

Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 408

Dated 25th June 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Extract.]

COMMUNICATIONS ADDRESSED TO RESIDENTS IN NATIVE STATES NOT UNDER THE
CONTROL OF THIS GOVERNMENT.

Directing that -- should invariably issue from the Secretariat
Department concerned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1271		95				
C1781	2	Ob	Comptroller				

Government { 1895 } of Madras. POLITICAL.

Recd.

Regd.

Enclosures

1895

Spare copies

G.O., No. 408, 25th June 1895.

JUNE.

Communications addressed to Residents in Native States not under the control of this Government.

Directing that — should invariably issue from the Secretariat Department concerned.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 758, Political, dated 7th August 1886.

ABSTRACT.—Ruling that *all* communications to Foreign Governments or Native States shall issue from the Political Department.

ORDER—No. 408, Political, dated 25th June 1895.

The instructions in G.O., No. 758, dated 7th August 1886, do not apply to communications addressed to Residents in Native States which are not under the control of this Government. Such communications should invariably issue from the Secretariat Department concerned.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
" Public Works Department.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Judicial Department.
" Educational Department.
" Public Department.
" Marine Department.
" Ecclesiastical Department.

D.O. No. 240
18-5-95

Cotacampus
18 May 1895

My dear Sir,

Will you please let me
know whether it is the practice in
the Bombay Secretariat for
communications to Residents in
Native States not under the
control of the Bombay Govt. e.g.
Hyderabad, Mysore - to issue
from the Political Dept. alone
or whether such letters are ^{are sent} ~~sent~~
directly by the department concerned
with the subject matter of
the communication.

Yours truly

C.S. 95
17/5/95

17/5/95

To.

The Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay
Political Dept.

Dep't
18/5

L.O. to Chief Secy. Govt
of Bombay

No. 240

Dated 18th May 1895

Communications to Residents
in Native States

Please copy in and despatch.

1909

18/12

FOR ORDERS.

Under para 2 of G.O. 7. 17th
August 1886, No. 758 Political, all
communications to Foreign Govts. or
Native States must issue in the
Political Dept. This instruction has
hitherto been taken as including
communications to the Residents
at Hyderabad ^{and} Mysore. Accordingly,
when the Revenue or ^{the} Public Works
Dept. wishes to address either of
those authorities, it drafts the
necessary letter & sends it to the
Political Dept. for counter-initialed.
After the draft has been passed, a
copy is sent to the Political Dept.
which issues the letter under
the signature of the Chief Secy.
The reply is received in the Political
Dept. & transferred to the Rev.
or P. W. Dept. as the case may be.

* Except in very Routine cases.

This

This procedure, which ~~sometimes~~ causes extra work all round, is, it is submitted, unnecessary in the case of communications addressed to the Residents of Native States outside the control of this Govt. The arguments which apply to ^{communications to} States under the control of this Govt, and ^{e.g. Travancore & Cochin} Foreign Govts. - such as that of Pondicherry - do not apply to letters to Mysore & Hyderabad which are invariably addressed to the Residents in those States, officers who are well acquainted with political etiquette and ^{who} are not likely to take action on communications which in any way involve breaches of that etiquette. The Resdts. in Travancore & Cochin & the P.N. Agents of Pudukottai, Banganapalle & Sandur are directly under the Political Dept. & it is much safer for that dept to scrutinize communications to them. The P.N. Dept. is also the proper channel for addressing H.E. the Gov. of the French Settlements

FOR ORDERS.

in India. It would save a good deal of what is really "Post-Office work" if it were ruled that the instructions referred to above do not include communications to Residents in Native States which are not under the political control of this Govt, such communications being made direct by the Depts. concerned.

C.S.
14/5/95

After ~~the~~ the above note was written the Govt of Bombay was referred to as to the practice there ^{do} Please see Mr. Vidal's reply (E. N° 349). The practice in Bombay is for the Judicial & General Dept. to correspond direct but for other depts to do so through the P.N. Dept. The reason for this distinction is not clear & it is probably based on some ancient custom which has ~~not~~ been ~~long~~ handed down unaltered. If the Jud. Dept. can communicate with a Resident direct, there appears to be no reason why the Revenue & P. W. Depts should not do so. In Bombay the Judicial

C.S.
8/11/95

[G. 24.]
500 21-5-05.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Printed

CS
PS

28

Again G.O., dated 7th August 1886, No. 758 — (P)
 Again G.O., dated 189, No.
 Current No. of 189, dated from
 „ 189, „ „ „
 „ 189, „ „ „

Communications to Residents
in Hyderabad & Mysore

For Orders

H.E. the Governor &c

The Secretary { Revenue } Dept
in favour of counter. initials

ORDER. 25 June 1895, No. 408.

The instructions in ~~Madras~~
 G.O. of 7th August 1886, No. 758,
 do not apply to communications
 addressed to Residents in Native
 States which are not under the
 control of this Govt. Such
 communications should ~~separately~~
~~be issued~~ issue from the
 Secretariat
 Department concerned

(From Orders)

CS.
24.6.95

25/6/95

Rev. Dept. 7
 P. W. Dept. 11
 Secy. Dept. 6
 Judl. Dept. 1
 Est. Dept. 1
 Public „ 1
 Marine „ 1
 Ecclesiastical „ 1

Dept
8/7/95

In Parl G.O
of 25/6/95
No 408

Dep^t is now always under the Chief
Secretary as an Officer who promoted
him to Chief Secretary carries with
him to his new appointments the
work which he was doing when
promoted.

22/12/95

I agree. The reason for transmitting
 a communication for a Native State in
 Madras thro' the Pol. Dept. is not so
 much, I take it, in relation to matters
 of etiquette as that that Dept. deals with
 the affairs of the State generally & regulates
 its policy & sh^d therefore be kept informed
 of all ~~communications~~ ^{proposals} from the Dept. &
 have the opportunity of intervening
 if necessary. But in the case of States
 not under Madras, the local Pol. Dept.
 has no knowledge of their affairs or the
 policy being pursued with reference
 to them, so that, as suggested, there
 seems no need for this Dept. to
 correspond with these States thro' the
 Pol. Dept.

22/12/95

I agree - S 10.6

approved

W

20 June

19/6

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

Reprinted 254 copies
H. R. 18/10/98
3/3/98

IN G.O., No.
199

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING AT ONCE FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

20-11-13 B III ✓

20/0/8

8-3-19 B III ✓

22.6.21 ✓

25-10-22 V ✓

15.2.27 V ✓

25-6-27 R U ✓

8/12/28 II ✓

23.5.29 V ✓

24-7-29 B III ✓

7-10-32. I ✓

25-11-32. I ✓

31-8-33 I ✓

28934 I ✓

5/12/36. I ✓

18.5.25 ✓

9-6-10 ✓

6-1-28 V ✓

2-6-28 ✓

6-10-28 R U ✓

14/8/37 T ✓

11.4.39 I ✓

3.12.48. V ✓