# Political (1896) Department.

①

ORDINARY MS.

**G.O., No. 119**

**Dated 17th Feb: 1896**

INDEXED.

㉘

[illegible]-272

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

CARNATIC STIPENDIARY.

Refusing to re-open the question of the distribution of the stipend of the late Azum-un-nisa Begam Sahiba which was settled after very careful enquiry in 1888.

## BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | De |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Dep |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்: [illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் : 06/08 10/08

| சரிபார்க்கும்<br>முதல் முறைபாள<br>கையொப்பம் | சரிபார்க்கும்<br>2ம் முறைபாள<br>கையொப்பம் | [illegible]<br>உதவியாளர்<br>கையொப்பம் |
|---|---|---|
| 4/11/08 | 14/11/08 | 11.58 |

---

CURRENT No. 145

Poll. Ex 432 d/29/6/88
Petition Ext. 1447 of 1866.

Poll (1896) DEPARTMENT.

2/119

From

Military
THE ~~PRIVATE~~ SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
30 JAN. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 28 } January 1896.
Received 30 }

Ry 31

ENCLOSURE 3

*Subject.*

TRANSFERS a petition from Khoorsheed oon Nisa Begum Sahiba praying that Government may be pleased to sanction the full share of her stipend.

R.O. pr papers
31/1

5/2/96

Petition from Nawab Khur-reedoon Nisa Begum Sahiba.

DATED———————189 .

---

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

Douglas Compton Capt

for Mily ~~Private~~ Secretary.

29/1

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Guindy
29 January 1896.

---

3/119

*To*

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE
**LORD WENLOCK** G. C. S. I & G. C. I. E.
GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
*FORT ST. GEORGE.*
*&ca. &ca. &ca,*

My Lord.

With due deference I beg to lay my case before your Excellency in Council with the sanguine hope that in the light of the facts mentioned in the subsequent paras a just and more favourable view will be taken of my case and that I will be granted my due of which I have been kept out since 1888.

2. My mother Janab Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum Saheba was the second wife of His Highness the last Nawab of the Carnatic and was in receipt of a Joint stipend of Rs. 4000 per mensem expressly granted by His Highness for the support and maintenance of my mother and myself. This fact is fully borne out by His Highness' order dated 30th. Zeekhada 1269 Hijiree corresponding with 5th. September 1853 and recorded in G. O. No. 230 Pol: dated 15th September 1865 an English translation of the former and a copy of the latter are herewith attached as appendices marked A & B.

3. It will be seen from the Nawabs' order referred to in para 2 that the allowance was distinctly said to be granted for the Exclusive maintenance of Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum and *her daughter* (meaning myself) and as I was her only daughter I am a co-sharer in her stipend. In all such cases when according to the Hukumnamas or orders of the Nawab and Government an allowance was conditionally sanctioned for two persons together the co-sharers were each granted a moiety of it by Government, vide cases cited in the margin. It is therefore submitted that the same indulgence may be extended by the just Government in my case.

1. Khader Azeem Khan.
2. Azeezoon Nisa Begum.
G. O. Pol. No. 562 dated 24th Nov. 1879.
Do. No. 426 dated 29th Augt. 1879.
Do. No. 527 dated 19th Octr. 1889.
Do. No. 237 dated 6th May 1890.

4. When my mother died in February 1888 a moiety of her allowance should have been continued to me under the Nawab's order quoted above but a new departure was made in the case and an unprecedented distribution ordered in

G. O. No. 432 Pol: dated the 29th June 1888 according to which the grandsons and grand daughter of the deceased &ca., were granted 1041 Rupees and I was only given Rs. 884 not only for my own support but also for the maintenance of the other dependants of my late mother.

5. Your Excellency in Council will observe that if the Government of the day thought it necessary on the recommendation of the then Paymaster to sanction an allowance to grand-children &ca. such allowances should have been granted from the lapsed stipend of my mother as was done in the case of Azeez-un-Nisa Begums' dependants but not from my own personal share while I am still alive. By this distribution I had been a loser by all means. Vide the last G. O. quoted above.

