Political (1895) Department.

(1)

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 341

Dated 24th May 1895.

INDEXED.

India No. 27

[Despatch Abstract.]

MAHARAJA OF VIZIANAGARAM.

Requesting the Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam, to convey to the --, in as polite terms as possible, the decision of the Government of India declining to sanction the inclusion in "Aitchinson's Treaties" the terms of a treaty supposed to have been concluded by the ancestors the Rajah with the British Government.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Departm

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Depa

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு–1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்: V. [illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்: 06/08 - 10/08

14/11/08
சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் பணியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

14/11/08
சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம் பணியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

[illegible]
உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

[G/9]
5,000—14-7-93.

Do. No. 286

2/341

DEPARTMENT.

From

A. Williams Esq

No.

Dated 23rd }
Received 29th } april 94.
Regd 30th }
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Regarding the treaty which the Maharaja of Vizianagaram refers to as concluded with the British Govt. by his ancestors & urges that it should be included in Aitchison's Treaties.

[illegible] for
papers.
13 [illegible]

D.O. No. 286 Foreign Office; 2/

3/341

Simla, the 23rd April, 1894.

UNDER SECRETARY * PUBLIC ETC DEPT * 20 APL 94

Dear Sir,

The Maharaja of Vizianagram recently mentioned to the Foreign Secretary that his ancestors had concluded a treaty with the British Government and urged that it ought to be included in Aitchison's Treaties. He added that his father wrote to Sir Charles Aitchison about its having been omitted and that Sir Charles promised to rectify the mistake in the next edition.

We do not exactly know to what treaty the Maharaja refers, nor can we find any

any allusion to it in Orme and Thornton to whose works the Maharaja referred us. It is however stated in the Sketch account of the Vizianagram Zamindary (pages 54-6 of the Manual of the Administration of the Madras Presidency, Vol: I) that Narayan Babu, the successor of the Vizianagram Raja who was killed in the battle of Padmanabham, received a "Kaul" from Lord Hobart, Governor of Madras. Perhaps this is what the Maharaja alludes to.

during
× 1794

To clear up the point finally, would you kindly let us know what document it is that the Maharaja has got, and

---

and also the circumstances under which it was given him.

Yours sincerely

A Williams.

4/341

To
The Hon'ble J. F. Price, C.S.I.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George,
Ootacamund.

5/

5/341

D.O. of 25 May 1894

Desp with Copy of
D/o C No 2[illegible]
28/5/94

D.O. No 212

My dear Wolfe Murray,

Will you kindly find out either from the Maharaja of Vizianagram or your records what document is referred to in Mr Williams' letter (copy enclosed). In the Govt. records the only paper traceable is a cowl granted to Narain Raja in August 1794 ~~in~~ ~~traceable~~

(marked A in file)

C.S.
22/5

J.S.
24/5/94

6 Vizianagram T.

CS

H. C.

~~Copy of D.O.~~ signed by C.S. is enclosed. Please have it desp^d with copy of Mr W's letter & then send ~~the~~ draft ~~to me~~ to Keep together with put up papers.

25/5

To be typed

[illegible]

25/5

Refce

Keep papers together

with me

25/5

[G / 9]
790—24-7-04.

D.O.

Current No. 478

6/341

DEPARTMENT.

From

A. Williams Esq:

No.

Dated 6th }
Received 12th } Aug^t. 1894
Regd 13th }
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Requesting to know when a reply to his D.O. of the 23rd April regarding Vizianagaram Treaties may be expected.

25/5/94 D O No 212

Desp^d 14/8

To. Telegram

Collector Vizagapatam

~~My dear Wolfe Murray.~~

387 x Please ~~let me have~~ send an early reply to ~~my~~ D.O. ~~of the~~ 25th May ~~last~~ regarding ~~the~~ Vizianagram Treaty. ~~The~~ Govt ~~of~~ India ~~has~~ sent ~~a~~ reminder

C.S.
13/8

14/8.

