

91

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை _____
- (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிப் நாள் _____
- (3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Political துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்

பலவகை

G.O. No. 91

நாள் :

6-2-1895

199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 91

Dated 6th Feb. 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

CARNATIC STIPENDIARY

செப்பனிடம் பெயர் K.உ.நீ
செப்பனிடம் ஈர்தாத (12/0)
எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்ட தபால்
செப்பனிடம் 1/8
செப்பனிடம் 6/1 உ
சுரபார்க்கு
மு. நி. பா.
கையொப்பம்.

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவி
யாளர்
கையொப்பம்

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Faint handwritten notes in the bottom left corner of the left page, mostly illegible.]

[G
II]
5/10-25-9-94

ORDINARY, MS.

Pool

DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 6 Feb. 95

No. 91

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 6/2

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Rejecting letter from the
Strain praying that his late
wife's share may be con-
tinued to him, & calling on
the Paymaster, Comptroller
to report why the death of
Robert Regan was not
intimated to him.*

91/3

HEAD AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEEPATON
IN ORIGINAL (NOT PAGED)

N.H.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

206 - 329

732 495

910 78

463
14

To,

91
4

The Paymaster

Carnatic Stipends

Chepauk

Madras

Sir,

I am a grandson of Jakhi-
-id Din Khan Bahadur, a grand-
son of Nawab Muhammad Ali
Wallajah of the Carnatic.

My father and uncle both
died before our grandfather, so
whose demise, out of his stipend
of Rs. 145/- during Dr. Balfour's
tenure of the Agency at Chepauk
Rs. 70/- were continued to his wi-
-dow, while the claims of all
other heirs were not at all taken
into consideration. However on
the death of this lady the said
Dr. Balfour recommended Rs. 145/-
to be issued to 7 heirs leaving
5 of them off. I am one of the
latter set - all the five being
the grandchildren of the
Khan Bahadur.

* Copy of reply
enclosed

2/ Chepauk praying for a continuation from the lapsed stipend of Rs. 9/- per mensem of his said deceased wife to him, for reasons quoted therein. The Paymaster replied that as the name of his (memorialists) wife has not been returned as a pensioner whose stipend is continuable under Appendix "E" to G. O. No. 359, dated 22^d May 1885, "Political", he was unable to recommend continuation, as prayed for.

It has already been pointed out to the Paymaster that stipends enjoyed by those who have a far more inferior claim upon the Government than the deceased. Habitants

In 1870 we petitioned the Government and our memorial was referred to the then Govt. Ag. Col. Wilson for report. Col. Wilson taking into consideration the fact that myself and my (Abdul Khader) were the shadi sons of a nickahi son, and my cousins Jaffer Husain and Karim ello hind Din the nickahi sons of a shadi son, of the said Takhind Din Khan Bahadur, recommended uniformal continuations to all of us. The Government believing that we were of the batch of heirs to whom continuations were already granted according to Dr. Balfour's report, and that we craved for a further increment refused to entertain and sanction Col. Wilson's proposal. Sometime afterwards in accordance with another report from Col. Wilson, on a petition, in

3

in 1871, from my brother Abdul Khader, continuations were sanctioned to us all, viz: Rs. 9-0-0 each to my said cousins and Rs. 3-0-0 each to myself and my brother. No reason, however, was given by that officer of the apparent disparity of the amounts thus continued, respectively, to each of us. I firmly believe that Col. Wilson was kept in thorough ignorance of the fact that a year back he recommended uniformal continuations to the very same individuals. At all events when I presented another petition bearing on this subject in person, he told me so point blank. Since then I appealed again and again to Government but in vain.

II In the year 1879 I married Rabiak Begum, a Parmatic Stipendiary No 419 B, of Madras, who drew a stipend of

Rs: 9/- per mensem. She died on the
22^d June 1894 leaving no issue.

I, therefore, now, most humbly and
respectfully beg you to be pleased
to recommend a moiety of her sti-
pend to be continued to me who
am now in a very advanced state
of old age and infirmity. I am
68 years old and have lost the
perfect use of my eye-sight. So
long as my wife lived we were both
living miserably enough on the
united stipends we respectively
drew. And since her death the
misery and destitution, spoken of,
have ~~more~~ increased to more than
ten fold and I cannot bear their
weight as I have very scarcely a
way of obtaining my livelihood,
more specially so on account of
my very old age and disability
of organs and limbs. I quote
herein some of the examples, which
shall convince any logical, just,
and unbiassed mind that my
claim falls within the province
of clemency shown to so many.