6. I therefore respectfully pray that your Excellency in council will be mercifully moved to take my case into favorable consideration and sanction the grant to me of my full share of the stipend so that I may pass the remainder of my days in a manner suitable to my rank and position, and support my numerous dependants comfortably.

For which I shall feel ever thankful for your Lordship's kindness.

I beg to remain
Your Lordship's most obedient servant

Seal of

Nawab Khursheed-oon-Nisa Begum Sahebah.

Shadee Mahul,
Madras, February 1896.

---

# A

To

## MADAROOL OOMRAH BAHADUR,

*Madarool Muham of the Durbar.*

Respected Sir,

By order of His Highness I beg to inform you that in reference to the permission asked for by you to carry into effect the reduction and dismissals proposed by Auzumoon Nisa Begum Saheba in respect to the dependants and servants &ca. connected with her Davady, His Highness directs that the Begum Saheba be perfectly at liberty regarding the expenditure of her stipend of Rs. 4000. Your honor therefore in future considering the said stipend as solely "Madud Kurch" (means of maintenance) of the said Begum Saheba and *her daughter* will act in accordance with the wishes and orders of the said Begum Saheba and not in any way ask the order or permission from His Highness regarding the continuance or dismissal of any dependants and servants &ca. connected with the Davady of the said Begum Saheba and also regarding reduction of their salaries &ca.

(Sd.) MOORTOOZA,
Monsohee-i-Khas.
(Private Secretary)

The Kutchery of the
Moonshee-i-Khas,
30th Zeekahda 1269 Hijiri
Corresponding with 5th Sept. 1853.

(TRUE COPY.)

---

# B

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT,
## POLITICAL DEPARTMENT

15th September 1865.

Read the following papers:—

No. 17.—Abstract of Petition from Abdool Cader, and six others, of Madras dated 7th March 1865.

State that they are the servants of His late Highnes the Nawab and serving undur Auzum-oon-Nissa Begum, by his order, since her Nickah ceremony was celebrated, and that as their pay has not regularly been paid to them by her and her son-in-law, they presented petitions to the Government Agent, who inquired into their case by different persons and stopped her stipend for sometime. Pray for an order to the above officer to inquire into their case again through one Soorij Lawl, the Dufterdar of Meer Samani Hoozoor Department.

No. 604 of 1865.

Referred to the Govt. Agent at Chepauk, for inquiry.

(By order)

(Sd.) C. G. WALKER,
Under Secretary to Govt.

No. 18.—Abstract of Petition from Zafer Ally, coachman and other servants of Auzum oon - Nissa Begum Sahiba dated Madras, 31st May 1865.

State that they, having been discharged from the service of Auzum-oon-Nissa Begum Sahiba, presented petition to tne Govt. Agent for settlement of their claims, who paid no attention to them; that thereupon they presented another petition to Govt. which has been sent to the Agents' office for inquiry, but that no inquiry has been made as yet. Pray that a report may be called for soon, and that justice be speedily granted them.

No. 1288 of 1865.

Forwarded to the Govt. Agent at Chepauk, with reference to order of Government passed on the petition No. 604 of 1865, presented on the same subject.

The Agent is directed to furnish the report therein called for, without further delay.

(By order)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
17th June 1865.

(Sd.) F. BRANDT,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

No. 236.

No. 19.—Report called for by Govt. Endorsement dated 6th April 1865, No 604, and again by Govt. Endorsement, dated 17th June 1865, No. 1288, on Petitions of Abdul Cawder and others.

Petitioners (nine in number) were servants of the sirkar. but were transferred in the late Nawab's life time, by His Highness' order, to the Establishment of His Highness' Nicka wife, Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum-By this transfer they ceased to be sirkar servants, and became private servants of the Begum; for His Highness placed that lady's Establishment entirely under her own orders, with full powers to discharge. or decrease the pay of, any of her servants. A copy of His Highness' order to that effect is annexed.

2. under the foregoing circumstances, petitioners' claim is untenable. They are not sirkar servants, but private servants of the Begum, who may discharge them at her pleasure.

Govt. Agnet's office
Chepauk. 17th August 1865.

(Sd.) R. S. WILSON
Government Agent, Chepauk.

---

To
*TO THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,*
*FORT ST. GEORGE.*

COPY.