~~D.O. to Wolfe Murray~~ Esq ICS

D.O. No. 478

8

Foreign Office;

Simla, the 6th August 1894.

7/3271

UNDER SECRETARY, PUBLIC ETC DEPt
17 AUG 94

Dear Sir,

Will you kindly refer to my demi-official letter of the 23rd April last regarding a treaty said to have been concluded between the British Government and the ancestors of the Maharaja of Vizianagram, and let me know when a reply thereto

9

thereto may be expected.

Yours truly

A. Williams.

The Hon'ble
Mr. J. F. Price, C.S.I., C.S.,
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

10

[G/9]
1,000—5-3-94.

~~Current~~ D.O. No. 662

8/3241

DEPARTMENT.

From

H. Daly Esq:
Foreign Office
Simla

No.

Dated 2/11 }
Received 7/11 } 1894
Recd 8/11
Enclosures }

SUBJECT.

Enquiring whether a reply may now be sent to Mr. Williams's demi-official letter of the 23rd April 1894 regarding a treaty said to have been concluded between the British Govt and the Ancestors of the Raja of Vizianagram.

[illegible]

despd. 12/11

Telegram

To Collector, Vizagapatam,

577 Reference demiofficial 25th May and telegram 387 August from regarding Vizianagram Treaty & ~~Send~~ transmit very early reply & Govt. India Sent another reminder

CWS / MCS 10-11

12/11

Submit to CS after despatch of the telegram

Note for the CS

Perhaps D.O. might go to India saying the matter is under reference to the Cwr of Vizag that he has been directed to submit a very early reply

CS / 10-11

12/11

12/

Fort St George
14 November 1894

D.O. 662

11/

Foreign Office;

Simla, the 2nd of November 1894.

9/341

CHIEF SECRETARY
7 NOV. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dear Sir,

I am instructed to enquire whether you can now favour us with a reply to Mr. Williams' demi-official letter of the 23rd April 1894, regarding a treaty said to have been concluded between the British Government and the ancestors of the Maharaja of Vizianagram.

Yours truly

A. Daly

To
The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price, C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to the
Govt: of Madras

Ansr

12

C/10/341

Fort St George
14 November 1894

D.O. 425 d 14-11-94
14/11

Dear Mr. Cunningham,

~~Please~~ With refer ence to Mr Williams demi-official letter of the 23d April 1894 & the two subsequent reminders regarding the treaty said to have been ~~signed~~ concluded between the British Govt. and the ancestors of the Maharaja of Vizianagram. ~~I have~~ I write to say that the matter is under reference to the Collector of Vizagapatam who has been directed to submit a very early reply. ~~As soon as this is received I will write to you again~~

1?/11

C.S.
12/11

The Maharajah of Vizianagram

14/11 { 1894

[illegible] letter [illegible] pamphlet giving a true extract of the Treaty of Vizianagram [illegible]

Copied 14/11.

W.J. Cunningham Esq. C.S.I. despd 15/11/94

[illegible] 14/11

13

[G/9]
1,000—25-10-9

11/341

Current No. 1702

Pol Department.

From

The Maharajah
of Vizayanagarm

No.

Dated 19/12 } 1884
Received 19/12 }
Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Forwd. letter in a pamphlet form giving a true extract of the Treaty of Vizeanagarm & the circumstances that brought it about

Refd.
Please put up previous papers & pass on to Records

Records

Can you find the original of the treaty of 15 Novr 1758 & connected papers

14/

1702

12/341

To/.

The Honourable
Mr. John Frederick Price, C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to the Government of
Madras
Fort St. George

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
19. DEC. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that in accordance with the following telegram I received from F. D'A. O. Wolfe-Murray Esquire, Agent to the Governor at Vizagapatam, on the 26th of November 1894,

"Please give Treaty direct to Chief
"Secretary at Madras"

the accompanying answer to his previous communication, dated June 1st, 1894, in the shape of a pamphlet, will, I trust,

trust, give a true extract of the Treaty as found in the various histories quoted therein, along with a full and accurate account of the circumstances that brought about the said Treaty of Vizianagarum under date November 15th, 1758.