6

91
8

(vii) Saifullah Shah

(viii) The whole family of Itibarud Dowlah Bahadur continuations granted to husbands from wives' pensions.

(ix) In Anwarullah Khan's family a continuation was sanctioned to the husband of a lady who herself was the 5th in grant.

I quote below two instances in which continuations were sanctioned from the stipends of ^{wives to} husbands even of the servants and dependents of Nawab Wallajah family:—

(1) Habib Sahib

(2) Wahid Sahib.

I cite also a list of persons who, notwithstanding their already being in receipt of good round stipends, were granted further stipends from the pensions of their respective wives (deceased).

5

that the indulgence and grace I now seek are not altogether unprecedented and inadmissible.

Instances of husbands being granted stipends out of their wives pensions are as follows:—

(i) Latifun Nisa Begum a daughter of Wallajah died issueless. Rs. 100/- out of her stipend were continued to her husband Abdul Latif Khan.

(ii) Ghaus Ahmad Khan the husband of Sirajun Nisa Begum a daughter of Wallajah was granted a stipend of Rs. 100/- along with her son Abdul Vali Khan who was granted a similar sum.

(iii) Bakht Husain of the Dilawar Jung family got a stipend from the lapsed pension of his deceased wife.

(iv) Khairullah Khan

(v) Rafatul Mulk.

(vi) Khurshid Ahmad Khan.

1

These are:-

91
9

(a) Rafat ul Mulk although in receipt of a personal stipend of Rs. 175/- was further granted Rs. 100/- from his wife's pension -

(b) Abdullah Meyan

(c) Bakher Husain, although in receipt of Rs. 50/- was further granted continuation from his wife's stipend.

Besides the foregoing examples there are many others which can be verified by a reference to the records of your office.

III. I beg to mention with the greatest regret that in the list of pensioners, shown as continuable or otherwise, prepared by Col. Baynes, my wife's name has been given as a pensioner whose stipend is non-continuable. With due deference to that Officer

8

91
10

and the Government, I beg to state that the said list has not been based on a uniform and an unbraided origin. In certain cases Col. Baynes has recommended continuations to 3^d, 4th and 5th generations, and in others only to the 2^d and 3^d.

The list is thoroughly incorrect except in some instances here and there. I further add that it has been framed not in accordance to the gist of any sanctioned, prevalent and established rules. It has very recently undergone rectification at the hands of your predecessor Col. Stewart, who, also, I am sorry to say, has not put my wife's pension as continuous, although that of one Mahomed Ali the grandson of Ghulam Moortooza Khan

9

91
11

actually a fourth in grant, is chosen
as continuable to another genera-
-tion, which shall be the fifth
one.

My wife is the 3^d in grant
taking the original grantee as
the first, and as such, accor-
-ding to the tenor of the very
list above spoken, is entitled
to have her stipend continued
as is done in so many cases.
Perhaps both Colo. Baynes and
Stewart may have been of the
same opinion that, as she was
issueless, it was superfluous
to mention her pension as conti-
-nuable. But I respectfully sub-
-mit that her right cannot on
such suppositions be deemed
as null and void. Whatever
the general rules are, they
govern alike one and all of the
cases that fall under them, and
therefore it would not be deemed

No 618 of 1894.

Paymaster Carnatic
Stipends Office,
Chepauk, 4th De-
cember 1894.

91/12

Petitioner is informed with
reference to his petition dated 16th
November 1894, that the Paymas-
ter Carnatic Stipends regrets his
inability to recommend any con-
tinuation from the lapsed Stipend
of his late wife Carnatic Stipends
-ary No 419 B. Rabiak Begum, as
the stipend of Rs 9/- per mensem
which she was in receipt of is
not returned continuable in Ap-
pendix "E" to G. O. No 359 dated
22nd May 1885, "Political".

Ld. H. L. Stewart, Col.
Paymaster Carnatic St.
-pends, Chepauk.

To,

Carnatic Stipendiary No. 411^{1/2} C
Hyder Hussain Sahib

A true copy



Hyder Hussain Sahib

impartial and just to grant certain pri-
-vileges under the said rules to those
who are inferior in claim, which
said privileges are denied to those
of a Superior claim.