From Moortooza, Moonshee-a-Khas, To Madar-ool-oomrab Bahadur Madar-ool-Moham of Durbar; dated the Cutcherry of the Moonshee-a-Khas, 30th Zekatha 1269.

By order of His Highness, I beg to inform you that in reference to the permission asked for by you to carry into effect the reductions and dismissals proposed by Auzum-oon-Nissa Begum Sahiba, in respect to the dependants and servants, &ca., connected with her Davady, His Highness directs that the Begum Sahiba be perfectly at liberty regarding the expenditure of her stipend of Rs. 4000 Your honor therefore in future considering the said stipend as sole Modud Kurch (means of maintenance) of the said Begum Sahiba and her daughter, will act in accordance with the wishes and orders of the said Begum Saheba and not in any way ask the order or permission from His Highness regarding the continuance or dismissals of any dependants, Servants, &ca., connected with the Davady of the said Begum Sahiba, and also regarding reduction of their salaries, &ca'

(TRUE COPY.)

(Sd.) MADAR-OOL-OOMRAH,

(TRUE COPY.)

(Sd.) R. S. WILSON,
Government Agent, Chepauk,

28th October 1864.

No. 20.

ORDER THEREON, 15th. SEPTEMBER 1865, No. 230.

It appears that all the materials necessary for this report were in the Agent's possession when he received the order calling for report, upwards of four months ago. The delay is quite without excuse. The Government have already, in their Proceedings of 1st. November 1864, intimated to Lieutenant Colonel Wilson what would be the result of continued dilatoriness in the discharge of his duties.

2. Nos. 604 and 1288 of 1865 will be endorsed:—

2. "The Government decline interference on behalf of petitioners, who are servants of Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum, and whom the lady was at libarty to discharge at her pleasure.

(True Extract)

*To.*
*THE GOVT. AGENT AT CHEPAUK.*
" " PETITION DEPARTMENT.

A.

To

Madar ool Oomrah Bahadur
Madar ool Moham of the Durbar.

Respected Sir

By order of His Highness I beg to inform you that in reference to the permission asked for by you to carry into effect the reduction and dismissals proposed by Anzum oon Nissa Begum Sahiba in respect to the dependants & servants &ca connected with her Davady, His Highness directs that the Begum Sahiba be perfectly at liberty regarding the Expenditure of her stipend of Rs 4000. Your honor therefore in future consid-ing the said stipend as solely "mad Kurch" (means of maintenance) of the said Begum Sahiba & her daug will act in accordance with the wishes and orders of the said Begu Saheba and not in any way ask a order or permission from His High regarding the continuance or dism dependants servant

the said Begum Sahiba and also a
regarding reduction of their salaries
&ca

(Sd). Moortooza

Moonshee. i. Kaus.
(Private Secretary)

The Kutchery of the
Moonshee. i. Kaus
30th Zeekada 1269 Hijree
(corresponding with 5th Septr. 1853)

---

B.

8/119

Madras. Rush Kayrum
21st. May 1866.

To

The Hon'able A. J. Arbuthnot Esqr.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to solicit attention to
my letter of the 10th August 1865 in which I
appealed to Government against the
decision of the Income Tax Commissioner
who in the year 1860 had wrongly levied
a Tax from me which they acknowledged
to be such by cancelling (on representation
by me) the assessment demanded on th
following year 1861, but who declined to
reimburse me the amount they had
so wrongfully levied and to request you
will be so good as to favor me with th
decision of Governm

9

**FROM CIRCULATION**
**WITH REMARKS.**

Form being struck off
CS

Office

Issue the order & write ref: to H.E's note with M.C./M. please get out a stock form for rule 7(3) of the D. Memorial rules to and arrange to have a slip pasted on ~~to~~ all future copies of the D. Meml. rules referring to this note of H.E's (quoting no & date of E.O. & also the stereotyped reply) — let me see proof of both please

CS 24/8

C.

10/119

Extract from the Proceedings of th
Board of Income tax Commiss
under date the 10th February 1863

"Read Petition from Khursheed Nisa Begum Saheba praying for refund of tax and a cancelmen her assessment on the ground in the Petition."

