Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 462

Dated 17th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

MEMORIALS WITHHELD

Ordering that the memorial from Jamilun-nissa Begam, grand-daughter of the 1st Prince of Arcot, to the Government of India appealing against the order of this Government withholding her memorial to the Secretary of State claiming a certain stipend, be withheld under paragraph 6(3) of D.Memorial rules I.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 884

O Poll Dept

From Jamil-un-nisa Begum
Wife and daughter of late Prince
of Arcot.

Dated 29 June
Recd 2 July
Rgd 3 July
95.
Encls. 1.

Subject

Forwarding with reference to Go.
No. 370 Dy 11-6-95 Copy of her
petition addressed to the Govt of I for
favour of transmission

C.S.
Mis

ORDER 17 July 1895. No. 462.

The Memorial will be
withheld under ~~para 6(3) of~~
the following rule:—
[H. E. para 6(3). b—D. Memorials
&c—I)

C.S.
12.7.95

Sd/ (Illegible)
16/7/95

Petitioner (Illegible)
H.C. in acct. in W. Br. Dept

22 JUL 95
M.S

with forwarding letter
sent down separately

17/7.

WITH ORDER

C 10

H. E. The Right Honorable

VICTOR ALEXANDER BRUCE

EARL OF ELGIN AND KINCARDINE, P.C. L.L.D.,

VICEROY AND GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA,

SIMLA.

The humble appeal of JAMIL-UN-NISA BEGUM, *the Nika daughter of the late* UMDUT-UD-DOWLA BAHADUR, *3rd son of H. H. Prince* AZEEM JAH BAHADUR, *1st Prince of Arcot, residing at Triplicane Madras.*

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

1. That Memorialist's father who was the third son of His Highness the late Prince Azeemjah Bahadur, 1st Prince of Arcot, was in receipt of a stipend of Rs. 900 per mensem during his life-time, and on his death, and in accordance with his wishes, Rs. 800 of said stipend were made payable to his widow and Rs. 100 to Memorialist (vide G. O. of Madras Government dated 16th April 1881, No. 209).

2. That on the death of the widow in June 1892, the allowance she drew (Rs 800) was annexed by the Government of Madras to the allowance of the present reigning Prince, and Memorialist was unjustly deprived of the amount, (vide Orders of Madras Government dated 4th August 1892, No. 470, and 10th December 1892, No. 731,).

3. That against aforesaid orders Memorialist appealed to the Government of India and was informed in reply that the Government of India declined to interfere with the orders of the Madras Government, rejecting the Petitioner's claim to succeed to any portion of the widow's stipend (vide No. 237 of 1893 and 8th August 1893 No. 1346 G.)

4. That in order to bring her claims under the notice of the Secretary of State Memorialist in the month of October last, (copy submittedhere with) forwarded a Memorial to the Government of Madras and requested that the same may be transmitted toits address, but it was withheld by that Government on the ground that the appeal was preferred more than 12 months after the date on which Memorialist was informed of the order against which she appealed. (G. O. dated 12th Nov. 1894. No. 786, *vide* Ex. A.)

5. That in view to obtaining re-consideration of the foregoing decision, Memorialist submitted an appeal on the 7th December last (*vide* Ex. B). and urged that in Rule VII of the Memorial Rules, "No limit is fixed to the time within which an appeal from an order of the Governments in India may be preferred to the Home Government," and accordingly prayed for transmission of her Memorial to the Secretary of State.

6. That in reply to the foregoing petition Memorialist was informed that the rules referred to by her do not apply to her case which is governed by those in

G. O. dated 17th May 1894, No. 320, Political Department (G. O. 8th January, 1895, No. 12. *vide* Ex. C.)

7. That as Memorialist on a persual of the Rules enclosed in the foregoing Order, became aware for the FIRST TIME that special rules were framed for the submission or withholding by Local Governments etc of petitions and Memorials relating to matters affecting persons under their political charge, when such petitions etc are addressed to, among others, the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, Memorialist submitted a further appeal to the Government of Madras (*vide* Ex. D). urging *inter alia*.

(i). That no steps were taken to publish these Rules in the local Gazettes as was done in the case of Rules affecting.

(*a*) appeals to the local Government.
(*b*) „ „ Government of India.
(*c*) „ „ Parliament, etc.

(ii). That as the alteration in the rules which now affected Memorialist was of a very radical character, some steps should have been taken to inform persons in receipt of Political stipends of such change. Nothing could have been easier than for the Paymaster by beat of tom-tom to make this change known to persons likely be affected by it.

(iii). That as Memorialist is a Gosha Lady, unacquainted with what is occurring in the outer world, she should be treated with some consideration and the new rule not enforced with any harshness. In the foregoing circumstances Memorialist again prayed that her Memorial be forwarded to its addresss, but she has received reply that the Government declines to accede to her request, G. O. 22nd March 1895–No 198-Ex. E).

8. That in view of the facts represented above, Memorialist is now compelled to approach your Lordship in Council with the humble prayer that your Lordship will be pleased to peruse the facts set out in her Memorial and in the exhibits which accompany it, and will be graciously pleased to direct that her Memorial be transmitted to the Secretary of State for his consideration and orders.

9. That in support of the foregogoing prayer Memorialist begs very respectfully to urge that in the rules which were superceded by the rules quoted in the Order was of the Madras Government dated 8th January 1895, No 12, *no limit of time* fixed for appeals to the Home Government, and that considering the very radical change which was made in the rules said to have come into operation in July 1894, and which affected a large section of Mahomedan ladies living in seclusion, some steps should have been taken either by means of the the local Gazette, or by beat of tom tom to bring the change to their notice or that of their male relatives.

10. That since no action in the directions indicated has been taken Memorialist begs that the delay which has occurred in the submission of her Memorial may be excused and orders issued for the transmission of her Memorial.

Your Memorialist as in duty bound will ever pray.

TRIPLICANE,
18 June ~~April~~ 1895.

CURRENT No. 854

To

The Honorable J. F. Price
Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Madras

Sir

I beg to acknowledge receipt of G. O. dated 11th June 1895 No. 3[illegible] and with reference to the Order of the Government of India therein conveyed, I respectfully beg to submit my petition to their address, and shall feel deeply grateful by your transmitting same with the permission of H. E. the Governor in Council for the consideration & order of the Government of India.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obt Servt

Rec 4th & Sent Dispatched
Post Triplicane
Madras
19th June 1895

Jamila Banu Nyaba Begum
Daughter of Comdutt. Cod Cor[illegible]

[G. 25.]
590—21-5-95.

Political Department.

C. No. 884

FOR ORDERS.

8.0. 370 / 11 6.95

In its endt. No. 1027 G. dt 31st May 1895, the G. of I. asked that Tamil-un-nisa Begam might be informed that her petition could not be entertained and that it should have been submitted through the local authorities. She now submits the petition through the Govt, with the date altered. This petition to the Govt. of India is an appeal against G.O. dt 12. Nov 1894 No. 786 in which a Memorial from her to the Secretary of State was withheld as being time-barred.

The present appeal can, it is submitted, be withheld under b(3) of the D. Memorial rules Section I. The Govt. of In its endorsement referred to

has stated that her petition cannot be entertained, but just because it was not ~~forwarded~~ submitted through the local Govt, as petitioner reads the endorsement —

C.S. 4.7.95

dd 18/7/95

Tamil-un-nisa Begum

Petition

FOR ORDERS.

H.E. the Governor

~~HON'BLE MEMBER.~~

C 117 1897

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.