Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 171

Dated 9th Mar: 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Endorsing para. 5 (10) of Petition Rules C on----from Kuppana Chary complaining of the injustice of the Pudukkottai Chief Court.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To.

His Excellency the Right Honorable
The Governer of Madras
Fort Saint George

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
Madras
7 FEB 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Most Respected Sir

That your Petitioner begs to state that one Annamalay yasarry of Poollamputty Bet wrongfully Confined him the said village and also prepared a false complained against him at arroombalam Police Station Puducottai as having stolen plough bull. The Police after due Enquiry found the case to be false and sent in a Refer Chargesheet to the Taluque Magistrate of Tirumayam who ordered the case to be struck off.

That thereupon your Petitioner put in a Complaint to the chief magistrate for prosecuting him for false Complaint it was not accompanied by the Sanction of the Taluque magistrate and he there upon applied to the Taluque magistrate for Sanction but he refused to give Sanction & there uppon he petitioned to the chief Court in criminal revision Case No 69 of 1895 December to set a side the order Taluque & chief magistrate order but the chief Court have dismissed his petition on the ground that it was barred by lapse of time.

That he begs to state that ever since the case against him was order to be struck off by the Tabuque magistrate he did not slap over his time but he was continuelly trying to seek redress in the court bellow

But on his failure to get any Immediately in oked the assistance of the chief court but to his surprized and disappointment he has been told that his petition is barred by time and has therefore been dismissed

That he begs to state that order of the chief court is bad in law for the following reasence

1 It is against the rulings have the several high court in brittish in India to the effect that no sanction is necessary in case in which complained is first made to the police

2. It is the rulings the chief court itself to the same effect made during the times of late chief Judge Soondramees which is still in force and have not been cancelled in any subsequent rulings

3 That no time is prescribe by law for putting in revision's petition that your petitioner's time was fully accupied in optaining redress in the lower courts & that he did not after the laps of on annual time put in a revision petion in the chief court

That he therefore most respectfully begs to state that your poor petitioner could not get sample justice for the wrongs he has suffered in the highest court of Puducottah which is subbordinate to his magistrate government

And he therefore most begs to pray that your honor will graciously be pleased be pleised to consider your poor petitioner's case & to direct the athorities of Puducottah to gave him proper justice

For this act of charity your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray

23-2-96

From

Kuppanachary.

C.S.
/mn

ORDER No. 171 d/9 March 96

Para V (10) of C. Petition

rules C.S./5.3.96 8/3 7-3-6

Petitioner (9)
R 10/3.

Dated 23 }
Recd 27 } 2-96
Regd 29 }

Ref 2/3.

Subject

Complaining against the procedure of the Pudukottai Chief Court in dismissing a pet. of his and reqg. Govt. to direct the Pudukkottai Authorities to render the pet. justice

WITH ORDER

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.