"Resolved that the assessmen cancelled for 1861-62."

True Extract.

(sd) Morrison
Secretary.

Office of the Income Tax Commrs.
Madras 23d. Febry. 1863.

(True copy.)

To

His Excellency the Right Honal
Lord Wenlock G.C.S.I. & G.C.
Governor in Council
Fort St. George.

My Lord,

With due deference I beg to lay
grievances before your Excellency in C
with the sanguine ~~hope that~~ in the
of the facts mentioned in the subs
paras a just and more charitable v
will be taken of my hard case and
will be granted my due of which
been kept out since 1888.

2. My mother Janat Azumoon
Begum Sahiba was the second wi
Highness the last Nawab of the Carn
was in receipt of a monthly allow
Rs. 4000 expressly granted by His
for the joint support and main
of my mother and myself. Th
fully borne out by His Highness
30th Zeekhada 1269 Hijre corres
with 5th September 1853 an Eng
-lation of which is herewith a
appendix marked A.

pursuance to this order I was always
Rs 2000 per mensem by my mother as
share - a statement which cannot be
proved than by the fact that in 1860
the Income Tax was first introduced
this country I was assessed for the
nt of my share of the allowance
00) but the demand was cancelled
pointing out that a deduction had
made for the whole allowance of
at the Govt. Treasury and that
are which ~~was~~ only a part of it
therefore liable to a second ass-
ent. vide copies of my letter to the
ef Secretary to Govt. and of the
ssioner's letter to my address attach-
ppendices B & C

will be seen from the Nabob's order
to in para 2 that the allowance
tinctly said to be granted for
aive maintenance of Auzum
Begum Saheb and her
and as I was her only daughter
ld I was a cosharer in her

my mother died in February
iety of her allowance should
continued to me both under

Carnatic Stipends published in
-plement to the Fort St. George Gaz
the 31st July 1855 but against the sp
and letter of both these clear orders a
departure was made in the case an
unprecedented distribution ordered
G.O. no. 432 Pol dated the 29th June 188
according to which Rahmanoon
a harem daughter of the late Naw
was at one time under my mothe
-tection was granted an increase
Rs. 266 to her original stipend of
my Eldest son the present Prince
Arcot was given Rs: 400, an all
of Rs. 150 was sanctioned to each
two other sons and Rs: 75 to my d
& I was only given Rs: 884 or a lit
than one-third of my own sha
only for my own support but
the maintenance of the other d
of my late mother.

6. Your Excellency in Council
that this distribution has been
unique inasmuch as it ha
usual to grant stipends to g
-ren of a deceased stipendia
own mother the only daugh
such was

ot only considerable monetary
ut also great humiliation the effects
hich have considerably undermined
health and broken my heart.

f the Govt. of the day thought it ne-
ary on the recommendation of the
Paymaster to sanction an allowance
persons mentioned above such
ances should have been granted
the lapsed stipend of my mother
t from my own personal share
I am still alive. By this distri-
not only was I deprived of a
l my mother's stipend but my
rsonal share of Rs: 2000 was reduced

present income is scarcely adequate
aintenance of my own position
support of the dependants of
nother; and as some of the
of my family who are under
nd protection have been blessed
ren this has increased my
ilities & Expenditure which I
ll meet from my very limi-

respectfully pray that

---

FROM CIRCULATION
WITH REMARKS. 13/119

Note for S.A. the C.S. [illegible]

Please see e No. 212, It contains the same request as e No 145, but the wording is somewhat different. The former may be read along with the latter

NS
13/2

CS
[illegible]

sanction the grant to me of my fu
share of the stipend so that I may
pass the remainder of my days
peace and comfort and always pr
for your Excellency's long life an
prosperity.–

I have &ca

Nawab Khursheed oon
Begum Sa

share of [illegible] so that I may
pass the remainder of my days
peace and comfort [illegible] always pray
for your Excellency's long life and
prosperity.

I have and

[illegible]

[ G. / 9 ]
4,000—28-1-96.

Current No. 212. 16/119

Poll DEPARTMENT.

