Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 185

Dated 16th Mar: 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Informing Yeswanta Rao Ingle Rao Sahib, a descendant of the Tanjore family, that Government cannot help him with a money grant towards marrying his two daughters.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1454/98							

[G./9]
4,000—-96.

Poll. No. 620 of 28/9/76 S.C.
" No. 289 of 24/7/62 " "
" No. 785 of 5/12/59 " "

Current No.

DEPARTMENT.

123-4
5-3-96

From

V.Ry. Yeswanta Rao
Inglay Rao Sahib
Tanjore

No.

Dated 20
Received 22
Registered 24 } 2-96
Enclosures 2

SUBJECT.

Forwd. copies of correspondence re allowance for the marriage of the petr's daughter & requd. that the prayer refd. to therein be favourably considered

Ref

There were, I think, been various petitions & memorials from this Tanjore famy. or other subjects. Put them up please. (2) Are there no cases on record in which the legitimate sons of the Tanjore Raja have applied for marriage allowances?

3. Two copies of the 1867 G.O. are not required but the other two loose G.O.s should be added to the "tagged" file.

The spare copy of the G.O. removed. H.

S has, I think, a bound file and may be able to help as regards points (1) & (2). In any case they must be carefully looked up & reported upon.

C.S.
2.3.96

Note

The late Raja had only one legitimate issue, that is the Princess referred to in para 22 of G.O 705 of 1869.

A somewhat similar application was made in 1860, but the Govt. rejected it as wholly inadmissible vide G.O 4918, 18/8/60 in file. Such cases can be traced in R.C. Index.

3/3/96

No papers can be traced in the Current indexes

Tanjore Palace
7th September 1895

From
V. Ryf. Yeswanta Raw
Inglay Row Saheb, son of the late
Maharajah Sivajee's Nephew.
Tanjore

To
E. C. Rawson Esqre.
Acting Government Agent
Tanjore.

Sir,

I beg to state that I have two daughters and that, both of them having attained their marriageable age, I have to arrange for their nuptials as early as possible, for, among us the non-performance of a daughter's marriage opportunely brings on public censure. But my present circumstances, the straightened nature of which it does not become me in this connection to make a mention of, do not allow me to carry out the said marriages. Nor have I any other means towards accomplishing the same. Pray this fact may be inquired into.

2nd. I therefore humbly beg of you to intercede in my behalf and recommend that I deserve to be helped in the matter by the paternal Government who had the kindness to say that we should be treated with liberality and considerations in the generosity to make a permanent grant of money for the marriage of the illegitimate children of the late Rajah, in their Despatch of the 18th August 1860, and who had the liberality to sanction a sum of Rs 35,000 for the marriage of the late Rajah's daughter, in their Communication of the 19th February 1862.

3. I beg to be permitted to say that precedents are not be required in matters of charity, my request being for a favor which the British Government should be graciously pleased to grant in consideration of my past and present position in life because, fortunately for us, they have succeeded our Rajah who had been giving us all that we needed and because they have, as ultimus haeres, promised to provide for us in their Order No. 705 of the 5th December 1859.

In hopes to be patronised,

I beg leave to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

(Signed) V. Yeswanta Row Inglay Saheb

(True copy)

20th February 1896

Enc. Nil

No. 128.

Sirkele's office, Tanjore.
Despatched 8th November 1895.

From

K. Govinda Row
Sirkele, Government Agency
Tanjore.

To

V. Ry. Yeswanta Row
Inglay Rao Saheb
Palace, Tanjore.

Sir,

With reference to your petition to the Government Agent, dated 7th September 1895, I have the honor to inform you under his instructions that there being no precedent for sanctioning marriage allowances to persons not belonging to the Mangalvilas establishment, and that in the absence of any such precedent, he is unable to recommend your case to Government.

G.O. 408 of 5-10-74

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

(Signed) K. Govinda Row
Sirkele.

(True copy)

th Feb 1896

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 FEB. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.
Fort Saint George.

The humble petition of
V. Ry. Yeswanta Raw Inglay
Rao Saheb, son of the late
Maharajah Sivajee's Nephew
Tanjore.

1. Petitioner's letter to the Government Agent, dated 7th September 1895.
2. Reply from the Sirkele Government Agency, dated 8th November 1895, No. 128.

