military Department, has been a very common practice in the Local Govt. while a very common practice in Municipal & Railway Services — a practice which is specially encouraged & enjoined by the Govt. I hope these facts connected with your employment in the Pudukkottai State will go to remove the doubt which seems to have sprung up in your case.

Yours sincerely
Abdulah Sahib
Lieut. Personal Asst.

Office of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer,
TRICHINOPOLY, No. 330 / dated 2nd December 1885.

From,

SURGEON-Major Williamson
District Medical and Sanitary Officer,
TRICHINOPOLY.

To,

THE Political Agent to the State of
Pudukottah
Trichinopoly

Enclosure 35 (to be returned)

SIR,

In forwarding the accompanying Correspondence I have the honor to request that you will be good as to inform me under what circumstances the Political Agent to the Pudukottah State, applied in June 1883 for an invalid pension for 1st class Hospital Assistant Govindasamy Pillay No. 35 who was at the time sent for employment to the Pudukottah State?

2. Further how it transpired that after the said Hospital Assistant was pronounced by a medical committee to be unfit completely and permanently for further service of any kind in the Medl. Deptt. he was retained by the State in the same appointment as that held by him at the time of being invalided?

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
(Sd.)
Surgeon & Offg.
District Medical & Sanitary Officer.

Service book of 1st class Hospl. Assts. &c.
Ordinary application for
Invalid Medical Certificate of
Letter No. 338 dated 2nd Dec. 85 from
Communication No. 2 & 602 dated 12th Dec. 85 from

Favor of acknowledgment of receipt of enclosures is requested.
By Order
Surgeon & Offg.

Jacobinerhof.
31. Dezember 1895.

Herrn
J. Abr. Oldenbeuving
Banquier & Hofpapierhandler
Kaiserliche Russische Hofrath
in Berlin

Für Rechnung und Gefahr Ihres Herrn Auftraggebers
Jacobinerhof
sind Ihnen heute per Saldo unserer Rechnung
gutgeschrieben Rbl. 2000 — Zweitausend —
welche Summe wir Ihnen auf Ihre Tratte
auf Rbl. 2000 — Zweitausend — sub
Avis vom 28. Dezember 1895
Jacobinerhof
Jacobinerhof