And my reasons for the application I made to the Foreign Secretary, the Hon'ble Mr. W. J. Cuningham C. S. I, are stated in paras 2, 3, and 4 of the second page, and in para 3 of page 40.

I regret the unavoidable delay owing to the length it has assumed on account of my anxiety to make a full representation of the relations that existed between the English Power and our Family, and of

the

the services rendered by my ancestors to the English cause in the middle of the last century, which services in importance, I believe, stand second to none, when compared with those of the other Indian Chiefs both past and present.

In conclusion I beg to say that if the Treaty, and the Summary of the Vizianagarum Family given on page 41, were to be included in the next edition of Aitchison's Treaties as represented in para 2 of page 6 without in the least marring the harmony of Aitchison's Treaties it would certainly add to the family pride based on their unswerving

loyalty

loyalty to the British Crown.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

Anandagajapatiraj
Maharajah of Vizianagarum

Admiralty House
Madras
December 19, 1894

Fort St George
21st Jany 1895

1/18
344

D.O. No 27
18/1.
X 19/1.

W
16 Jan

In D.O. 212

My dear Sir,

With reference to Mr. Williams' D.O. of the + 23rd April last regarding a treaty said to have been concluded between the British Govt. and the ancestors of the Maharaja of Vizianagram, I am directed to state that "no" such treaty is forthcoming among the records here. I am, however, to forward copy of a letter and pamphlet on the subject received from the Maharaja.

The treaty of Novr: 1758 referred to in the pamphlet cannot be traced in the records but Mr. Andrews' + letter of the 14 Decr 1758 (copy enclosed) refers to the adjustment of certain matters between Colonel Forde and the then Raja.

A copy of the cowle ⊕ of 1794 granted to Narain Raja is also ~~of~~ enclosed

C.S.
12/1/95

Note

It is understood that the G of I. does not require the opinion of this Govt. as to whether the Vizianagram family should be allotted a separate notice in Aitchison. W. W.

Attention is solicited to the photograph at page 7 of the Maharaja's pamphlet. It appears to be one of an English painting

C.S.

+ marked x in the vol

⊕ marked A

with a pamphlet & copies of letters & cowle
21/1/95

5 X 15/341 Examined & returned to D. IW 19/4/3/25

S. A. wishes copies of all the letters marked with slips in the volumes of 1758 & 1759 made sharp. They are to go to the Govt of India & will have to be neatly written

WS
16/2/25

copied BR
18/2

20

R.O 16/341

The S.a says that a further search sh^d. be made to-day + the result reported to him to-morrow morning.

C.a
14/2

Please see places marked in the Prot Vols. fol 58 & 59 probably no further papers can be traced [illegible]
15

[G/9]
2,000—23-1-95.

~~Current~~ D.O. No. 98.

17/341

DEPARTMENT.

From

A. Williams Esq.

No.

Dated 6.2.95

Received 10/2
Regd 11/2
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Reqg to be furnished with copies of the answers, if any, of the Madras Govt to Mr Andrew's letter dd 14/12/1758 & other connected correspondence re: the alleged Vizianagaram treaty of 1758.

---

2) D.O. 27 d 15-1-95 –
D.O. 425 d 14-11-94
D.O. 212 d 25-5-94

copd 6/3 · 7·3·

C.S.

