

Political (1895) Department.

(1)
ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 372

Dated: 12th June 1895

INDEXED.

B.N. Am - 8

[Despatch Abstract.]

MEMORIAL

Informing P. Narayanasami Pillai of Travancore that Government declines to reconsider G.O., dated 19th March 1895, No 184, withholding his -- to the Secretary of State.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.

FURTHER PAPER.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.

பாடகாப்பு பிரதி-1

செய்யுளியைப் பெயர்: V. 2020

செய்யுளியைத் தக்க எடுத்துக் - 06/08
கொடுக்கப்பட்ட நாள் - 10/08

15.11.08

செய்யுளியை
முதல் முறை
கையொப்பம்

செய்யுளியை
2ம் முறை
கையொப்பம்

15.11.08
செய்யுளியை
கையொப்பம்

[G]
9
2,000-23-1-05.

741

DEPARTMENT.

From P. Narayana Pillay
Kundurichi District Antiquary
Tirumangal

H.E. Mr. G. G. G. G.
11 June

ORDER

The Govt. declines to

reconsider G.O. of 19.3.95. No

184. No issue will be taken for any further
C.S. petition on this subject
5-VI-95

Dated 25 May

Received 1 June 1895

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Praying that his memorial which has
been withheld may now be forwarded to
the authority concerned this Government
is advised.

Petitioner (A) 15/6/95

WITH ORDER

That His Excellency
The Governor in Council of Fort Saint
George and its Dependencies
of the Para mount power



The humble petition of P. Narayanaiah
Rundukrischi Saldar Ambalapalay

Reference. The Proceedings of the
Madras Government order No. 184.
dated the 19th March 1895 with- holding
a memorial addressed to the Secretary
of State for India.

Most Respectfully Sheweth.

That the memorial in question
appears to have been with- held under
a rule quoted in the said proceedings
of which both the Petitioner and the
forwarding authority - the British
Resident were fully aware, but a
consciousness that the said memorial
came more under the proviso to the rule
than under the rule itself, actuated the
Submission, and governed the forwarding
of the same by the Resident.

W 370
That the suspension of a Public Servant
by the ruler of a native State, in due proper
course, may not justify the interference
of the Paramount power to give redress.
But the infraction of existing Statute
laws, rules, and Regulations which had
been amply and vividly made out in the
Petition submitted, and the arbitrary action
of Government of the native state as if no
laws and rules existed with a view to do
and cause irreparable injury and loss
to a responsible long-standing Public
Servant, must be perceived to constitute
the gross mis-rule of the Proviso to the
rule quoted, which necessitates and justi-
fies the interference of the Paramount
power even in cases where such power
does not ordinarily meddle with the
internal policy of the native state.

That the clear existence of this
element in the case was originally
noticed by the British Resident whose
first reference to the Duran must
establish it beyond a ray of doubt.

It was to this effect: the Resident is
not very particular about the suspension

This is for
to decide
Ans

2/

6/3/72
And subsequent degradation complained
of, by Petitioner, but requests to know
if the law of the state had been violated
in the manner alleged.

That it is perfectly clear one
will not question ones own self as to
ones own infractions of law whether
that one is Government or a private
individual. Violation of existing laws
and rules with a view to substantially
injure Petitioner, is alleged to have been
made by the Travancore Government.

The question can not possibly
come from that Government itself.
It must most assuredly come from
some superior authority i.e. the
Paramount power. This is precisely
what is meant by the necessity of
British interference.

That the necessity for British
interference in this case having once
been perceived by the British Resident
and that interference having been fairly
commenced in the shape of a requisition
for a report by Dewan as to the

The violation of rules and laws alleged by Petitioner it is evident that such interference cannot stop until perfect conformity of his acts to the provisions of the law and rules alleged to be violated, had been made out by the Dewan.

Several objections were taken by Petitioner to the Dewan's Report and the same exhibited to be extremely unsatisfactory. Nothing short of a consciousness of the hollow, specious, and flimsy character of the Report would have induced the British Resident to forward to your Excellency his memorial addressed to the Secretary of State for India (Vide his letter No 546 dated the 1st March 1855)

That there is one other circumstance which must show to your Excellency's satisfaction that the native State of Travancore is one with the internal Policy of which it is customary for the Paramount Power occasionally to interfere. The legitimacy of such interference is admitted by the Travancore Government itself in Para II of its own notification No 5403 dated the

the 14th Muthnam 1033. This notification
constitutes the British Resident an
authority to hear appeal from the
decisions of the Dewar in revenue
matters. It has not been cancelled
or superseded by any enactment
of subsequent date. Hence the reason-
ableness of the prayer and the necessity
for the interference of the Paramount
Power in the matter of Petrs Complaint.
That the native state of Travancore
being one with the internal policy of
which it is admitted as afore said
Customary to interfere, and the action
of that state in contravention of
existing rules and regulations so
as to cause injury to Petrs Constitution
as it certainly does, the gross misuse
of the Proviso to the rule quoted in the
Proceedings, the Petrs most humbly
prays that the memorial withheld
be forwarded to the authority addressed
and that redress to real grievance
vividly made out in the memorial
be granted at an early date
For which act of Grace
Petitioners shall ever pray.
25th May 1895. P. Parakkal