Humbly Sheweth,

That your petitioner begs respectfully to forward copies of correspondence, as per margin, in connection with the marriages of his daughters, for your honor's kind perusal and humbly requests that the prayers referred to in his communication to the Government Agent be liberally and favorably considered.

2. That your petitioner begs leave to submit that his grand-mother, M. Sackwar Boyi Annee Raje Saheb, the late Rajah's sister, his aunt, H. H. M. Kamatchiamba Boyi Saheb, the late Senior Ranee, his father, Pratapasuroo Mane Rao Inglay Saheb, the late Rajah's nephew, and his father's niece's husband, Rajah Suckharam Saheb, the late Rajah's son-in-law, who had upon themselves the responsibility of providing your petitioner's family with the necessaries and luxuries of life and who bore all expenses incurred by your [illegible] for marriages, childbirth,

of a few years, and the monthly income of your petitioner's family, which a couple of years before amounted to nearly Rs 900, having now been reduced to Rs 65, almost 14 times less than the former sum, and your petitioner having consequently been obliged to be involved in debts, he submits that no other alternative is left to him than to humbly beg of the representatives of the benign British Government who have at heart the comfort and contentment of the relations of the fallen Royal Dynasties to kindly assist him in carrying out the marriages of two of his daughters duly and in good season.

3. That your petitioner begs to add that other details in respect of the above matter having been mentioned in his accompanying letter to the Government Agent he thinks it does not become him to repeat the same in this and he further states that the Government Agent not having dealt with your petitioner's letter in the manner it deserved, he humbly hopes that your honor would do him justice by representing the circumstances of his case in such a way as to move the sympathy of His Excellency the Governor and his colleagues on his behalf and thereby enable your petitioner to gain the desired object.

For which act of kindness your petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

80. 332/22-5-94

Vide page 1 of 80. 123-4/5-3-90

Vide no. 7 page 9 of 80 No 279 d May 1860 [illegible] pension 3380 a year [illegible]

80. 138/21.4.64

Political

No. 272

The petitioner (Yeswanta Rao Ingle Rao Sahib) is by his own statement a son of the late Pratapa Rudra Imane Rao Ingle Sahib. The latter was a son of M. Sakkawar Bai 7 Ammani Sahib sister of the late Raja Sivaji. The petitioner who is entirely blind (vide para 3 of Mr Winterbotham's letter on page 1 of 80. 582/2.9.95) received on his father's death one-half of the moiety of ~~his~~ the latter's heritable pension of Rs 260 i.e. he received Rs 65 per mensem.

Yeswanta Rao Ingle Rao Sahib now prays that he may be helped by a money grant toward marrying his two daughters.

[illegible] e Secretary of State sanctioned in 1864 the ... nt to each of the 15 illegit... rate children of Raja Sivaj... sum of Rs 3000 for their

llowance of Rs 1000 in the
se of each of the children
f these *illegitimate* offspring
f the late Raja. The Raja's
nly surviving daughter (Sakkaram
alib's wife ...) was also
ranted a marriage allowance
Rs 35,000

The petitioner is not a
irect descendant of the late
aja and no precedent is
ceable for acceding to his
request. If a grant is to be
ade, it should be on purely
compassionate grounds as he is
not only blind but also in
very reduced circumstances
(vide para 6 of Mr. Winterbotham's
letter read above).

Besides a compliance
with the petitioner's request will
serve as a bad precedent.
And perhaps the sanction of the
Govt. of India & also of the Secy. of
State is necessary to make
such further provision for
members of the Tanjore family
~~the existing arrangements ... b~~
pray.

Tanjore Pensioner

vide para 21(7) of G.O. 3 letter in 80 193/17-5-

H.E.

w
14 Mar

has already informed the petr
that there are no precedents
for sanctioning marriage allowan
to persons not belonging to the
late Raja's seraglio establishme
& that therefore he is unable
to recommend his case to Gov
A reference to Govt. Agent is in the circumsta
it is submitted, unnecessary

It is sub.d that the request is
unnecessary. It would start a very
bad precedent and be a reversal
of [illegible]

H.E. / I don't think that the request
can be accepted

ORDER No. 185 d/ 16 March
The Govt. regrets that
it cannot comply with petitioner's
request

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,							
CURRENT No.							
ENCLOSURES TO C. No							
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only