IV. Under the foregoing circum-
-stances I beg that you, taking my
disabled state into consideration,
will kindly recommend my extra-
ordinary case to the benign notice
of the Government for a continuation
from the lapsed stipend of my
wife (deceased)

For which act of kindness I
shall ever as in duty bound pray
for your honor's health, wealth,
long life and prosperity.

No. 9 Venkatachella Mudaly } I beg to remain
Street, Triplicane, Madras }
16th November 1894 }
Your most obedient & humble
Servant
Sd/- and sealed by
Husain, Carnatic St.
pendary No. 411 1/2

/A true copy/



Signed and sealed by Husain

25
Yo,

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Lord Wellock, K. C. J. E.,
Governor in Council
Fort Saint George

91/13

CS
The humble memorial
of Syder Husain, a
Carnatic Stipendiary
No. 411½, a great
grandson of Nawab
Wallajah of Carnatic.

Most humbly sheweth.

The memorialist, on the
death of his wife (Rabiah Be-
gun, a Carnatic Stipendiary
No. 419 B, whom he married
at a dowry of Rs. 500/-) sub-
mitted a petition to the Pay-
master Carnatic Stipends at

Copy enclosed }

4

91/15

appeal to your Excellency
in Council. A thorough per-
usal of memorialists peti-
tion to the Paymaster and
his reply shall clearly show
your Excellency the grounds
upon which memorialist bases
his claims. As for the list
prepared by Col. Baynes &
standing in his (memorialists)
way, he has simply to point
out the inaccuracy of the
same. A perfect and correct
list is not open to rectifica-
tion as the list in question
has been. Col. Stewart him-
self added some names
to the list prepared by
Col. Baynes, and the duly
corrected list has now been
sanctioned by Government

5

to be in force for the future.

$\frac{9}{16}$ Memorialist has lastly to point out that his deceased wife was guilty of no specific offence for which the Government may have excluded continuation of her stipend unlike that of others to their heirs &c. According to the general gist upon which the said list was framed her name ought to have been included in the appendix "E" to which the Paymaster refers. Certainly she and her heirs are not to suffer for any mistake arising from such omission as has been committed by Col. Baynes.

Under the foregoing extraordinary circumstances memorialist

6/ - rialist most humbly begs your
Excellency in Council to favor-
ably consider his case and
to order continuation of his
late wife's stipend to him as
prayed for.

For which act of kindness
and benevolence memorialist
shall remain ever grateful
to your Excellency and family.

Your Excellency's most obedient
and humble servant



Signed and sealed

Hyder Husain, Carnatic

Stipendiary No: 411 1/2

No 9 Venkatachella Mudali's
Street, Triplicane, Madras,
the 4th January 1895

Petition from *Hyder Musain,*
Carnatic Stipendiary, re-
siding in Triplicane

DATED 4th January 1895

91
18

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

W. A. Dutt

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Madras.
8th January 1895.

RE (1895) DEPARTMENT.

FROM
C. F. Mac Cartie Esq. S.C.
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,
THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

ted 5.
ceived 10 } January 1895.
Ry & 11.

ENCLOSURE 12
10 JAN. 1895
DEPARTMENT OF Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from My dear Anson
while praying for the con-
tinuation of his late
wife's stipend to him.

5/3/80 No 23 A Vin
3/4/80 No 9-10 No
31/8/78 2 C 400 Sc.
4/8/71 2 C 269 - " -
12/7/71 2 C 212 - " -

✓

order 26 Feb. 95. No. 91
mis. W. 3 Feb

The petitioner's ^{request} claim is
admissible.

2. The Paymaster, Camatic Stn
is requested to report when
~~the death of~~
~~death report of~~ Camatic S
Rabiah Begum (N.P. 19A),
~~does not appear to have~~
~~received here~~ was not
intimated
wanted to fort- *L.H.*
31/1/95

C.B.
25.195

[Handwritten notes:]

- 20.
- Pat. [unclear] para I of [unclear]
- Paymaster's Certificate
- RECEIVED
- NOTICE. Please see [unclear]

Affair of Mrs. A. L. C. who
 that he married her in 1840
 which was the

which explain Kabiak
 pension. She commuted
 stipend & was then
 a compassionate by
 [S.O. 480
 31.2.75] - Petition
 apparently the hero
 who divorced her!

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A
---------------------	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH BITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.R.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only