Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 501

Dated 31st July 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION

Informing Raman Pillai, late Record-Keeper, Chirayin Kizhu taluk office, Travancore, that the Government cannot interfere in the matter of his -- praying for restoration to his post or grant of a pension.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G / 9]
2,003—2-4-95.

Current No. 986

Pol Department.

H.E. the Governor

From Raman Pillai
Late Record Keeper
Chirayin Kizhu Taluk
Ypric, Travancore

Order Mis dt 31st July 1895. No 501
Para II. C Petitions rules
The Govt. cannot interfere
W 27/7/95

C.S. 25/7/95

No.

Dated 6 July 95

Received 24/7

Enclosures Pet 2 Sr wpr

To

Petitioner (R to). thro' the Resdt. in Travancore & Cochin

DESTROYED 6 AUG 95

M.S

Note. There seems to be no reason for giving para II in a case like this which is obviously one in which Govt. cannot interfere

CS. 28.7.

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may either be reinstated in his former appointment or granted a pension for his long service.

WITH ORDER

W.O.

Petition Public Current No. 2252.

To

His Excellency the Governor of Madras

Petition of one Raman Pillai, late Record Keeper in Chirayin Pizhu Taluk office, Travancore, States:—

1. As Accountant, clerk and record keeper in the Huzur and other offices petitioner served in all for thirty-eight years and discharged his duties conscientiously and without any neglect.

2. Mr. Raguvatha Rao, the PeishKar had after his transfer from the Eastern to the Travancore Division arranged with the Tahsildar, his subordinate to contrive some means to dismiss petitioner against whom the PeishKar had entertained a grudge, so that the brother of PeishKar's Malayali wife might be appointed in his stead. The Tahsildar had accordingly framed some charges against petitioner in 1067 and reported them to the PeishKar who

who thereupon dismissed (?) petitioner and appointed his brother-in-law above referred to to the post. Petitioner represented the matter to the Dewan who being convinced of the highhanded procedure of the Peishkar reinstated petitioner and gave a strict warning to the Peishkar.

3. Sometime after the retirement of this Dewan petitioner had to appear in the Travancore Zilla Court in Chingam 1069 to produce certain records in evidence on behalf of Government, but on the 5th Kanni he was arrested under a Civil warrant and in order to enable him to settle this matter he applied to the Tahsildar to appoint his son Kumara Pillai, a copyist in the same Taluk cutcherry as his substitute. The Tahsildar sanctioned it. While petitioner's son was still discharging the duties as a substitute, the Peishkar issued an order on the 18th Sulam appointing his brother-in-law perfectly ignoring the existing arrangement.

4. When petitioner returned to duty after the settlement of the Civil matter, he was not re-employed.

5. Petitioner accordingly represented his case to the Dewan, the Maharaja and the British Resident, respectively, but without avail.

6. When the Maharaja asked the Dewan for an explanation, petitioner's imprisonment in Civil jail was assigned as the reason.

7. Several officials in the Travancore services who had been in civil jail have been re-employed. One Subramaniayan Accountant in the Huzur Dewasam who had been similarly in civil jail has been reinstated on petition presented by him to the State subsequent to that of the petitioner. The person appointed to the petitioner's post being a brother-in-law of the Peishkar who was tutor

tutor to the Maharaja, petitioner is being worried in this fashion without being restored to his post and without a hearing being obtained from the Sirkar for his petition.

8. Under the pension rules made by the Sirkar petitioner applied for pension to which he is entitled by his long service, but no attention is paid to his application.

9. The Dewan and Peishkar thus conspired to do injury to the helpless petitioner whose appeal to the Maharaja was set aside unheard. The bona fide belief of the petitioner under the circumstances is there is none else but Your Excellency to hear and redress his grievances.

Petitioner therefore prays that, under the above circumstances, Your Excellency may be graciously pleased to issue orders either to reinstate him or to grant him the due pension.

Dated 23rd Mithunam 1070
6th July 1895

(a true Translation)

M. Krishnan
Ag. Malayalam Translator Govt

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.