

Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 402

Dated 24th June 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION

Informing Thathia Sahib Mohithai, one of the relations of the late Her Highness M. Yesavantiamba Bai Saheb of Tanjore, that the Government declines to accede to his request, that he may be granted a pension of Rs. 5 per mensem.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

His Excellency

The Right Honorable The Governor in Council
Fort St. George

The humble petition of Thathia
Sahib Mohithai Son-in-law of the late
H. H. M. Yesvautharaba Boyi Sahib, Paigore

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your Excellency's petitioner
was getting annually Rs 100 irrespective of presents for
Several Ceremonies that occur in the year from the said
Boyi Sahib and thus the petitioner and his large family
were solely depending upon the Boyi Sahib.

Unfortunately for the petitioner the Boyi
Sahib died all on a sudden in January 1894. But a few
days before the death a list was submitted to your Excellency
through the Government Agent Paigore recommending for
petitioner's wife and some others for certain allowance to
be granted out of the moiety of Her Highness's pay after the death.

As the petitioner did not get any order for a long
time and is put to greatest hardship for maintenance he
petitioner requested the Government Agent on the 25 March 95
to move the matters to your Excellency's merciful view.

The Government Agent returned the petition with the follow-
ing endorsement "I see no reason to recommend petitioner
for allowance."

Under the circumstances detailed above and as
the petitioner and his large family are in a starvation
condition, since the death of the Boyi Sahib and petitioner's
wife.

Therefore petitioner most humbly and respectfully
begs your Excellency's generosity to be graciously pleased
to sanction the amount of Rs 5 to be drawn monthly as
recommended by the late H. H. M. Yesvautharaba Boyi
Sahib, Paigore.

CURRENT No. 672
 Poll Dept
 304: 19-12-94 S.E.
 365: 31-5-94 a
 37: 20-1-94
 Current No. 207 of 1895
 agency

From
 Thatthia Sahib Mohithai,
 Tanjore.

Dated 15
 Recd 18 } May 1895
 Regd 20 }

Subject.
 Requesting to sanction the
 amount of Rs. 57 p.m. as
 recommended by the late H.H.
 In Yessavanthamba Boyi Sahib,
 Tanjore.

25-5-95
 CHIEF SECRETARY
 18 MAY 1895
 DESPATCHED
 27 MAY 1895
 No. 1104

Referred to the Govt.
 Agent, Tanjore, for report. It is
 understood that petitioner is
 No. 28 in the list forwarded
 with Mr. Winterbotham's
 letter of the 6th August 1894
 No. 90.

Reddishree
 Chief Secretary
 21-5-95

O.T. recommended
 22nd May 1895

RETURNED
 IN REPLY.

29/5/95

Tanjore Government Agents Office
 11th June 1895.
 Despatched 14th June 1895
 No. 79
 From Robert B. Clegg Esquire
 Government Agent,
 Tanjore;
 To The Chief Secretary
 to Government,
 Political Department,
 Madras.

Sir,
 With reference to Government
 Endorsement No. 1104 dated 22nd
 May 1895, on the petition of Thatthia
 Sahib Mohithai, one of the relations
 of H. H. the late M. Yessavanti-
 amba Bai Sahib, I have the
 honour to report that the petitioner
 is not one of the persons to whom
 the deceased Rani wished that
 pensions should be granted, and
 his name does not find a place
 in the list forwarded with Mr.
 Winterbotham's

Winterbotham's letter No 90 dated
6th August 1894. Thattha Sahab
Sirke No 28 in the list is a dif-
ferent person altogether.

Pay 3 17
80. 904
19.12.94

2. Bayamma Bai No 10 in
the list referred to was petitioner's
wife but she is since deceased.
It is reported that it was through
her the petitioner's family used
to receive certain presents and
allowances, and it was to her
that the deceased Rani wished
that a pension of Rs 5 per men-
sus should be paid.

3. The petitioner applied to
Mr Thomson for pension; but
he rejected the application pro-
bably on the ground that he was
not one of those mentioned
by the deceased Rani.

4. Mr Winterbotham in
his letter above referred to has
recorded an opinion that he
does

Pay 10 80
904
19.12.94

does not find sufficient grounds
for recommending Bayamma
Bai for a pension.

5. I think therefore that although
the petitioner has a large family
and appears to be in poor circum-
stances, he has no claims upon
the bounty of Government.

He was not
supported from
the stipend of the
deceased Rani
vide para 2 of
80. 615
1.9.94 in page
4 of 80. 904
19.12.94

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Amulm Sam
For Government Agent

13. 6. 85

SR.

R.

802
Polt Dept

From

The Government Agent
Tanjore

H.R. the Governor

Cus 672 + 802

d/24th June 1895 No. 402.

Order
this

W
22 June

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Political Department
Madras

Petitioner will be informed
that the Govt declines to accede to
his request.

LD

25/6/95

C.S.
18. VI. 95

Dated 14th }
Received 17 } June 1895

Enclosed 672-802
in: one

No: 79

Subject

Reporting that the petitioner
Muthia Sahel Mohibhai is
not the person mentioned as
No: 28 in the list forwarded
with Mr. Winkler's letter
No: 90 dated 6th August 1894.
and informing that he has
no claims upon the bounty
of Government.

WITH ORDER (W.O.)

C.S.
msf

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A