**From**

Nawab Kursheed oon
Nissa Begum Saheba

**No.**

**Dated** 10th Feb: 1896
**Received** 10th Feb: 1896
**Registered** 11th Feb: 1896
**Enclosures** 1

## SUBJECT.

Requesting to place before
H. E. the Governor in Council
he Enclosed petition
equesting to reconsider
e. order regarding
er pension.

A

To await the return

[G./74]

17/19 2nd Asst

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF SECRETA~~RY.

Ghulam Muhammad Ghouse called on me this morning & asked that the petn. sent in by his mother, copy of which is attached, might be sent up for disposal before H.E. the Governor left, as he knew all about the facts. Could you kindly see to it?

12.2.96

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RI

[G/74.]
4,000—13-8-95.

[illegible]/119 S. A.

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF SECRETARY.~~

It is not apparent whether C.S. means this paper to be resubmitted to H.E. Perhaps C.S. has not observed that the paper has already been to H.E. the ~~C.O.~~ Governor

NB
14/2

GCS

BB

No I did not - the initial was
put in a corner, at the edge
[illegible] c H. 212 into the other
paper etc.
RD
15/2/96

HE
161

*To*

## HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE
## LORD WENLOCK G. C. S. I & G. C. I. E.
## GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
## *FORT ST. GEORGE.*

*&ca. &ca. &ca,*

My Lord.

With due deference I beg to lay my case before your Excellency in Council with the sanguine hope that in the light of the facts mentioned in the subsequent paras a just and more favourable view will be taken of my case and that I will be granted my due of which I have been kept out since 1888.

2. My mother Janab Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum Saheba was the second wife of His Highness the last Nawab of the Carnatic and was in receipt of a monthly ~~Joint~~ stipend of Rs. 4000 [per mensem] expressly granted by His Highness for the joint support and maintenance of my mother and myself. This fact is fully borne out by His Highness' order dated 30th. Zeekhada 1269 Hijiree corresponding with 5th. September 1853 [and recorded in G. O. No. 230 Pol: dated 15th September 1865] an English translation of which ~~the former~~ [and a copy of the latter] are herewith attached as appendices marked A [& B.]

Para 2 of Mrs petition omitted

3. It will be seen from the Nawabs' order referred to in para 2 that the allowance was distinctly said to be granted for the Exclusive maintenance of Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum and *her daughter* [(meaning myself)] and as I was her only daughter I am a co-sharer in her stipend. [In all such cases when according to the Hukumnamas or orders of the Nawab and Government an allowance was conditionally sanctioned for two persons together the co-sharers were each granted a moiety of it by Government_vide cases cited in the margin. It is therefore submitted that the same indulgence may be extended by the just Government in my case.]

1. Khader Azeem Khan.
2, Azeezoon Nisa Begum.
G. O. Pol. No. 562 dated 24th Nov. 1879.
Do. No. 426 dated 29th Augt. 1879.
Do. No. 527 dated 19th Octr. 1889.
Do. No. 237 dated 6th May 1890.

4. When my mother died in February 1888 a moiety of her allowance should have been continued to me both under the Nawab's order quoted above & ~~but~~ a new departure was made in the case and an unprecedented distribution ordered in

and under
the scheme
for [illegible]
Carnatic stip
published in
Supplement
F. S. Gazette
4 7 95 [illegible]
[illegible]

(The distribution is here given in the mss. pet.

G. O. No. 432 Pol: dated the 29th June 1888 according to which the grandsons and grand daughter of the deceased &ca., were granted 1041 Rupees and I was only given Rs. 884 not only for my own support but also for the maintenance of the other dependants of my late mother.

Para 6 of mss petition omitted

5. [Your Excellency in Council will observe that] if the Government of the day thought it necessary on the recommendation of the then Paymaster to sanction an allowance to grand-children &ca. such allowances should have been granted from the lapsed stipend of my mother as was done in the case of Azeez-un-Nisa Begums' dependants but not from my own personal share while I am still alive. By this distribution I had been a loser by all means. [Vide the last G. O. quoted above.]

