Political (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 170

Dated 9th Mar: 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

CARNATIC STIPENDIARY.

Ordering that the stipends granted to two of the shadi children of the late ----Muhammad Amin-ud-din Husain be treated as conti nuable for one more life.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Rec'd 269/98							
1410/98							
1081/99							
G.O.	338	Pol.	1.6.98				

Political (1896) Department

From

The Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends
Chepauk

To,

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George.

Dated 15th February 1896.
Recd 17th

No: 74 of 1896
one enclosure.

Forwarding a petition from the Shadi children of the late Amin-ud-din Hussain Sahib praying that their present stipends may be continued for one more life, for favorable Consideration and orders of Government.

Ref
Put up a "Commutation" file and note on the annexed duplicate orders in that file
Commutation file put up
the duplicate copies removed

49: 21-1-96
15: 14-1-96.
223: 9-4-95.
364: 31-5-94
150: 6-3-94
677: 13-11-91
620: 19-10-91
439: 12-9-90
778: 29-11-88
614: 21-6-86.
359: 22-5-85.

By
Put up original of Pol. G.O. dated 6th March 1886 and connected papers

orig'l of G.O. 884/30.12.93 put up

Memo

The attention of the Paymr. Carnatic Stipends will be drawn to para 2. of G.O. No. 250. Pol. of 21st April 1860 where it was laid down that the stipends granted to the family of Haidar Hussain were abolished on life grants, and he is requested to report

No: 74 of 1896.

Paymaster Carnatic Stipendo
office Chepak 15th February 1896.

From

Colonel H. S. Stewart I. S. C.
Paymaster Carnatic Stipendo
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to state in accordance with the instructions contained in para 2 of G.O. No: 15 dated 14th January 1896 "Political", I informed each of the members of the late Mohammad Amin-ud-din Husaini Sahibs family (who do not receive bounces) that the stipendo now granted to them would lapse on their respective deaths, upon which four of the members in the "Shadi" line viz: 3 sons and a daughter, have submitted a petition requesting me to forward the same for the favorable consideration of government.

2. I beg respectfully to state that as their case is based upon precedents in their own family and as their request seems to me to be a legitimate one I have the honor to forward the same for the consideration and orders of government. The other members have not petitioned for a similar

indulgence

indulgence, and even if they do, I beg to submit they cannot in my opinion claim the same privilege being only "nikai" children and drawing small pittances whereas the petitioners, (being "Shadi" children of the deceased) are 3rd holders of the Stipend from the original grantee, and draw stipends of Rs 31-14-0 and Rs 15-15-0 respectively -

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant.

H.L. Tidswell Colonel
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepauk.

Kadir Husain, Ahmed Husain,
Mustafa Ali & Karpem Nisa Begam

4 Mar

It seems only fair to put the petrs on the same footing as others similarly stated. But both parties are lucky.

To
The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends
" A.G.

Poll: dept:

No. 341

ORDER. Rs. 14 0 d/9 March 96

The stipends granted to the marginally noted shadi children of the late Muhammad Amin-ud-din Husain in G.O No. 1f Poll, d/ 14-1-96 will be treated as continuable for one more life and para: 3 of that order is modified accordingly.

CS. 27.2.96

NOTE

Para 3 of G.O. No. 1f Poll, d/ 14-1-96, was based upon a mis-apprehension though at first sight it appears to be correct.

2. The original grantee of the stipend was Muhammad Husain Khan Bahadur, otherwise known as Dilawar Jung, one of the nikahi sons of Nawab Walajah who was granted a pension of Rs. 835-8-4 per mensem under Lord Clive's minute of 1801. He died in May 1825 and Rs.771 of his stipend was continued to his family. Among the latter, the two elder shadi sons, Haidar Husain Khan and Muhammad Amin-ud-din Husain,

received R.300 each and a nikahi son Taqi Ali received R.60 [E M.O. No.23 d/ 16-1-84, slip A.] All the pensions then granted were declared to be life grants Accordingly when the elder shadi son Haidar Husain Khan, died in 1860, the Govt. Agent, Chepauk, declined to recommend any continuation of his stipend. The Govt. however considered that under the orders of the Court of Directors of 1846 and 1870 a portion of Haidar Husain's allowance should be continued- [G.O. No. 191 Poll, d/ 3-3-60.] In G.O. No. 370 Poll, d/ 21-4-60, R.200 of the stipend was continued to the family of Haidar Husain. In para: 3 of that order the Govt. decided once again that the stipends should absolutely lapse on the death of their respective holders. Taqi Ali, the nikahi son of Dilawar Jang, died in March 1870 and in his case nearly the whole of his stipend was continued to his family- G.O. No.346 Poll, d/ 3-5-70. Sardar Husain, a grandson of Dilawar Jang, who was granted a stipend in the division of 1854, died in 1870 and a moiety of his pension was continued to his only son.- G.O. No.581 Poll, d/ 2-12-70. In Col: Baynes' 1880 list, the stipends of all grand children of Dilawar Jang were returned as continuable page 13 of G.O No. 317, d/ 28-5-81. Ghausi Begam a daughter of Haidar Husain to whom a portion of his pension was continued in 1860, died in May 1880 and on the authority of Col: Bayne's list, her pension was continued. Similarly when Muhammadi Begam, another daughter of Haidar Husain, who received a pension in 1860, died in 1890, a portion of her stipend was continued- G.O. No. 430 Poll, d/ 13-9-90.

3. The petitioners are the shadi children of Muhammad Amin-ud-din Husain ~~Bahadur~~ ing and in view of the treatment accorded to the other members of the Dilawar Jang's same family, their pensions should be treated as continuable. The distinct orders of 1854 and 1860 are apparently over-ridden by Col: Baynes' list of '80. In any case it will be hard on the petitioners to go behind the 1880 list. It is doubtful however whether the point was not overlooked by Col: Baynes.

CS 28/1446

D I L A W A R J A N G ' S [illegible]

(F O R A P P R O V A L)

H. E. The Governor

given for copying
copied
examined
signed
} 189

MAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

No.

ES TO C, No

GOVERNMENT .. . .. A A A A A A A

GOVERNMENT.

E WITH DITTO.

E FILED UP, BEING MRANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

\ = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.