D.O. No. 128

Dear Mr Cuningham,

With reference to Mr Will ~~D.O.~~ letter of the 6th ultimo regard the alleged Vizianagaram Treat 1758 I am to enclose copies of the following letters

1) Letters from Mr Andrews dated 16th & 19th Decr 1758 and 5th and 6th Jany 1759

2) Letters to Mr Andrews dat 1st (replies to above letters & to that dated 14) and 24th March and 20 and 21st April 1759

3) Letters from Mr Andre dated 4 and 16th March 175

~~No further papers on the subje can be traced~~

7/3/95

C.S.
5/3/95

W. J. Cuningham Esq C.S.I.
with 3 Encls.
desptd 7/3/95

"D.O. No 98.

W

Foreign Office,
Calcutta, the 6th February 1895.

18/341

UNDER SECRETARY PUBLIC [illegible]

Dear Sir,

Please refer to Mr. Gibson's demi-official letter of the 21st January 1895 about the alleged Vizianagram treaty of 1758.

Mr. Andrews in his letter of the 14th December 1758 expressed the hope that the terms which he had arranged with the then Raja would be approved by the Madras Government, and we should be glad to know what answer, if any,

was

was sent to him. Will you Therefore kindly furnish us with copies of the answer and of any other connected correspondence relevant to the alleged treaty.

Yours sincerely
A Williams

To
The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price, C.S.I.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of Madras.

4305

[G / 9]
2,000—23-1-95.

D.O. 7-3-95, No. 128.
" 15-1-95, No. 27.
" 14-11-94, No. 425.
" 25-5-94, No. 212.

24

Current No. 657 19/341

Pol. DEPARTMENT.

From

The Govt. of India,
Foreign Deptt.

No. 1505 I

Dated 10th }
Received 16th } May 1895.
Regd. 17th }
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Declining to accede to the wishes of H.H. the Maharaja of Vizianagram re a treaty between H.H. the Maharaja's ancestors & British Govt.

ORDER

341

H.E. the Governor

Govt. 24th May 1895 No. 341

W 23 May

The Agent to the Gov., Vizagapatam, will convey ~~the~~ the decision of the G. of I. to ~~[illegible]~~ the Maharaja of Vizianagram in as polite terms as possible.

[illegible]
20/5/95

C.S.
18.5.95

Agent Vizagapatam (1

DESPATCHED
31 MAY 95
IN M.S

Office: Stitch all the D.O.s in the file with the G.O. removing them dockets

[illegible]
18/5.

ORDER

so called treaties

~~25~~ 657

No. 1505 I.

From

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of India

To 29/341

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George

Foreign Department }

Dated Simla, the 10th May 1895

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS * CHIEF SECRETARIAT
16 MAY 1895

Sir,

During his visits to Calcutta in 1894 and 1895, the Maharaja of Vizianagram represented that his ancestors had concluded a treaty with the British Government, and urged that it should have been included in "Aitchison's Treaties". He stated that his father wrote to Sir Charles Aitchison concerning the omission, and that Sir Charles promised to rectify the mistake in the next edition of the work.

2. No correspondence on the subject between the late Maharaja and Sir Charles Aitchison has been traced; but from an historical # sketch subsequently received from the Maharaja, it appears that what he wishes to see included in Aitchison's Treaties is the so called treaty [illegible]

"Vizianagram Treaty of November "15th, 1758 and the end of the fifteen "years war between the English "and the French for the "Sovereignty of India, from 1744 to 1759 A.D. "with a sketch account of some of the "noteworthy facts connected with the "Vizianagram family."

15th November, 1758, which was concluded between the then Raja and Mr. John Andrews, Chief of the factory at Madapolam. The terms of this 'treaty', or 'Kaulnama', are recorded at pages 1 and 2 of the Maharaja's compilation, and correspond in substance with the arrangements which Mr. Andrews reported in his letter to the Madras Government dated the 14th December, 1758, "hoping that they would prove to the satisfaction" of His Honour the Governor.

3. There is, however, nothing on record to indicate that these arrangements were ratified or even approved. In these circumstances, the Government of India are unable to accede to the Maharaja's wishes, and I am to request that this decision may be politely conveyed to him.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. Daly.

offg: Deputy Secretary to the
Government of India