Para 8 of mss. petition omitted

6. I therefore respectfully pray that your Excellency in council will be mercifully moved to take my case into favorable consideration and sanction the grant to me of my full share of the stipend so that I may pass the remainder of my days [in a manner suitable to my rank and position, and support my numerous dependants comfortably.] in peace & comfort

For which I shall feel ever thankful for your Lordship's kindness.

I beg to remain

Your Lordship's most obedient servant

Nawab Khursheed-oon-Nisa Begum Sahebah.

Shadee Mahul,
Madras, 10 February 1896.

---

20/119

19

## A

To

### MADAROOL OOMRAH BAHADUR,

*Madarool Muham of the Durbar.*

Respected Sir,

By order of His Highness I beg to inform you that in reference to the permission asked for by you to carry into effect the reduction and dismissals proposed by Auzumoon Nisa Begum Saheba in respect to the dependants and servants &ca, connected with her Davady, His Highness directs that the Begum Saheba be perfectly at liberty regarding the expenditure of her stipend of Rs. 4000. Your honor therefore in future considering the said stipend as solely "Madud Kurch" (means of maintenance) of the said Begum Saheba and *her daughter* will act in accordance with the wishes and orders of the said Begum Saheba and not in any way ask the order or permission from His Highness regarding the continuance or dismissal of any dependants and servants &ca, connected with the Davady of the said Begum Saheba and also regarding reduction of their salaries &ca.

(Sd.) MOORTOOZA,
Monsohee-i-Khas.
(Private Secretary)

The Kutchery of the
Moonshee-i-Khas,
30th Zeekahda 1269 Hijiri
Corresponding with 5th Sept. 1853.

(TRUE COPY.)

---

## B

### PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT, POLITICAL DEPARTMENT

15th September 1865.

Read the following papers:—

No. 17.—Abstract of Petition from Abdool Cader, and six others, of Madras dated 7th March 1865.

State that they are the servants of His late Highnes the Nawab and serving undnr Auzum-oon-Nissa Begum, by his order, since her Nickah ceremony was celebrated, and that as their pay has not regularly been paid to them by her and her son-in-law, they presented petitions to the Government Agent, who inquired into their case by different persons and stopped her stipend for sometime. Pray for an order to the above officer to inquire into their case again through one Soorij Lawl, the Dufterdar of Meer Samani Hoozoor Department.

No. 604 of 1865.

Referred to the Govt. Agent at Chepauk, for inquiry.

( By order )
(Sd.) C. G. WALKER,
Under Secretary to Govt.

---

No. 18.—Abstract of Petition from Zafer Ally, coachman and other servants of Auzum oon - Nissa Begum Sahiba dated Madras, 31st May 1865.

State that they, having been discharged from the service of Auzum-oon-Nissa Begum Sahiba, presented petition to the Govt. Agent for settlement of their claims, who paid no attention to them; that thereupon they presented another petition to Govt. which has been sent to the Agents' office for inquiry, but that no inquiry has been made as yet. Pray that a report may be called for soon, and that justice be speedily granted them.

---

No. 1288 of 1865.

Forwarded to the Govt. Agent at Chepauk, with reference to order of Government passed on the petition No. 604 of 1865, presented on the same subject.

The Agent is directed to furnish the report therein called for, without further delay

( By order )
(Sd.) F. BRANDT,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
17th June 1865.

---

No. 236.

No. 19.—Report called for by Govt. Endorsement dated 6th April 1865, No 604, and again by Govt. Endorsement, dated 17th June 1865, No. 1288, on Petitions of Abdul Cawder and others

Petitioners (nine in number) were servants of the sirkar. but were transferred in the late Nawab's life time, by His Highness' order, to the Establishment of His Highness' Nicka wife, Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum-By this transfer they ceased to be sirkar servants, and became private servants of the Begum; for His Highness placed that lady's Establishment entirely under her own orders, with full powers to discharge. or decrease the pay of, any of her servants. A copy of His Highness' order to that effect is annexed

2. under the foregoing circumstances, petitioners' claim is untenable. They are not sirkar servants, but private servants of the Begum, who may discharge them at her pleasure.

(Sd.) R. S. WILSON
Government Agent, Chepauk.

Govt. Agnet's office
Chepauk. 17th August 1865.

---

To

*TO THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,*
*FORT ST. GEORGE.*

---

COPY.

