

Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 819

DATED 6th Dec. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Informing Haji Hafiz Khursid Ahmad Sahib that Government declines to order the refunding to the Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends the amount paid to Muhammad Abdul Wahab on account of the alleged marriage of his ward.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

10

CURRENT NO. 1000

His Excellency the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council of Port
Saint George
Madras

The humble Memorial
of Hajee Hajee Koor
Sheed Ahmed Sahib
a Government Carnatic
stipendiary
Residing at Jamnagar
Triplicane Madras

Sheweth

That Memorialist humbly begs
to submit Your Excellency that his
nephew's grand son, Mohamed Moizood
Sahib now of the age of 15 years or there
abouts was received by him at his home
from his parents since deceased as an
adopted son, ever since he is under his
(Memorialist's) protection and Custody

That the said Mohamed Moizood
Sahib is a Government Carnatic
stipendiary entitled to Rs. 26 in right of
late parents but at present only Rs. 12

CURRENT NO 1522

583: 22-8-84

434: 2-7-84

212: 7-4-76

(1895)

RECD 8-11-95

W. I. 1.

supp. 8. XI. 95.

11/2/1009

Encl N^o 2669 87-11-95

From

Haji Hafiz Khورشيد
Ahmed Sahib

Referred to the Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends, for report

Submitted
Chief Secretary

C.B.
11/11/95

CHIEF SECRETARY
29. OCT. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Recd 8 Nov/95

TO BE RETURNED
TO THE
OFFICE

Dated 28

Recd 29 Oct 1895

Regd 29

Encl — 1

Petition — Stating that his
grand-nephew has been
defrauded of 1400 Rs. by his
guardian making a false
representation to the Paymaster
Carnatic Stipends; and
praying that orders be issued
for refunding the same

434 AC/S
2-7-84 29/10
Pet 11/10/95

No. 483 of 1895.

1604
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Office Chepak 13th November 1895

From

Colonel A. S. Stewart J. S. C.
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement
No. 2669, dated 7th November 1895 "Political" on petition
current No. 1522 from Haji Hafiz Khورشيد Ahmad
Sahib, I have the honor to give as follows, a brief
history of the case.

* Herewith returned.

X
Per 29/10

2. By G.O. No. 434, dated 2nd July 1884 "Political" para 3, Muhammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib was appointed guardian of his late brother's 6 minor children Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, & 8 in the list appended with the late Colonel Baynes' letter No. 243, dated 13th June 1884, embodied with the above G.O. The arrangement was in accordance with the wishes of the head of the family (Rafat-ul-Mulk Bahadur) which was supported by the late Colonel Baynes, vide para 3 of the above quoted letter.
3. A moiety of Stipends of the 6 minors aggregating to Rs 64-4-0 per mensem was accordingly

drawn by the guardian (Mohammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib) on his own seal, and from time to time, as the minors attained their majority, the Sum was decreased, and now there being only 2 minors under his guardianship, he draws a moiety of the stipend of Rs: 495 in the list above alluded to, of which Rs: 5, Mohammad Moiz-ud-din is the subject matter of the petition now under report.

4. Petitioner is quite unconcerned in the matter and has therefore nothing to do at all with the minor or in the transactions connected between the lad and his guardian, beyond this, that when Mohammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib left Triplicane for the Adyar a few years ago, he entrusted the minor to the petitioner and left him in his house with a view to his (the minor's) studies not being interrupted and continued paying the petitioner the stipend of the minor for his support.

5. Last year Mohammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib having arranged a betrothal between the minor and his cousin (a class of marriage commonly in vogue amongst Mohammedans) applied for and received a Sum of Rs: 1400-7-3 then standing to the credit of the minor to defray the expenses of the marriage by G.O. No. 583 dated 22nd August 1894 "Political". To this wedding the petitioner is averse and hence his appeal to Government.

6. Petitioner is the younger brother of Rafat-ul-mulk Bahadur the grandfather of the

the minor Mohammad Moiz-ud-din, and is the identical person referred to in G.O. No. 108 dated 8th February 1883 "Political" sanctioning his prosecution in a Police Court for criminal breach of trust in respect to Rs: 10,000/- Mohammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib and his father Rafat-ul-mulk Bahadur requested the petitioner to send the minor back, but he having declined to deliver the boy, the guardian is about to take legal steps to take possession of the boy, who is now idling his time at the petitioner's house and is not even allowed to attend his school for fear of being taken away by the guardian if the lad is seen out.

7. I beg respectfully to submit that in my humble opinion the matter has taken the aspect of a family dispute, and is not one that is capable of interference by Government vide para 2 of G.O. dated 22nd December 1888 (herein enclosed in original and favor of return requested). I would therefore respectfully beg to suggest that the petitioner be informed accordingly, and the matter under dispute can be settled in a Court of justice between the guardian and the minor when the latter attains his majority, or otherwise there will be no end of trouble both to Government and this office. The guardian Mohammad Abdul Wahhab Sahib seems to me to be respectable and his monthly income (including that of his wife) comes to Rs: 400 and odd per mensem.

I have the honor to be

your most obedient servant
S. S. Vinnart. Colonel
Paymaster (Amalgamated Service)

Ingo. 55
5-2-89

hup

1604
Political (1895) Department.

583: 22-8-94.
108: 8-2-83.
96: 2-6-82.

From
Colonel H. S. Stewart. D. S. O.
Paymaster General's Office
Ceylon

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George.

Dated 13th } November 1895
Recd 13
Rep 14

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
13 NOV 1895

No. 483 of 1895

2 Enclosures C No 1522

Reports on the petition of Haji Hafiz
Khurshid Ahmad Sahib and is
opinion that the matter being
family dispute, is not capable
interference.

Political Dept

C No 1522 & 1604.

For orders.

In go. dated 22nd August 1894,
no. 583 sanction was accorded
to Muhammad Abdul Wahab
for the payment of Rs: 1365-15-9
which had accumulated to the
credit of Muhammad Inmaz-ud-din
a minor in the guardianship
of the former (vide para 3 of go.
dated 2nd July 1884, no. 434). In
para 5 of C No. 1604, Colonel Stewart
states that a sum of Rs: 1400-7-3
was accordingly paid to Muhammad
Abdul Wahab. ~~How the sum~~
~~had in the case.~~ This sum apparently
represents the accumulations up to
the date of payment, Rs: 1365-15-9
being the accumulations up to
September 1893.

The object of withdrawing
this sum was to defray the
marriage expenses of Muhammad
Inmaz-ud-din.

The petitioner who is a grand
uncle of the minor states that
the boy is unwilling to marry
until he completes his college
education.

course and prays that orders may be issued for refunding to the Paymaster the amount paid to Muhammad Abdul Wahab.

From the Paymaster's letter it appears that the petition is the result of a family quarrel Muhammad Inaz-ud-din can demand an account from his guardian when he comes of age, and if he finds that these accounts are not satisfactory he can have recourse to a court of law.

Submitted that the petitioner be informed that the Govt. declines to interfere.

Order No 819 of 6 Dec 1895

Petitioner will be informed

that the Govt. declines to interfere

CS
26.11.95

22
2/12/95

Petitioner } Despatch with ques
P.C.S. } 11/12

Muhammad Inaz-ud-din
Carnatic Stipendiary

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only