Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 527

Dated 8th Aug: 1895.

UNDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

RAJAGOPALA TONDIMAN SAHIB, ELDER SON OF THE WESTERN PALACE JAGHIRDAR PUDUKKOTTAI

Informing -- that the Government declines to interfere with the orders already passed by the Political Agent sanctioning a sum of Rs300 for defraying the expenses on account of the confinement of his wife.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To

His Excellency The Right Honorable,

THE GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL,

FORT ST. GEORGE,

MADRAS.

The humble Memorial of Rajagopala Tondiman Sahib, Senior son of the Western Palace Jaghirdar, Pudukota.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY.

With the most sanguine hope that Your Excellency in Council will be pleased to give mature consideration to his case and administer to him that justice and benevolence which has all along characterised Your Excellency's administration, Your Excellency's humble memorialist solicits permission to approach Your Excellency with this humble memorial.

2 Your Excellency's humble memorialist's wife Chinna Rajayee Sahib sister of His Highness, confined in the month of October 1894 and was delivered of a male child.

3 Your Excellency is perfectly aware of the fact that in accordance with the order of Government, all expenses in connection with the confinement and all other similar extraordinary charges connected with me ought to be borne by the State.

4 Your Excellency's humble memorialist accordingly requested sanction of the Dewan-Regent for a sum of Rs. 1000, amount absolutely required for the expenses in connection with the confinement, and before he could get any orders on the subject, his wife was delivered of a child and he was put to the necessity of carrying on all expenditure in accordance with the custom of his caste and the precedents in his family.

5 Memorialist was therefore constrained to the unavoidable necessity of raising loans to carry on the expenditure and he did borrow Rs. 1000 and carried on the expenditure, as it could not be delayed, in anticipation that sanction might be accorded, inasmuch as the State was bound to defray these charges.

6 Subsequently memorialist had the mortification to hear from the Dewan Regent that only Rs. 300 had been sanctioned on that account.

7 Memorialist then remonstrated with the Dewan-Regent, represented to him that the amount of Rs. 300 sanctioned was entirely inadequate and laid his just

claims for the amount he solicited and also submitted a list of all expenditure actually incurred; but to no avail.

8 Memorialist then applied to the Political Agent who to your memorialist's misfortune, agreed with the Devan-Regent.

9 Memorialist again requested the present Political Agent to re-consider the matter and was informed that the matter having been once decided by a former Political Agent, it could not now be re-opened.

10 Memorialist has now only to apply to your Excellency in Council and submits that the amount of Rs. 1000 which has been spent on the occasion, is the lowest amount that could possibly be spent on similar occasions in his family and that he has observed the strictest economy in carrying on the expenditure.

11 He has also to lay for your consideration that precedents are not wanting. On the occasion of the confinement of his wife's Elder Sister Peria Rajayee Sahib, wife of the Chinnaramanay Jaghirdar, Rs. 3000 were spent (Rs. 700 out of which being borne by the state and the rest by her husband who is in very affluent circumstances); memorialist having no resources to fall back upon, he submits, that a similar amount must have been sanctioned by the state alone on account of his wife's confinement. Yet it is his misfortune that even the very small amount he requested, has not been sanctioned.

He has to lay before Your Excellency in Council that each and every item of the expenditure incurred on the occasion was unavoidable and that they are very low when compared with his wife's position, she being the grand daughter of His late Highness and sister of His present Highness.

He begs permission to submit that his creditors are putting him to inexpressible hardships on account of their dues and he has no other means of discharging them; the small allowance of Rs. 250 which he gets being entirely inadequate for his and his wife's maintenance.

He therefore appeals to Your Excellency in Council alone for relief from such distresses and earnestly hopes that Your Excellency in Council may be graciously pleased to accord benign consideration to his unfortunate case and allow sanction for a further sum of Rs. 700 in addition to the amount of Rs. 300 already sanctioned.

For which as, in duty bound, he shall ever pray,

PUDUKOTAI, 7-6 1895.

Rajagopala Toudiman Sahib
Elder Son of the
Western Palace Jagirdar
Pudukotah.

189 ... Dept. Enclos. No. To G. O. No. 1027

Enc to I. to Letter No 198-0 from Pol. Agent for Pudukottai to the Ch. Secretary to Govt.

Rs 100: 261/14.5.8

OFFICE OF THE
POLITICAL AGENT FOR PUDUKOTA,

Reference on Correct No. 198-0 of 95 Bl

Trichinopoly, 25th July 1895.

From

James Andrew. Esquire.

POLITICAL AGENT FOR RUDUKOTA,

TRICHINOPOLY.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
POLITICAL DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.

SIR

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government a petition from the Elder son of the Western Palace Jaghirdar praying to be granted a sum of Rs. 700 which he alleges he has expended on the occasion of the accouchment of his wife, in addition to a sum of Rs. 300 already given.

2. The elder son requested the late Diwan Regent to pay him Rs. 1000 on account of certain cere[illegible] connection with the

w confinement. The Diwan agent in applying & the for sanction of Rs 300 stated that Rs 300 would be enough and that if a greater amount was sanctioned in the case of the elder son, it would form a very inconvenient precedent for future claims on similar occasion in the case of other members of the family. Taking all things into consideration I sanctioned Rs 300. The present Diwan who was afterwards consulted, also thinks that Rs 300 was sufficient for the purpose.

3. The elder son, however, is not satisfied with what has been sanctioned. He states that the amount was inadequate and that he had incurred debts & the extent of the balance viz Rs. 700 ... in anticipation of sanction. Expenses on account of such confinements form no doubt a proper charge on the state in terms of Government question is as to the adequacy of the Rs 300 already sanctioned. The late Diwan Regent and the present Diwan carefully considered the question with reference to the necessities of the case and the state of the finances, and their deliberate opinion was that Rs. 300 was quite enough & also. They are the most competent judges in such a matter, and I therefore recommend that Petitioner's request be rejected. It is true that in past years expenditure was incurred on account of marriages &c on a lavish scale, and the memorialist may be correct in his statement in para 11 & an expenditure of Rs 3,000 on a like occasion, but expenditure is not now a days incurred on such a scale, as the state cannot afford it and memorialist who knew the confinement was coming would have ascertained in time how much he would be allowed, and his excuse that he incurred the expenditure of Rs 1,000 in anticipation of sanction cannot be accepted as reason for giving him more than the Rs 300.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Illegible
Political Agent

1895

Pol Department

FROM

The Political Agent
for Pudukota,
Trichinopoly.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Political Department,
MADRAS.

Dated 25
Despd 26 } July 1895
Recd

Reference No. 198—0 Pol.

Enclosure . one

Subject

Senior Son of the Western Valuvar
jaghirdar.

Submitting a petition
from the — requesting Sanction
for Rs 700 on account of
the expenses incurred in
connection with the confinement
of his wife.

H. E. the Governor.
His

Order of 8th August 1895 W
No 527 Copy

The petitioner will be
informed that the Govt. decline
to interfere with the orders
already passed
which have been passed.

C.S.
8. VIII. 95

R 11/8/95

Pol. Agent Pudukottai (21) 14 AUG 95
for comm. to Petitioner
M.S

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____ } 189
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

ENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only,
Q = Copy heading only