From Moortooza, Moonshee-a-Khas, To Madar-ool-oomrah Bahadur Madar-ool-Moham of Durbar; dated the Cutcherry of the Moonshee-a-Khas, 30th Zekatha 1269.

By order of His Highness, I beg to inform you that in reference to the permission asked for by you to carry into effect the reductions and dismissals proposed by Auzum-oon-Nissa Begum Sahiba, in respect to the dependants and servants, &ca., connected with her Davady, His Highness directs that the Begum Sahiba be perfectly at liberty regarding the expenditure of her stipend of Rs. 4000 Your honor therefore in future considering the said stipend as sole Modud Kurch (means of maintenance) of the said Begum Sahiba and her daughter, will act in accordance with the wishes and orders of the said Begum Saheba and not in any way ask the order or permission from His Highness regarding the continuance or dismissals of any dependants, Servants, &ca., connected with the Davady of the said Begum Sahiba, and also regarding reduction of their salaries, &ca'

(TRUE COPY.)
(Sd.) MADAR-OOL-OOMRAH,

(TRUE COPY.)
(Sd.) R. S. WILSON,
Government Agent, Chepauk.

28th October 1864.

---

No. 20.

ORDER THEREON, 15th. SEPTEMBER 1865, No. 230.

It appears that all the materials necessary for this report were in the Agent's possession when he received the order calling for report, upwards of four months ago. The delay is quite without excuse. The Government have already, in their Proceedings of 1st. November 1864, intimated to Lieutenant Colonel Wilson what would be the result of continued dilatoriness in the discharge of his duties.

2. Nos. 604 and 1288 of 1865 will be endorsed:—

2. "The Government decline interference on behalf of petitioners, who are servants of Auzum-oon-Nisa Begum, and whom the lady was at libarty to discharge at her pleasure.

(True Extract)

*To.*

*THE GOVT. AGENT AT CHEPAUK.*
" " PETITION DEPARTMENT.

*(True copy).*

Nawab Khursheed [illegible] Sahebah.

23/119

21

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 FEB 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

6
Pol
1
21

Shadee Mahul
Madras, 10th February 1896.

23
119

CURRENT No 212

To,

The Honorable J. F. Price C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
10 FEB 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a printed letter to the address of His Excellency the Governor in Council and request that you will be kindly pleased to lay it before His Excellency in Council with your kind aid and support.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Nawab Khursheed-oon-Nissa Begum Saheba

2[illegible]
119

Politica

28/119

80. 432/19.6.88

C No. 145.

For orders

This is a petition from
Khurshid-un-nisa Begam Sa
mother of the Prince of Arcot
praying for ~~their~~ her full share of
of the sti d drawn by her
mother the late Azam-un-
Begam. The lady was in the
receipt of a monthly pens
of Rs: 4000. and on her a
the Govt went fully into the
question of distributing a
moirty of her stipend am
her relatives and depende
and awarded to the petit
Rs. [illegible] 884 per mensam on
condition that she made
suitable provision for the
pendants (other than the Prin
est and his family) of th
d Begam.

The ground on which
Khurs'id-un-nisa Bego
salils claims a

Khurshid-un-nissa
Begam Sahiba

H.E. the Governor

rs of her family
submitted that the
tioner be informed that
~~to the~~ Govt. ~~declines~~ regret that it cannot reopen
uestion which was ~~been~~
considered in 1888
the Prince of Arcot has
had a moiety of his allowance of
which he got out of
-un-nissa Begum's pension
e continuable

No. 119 d/17 Feb 96 W
12 Feb

The Govt: regrets that it
reopen the question of the
ution of the stipend of the late Azam-un-
Begam Sahiba which was settled after
careful enquiry in 1888

of despd 21/2/96 D
11/2/96

This case was very fully consider
by the Govt in G.O. No.
dated 29th June 1888 and
there can be no doubt that
the decision then arrived at.

e whole matter was thoroughly thrashed
out in '88, and we cannot entertain the
idea that Govt. is to go on increasing its
pensions, because pensioners & their dependents families in
the contrary the opposite policy is the
one by wh. Govt. is guided - and in th