

Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 336

Dated 23rd May 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

LAL BIK, KHAN SARUP, LATE SUB-MAGISTRATE, RAJAGIRI

Resolving to present -- with an ornamental badge for the turban in consideration of his services in the maliah tracts of the Ganjam district, and directing the Agent to the Governor there to arrange for procuring such a badge and for having a suitable inscription engraved thereon at a cost not exceeding Rs.20.

19 - 23
with
G.O.

FURTHER PAPERS

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு - 1

செப்பனிருபவர் பெயர்: V. ராமன்
 செப்பனிடத்தைக்காக எடுத்த - 06/08
 கொள்முதப்பட்ட நாள்: 10/08

25.4.11.08
சுதிரா நீர்நிலை
முதல் முதிபாள
கையொப்பம்

சுரீபார்க்கும்
2ம் முதுபாள
உதயொப்பம்

சுருதி
உலியாளர்
தொடர்பு

(1895) DEPARTMENT.

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.

Subject.

Petition from Lal Beg Sahib,
Khan Sahib, Retired Deputy
Tahsildar, Russelkondah.

DATED 12 January 1895.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to
Government for disposal. Please circulate
to H.E. The Governor.

(By Order.)

C. MacCusker

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Gwalior, 21 January 1895.



Sir,

I am acknowledging receipt of your Confidential Memorandum of the 30th Ultimo asking me to state whether I would like to have a golden bangle of Rs 200/- in lieu of a medal which you say cannot be got, I beg respectfully to inform you that I being a Mahomedan, caste and custom are repugnant to the use of a bangle, cannot accept it.

As the Government is inclined to favour me with a permanent signal of its in token of the Sanad granted to me conferring on me the title of Khan Sahib I beg to represent for your benign consideration that the Khillat intended to be given me, may be in the fashion of a Pagidi Turayi with necessary inscription thereon, the use of which on my Pagidi (Turban) will

will not only be not repugnant to my
caste and custom but also indicate
my sublime loyalty both to Her Majesty's
Govt of India and to you as its
representative in this District.

3/2/95 This is the only suitable fashion
suggested to me and I trust you
will be pleased to recommend
the grant thereof to me.

Russell Road I beg to remain
3rd May 1895 Sir
Your most obedt. servant

W. B. Foxcroft

To
L. J. Weir Esq.
Agent to the Governor of Madras
Gaujan

Most Honored Sir

I, Leal Beg Sahib Khan Sahib
Pursued Deputy Tahsildar of Ra-
-giri, beg most humbly & respectfully
to bring the following few lines to your
honour's kind consideration.

My service in the Makhals to the end
of 14th May 1891 was 37 years 3 months
& 14 days, of which deducting 4 years
service for under age, for the remaining
33 years & odd I was granted half
pension without a medical Certificate.
During the period of my above service
I was engaged in quelling 11 differ-
ent rebellions, in which I worked
hard and even at the risk of my life
for which I received promotions
rewards & characters from the author-
-ties. Had my service book been
present now it would have con-
-vinced your honour as to the meri-
-torious service I rendered to
the Government during my service.
At the time of recommending
me for the title of Khan Sahib

M

Mr. Johnson, the then Agent to the Governor, took from me all the characters &c. I bore and were not returned to me. On his recommendation only I was favored by the Government with the title of Khan Sahib & in proof of decorating me with the above title I was granted a Samad.

Considering the trouble & pains
I took in the hills during the rebellions,
notwithstanding the multifarious
difficulties attendant upon one
serving in the malarious tracts,
the granting of a Samud bearing
the title of Khaw Sahib is, in my
humble opinion, not complete
without a Medal.

I have already represented this
to your house three in person.

Further I beg to submit that in
October last when His Excellency
the Governor of Madras visited
this town, I presented this
matter personally to his Lordship
& solicited his Lordship to grant
me a medal in Commemoration
of

of the letter favoured me. His Lordship
was pleased to say that he would
consider the matter on his arrival
at Madras.

236 I, therefore most-humbly &
respectfully solicit that you
have with the gracious enough
to move the Government to favor
me a medal in honor of the title
already granted me and thus
to me justice.

For which act of kindness
I shall be indebted to you
May. They remain

May.

Russell's

12 January 1957

I beg to remain
Your most devoted servant

Russell's 12th January 1959

6/336

* Addressed to the Agent, Ganyam no 89 *For Orders.

a history of the petitioner's services will be found in the Agent's letter under cover of fo 9 10 June 1892, no 359.

Attention is invited to fo 9 28 10-9 no 2837 (abstract put up—original not being available) in which the services of certain persons in connection with the snoplah outbreak of 1894 were rewarded by the presentation of gold bangles &c.

To reward Lal Beg Khan Sahib similarly or with a medal, for taking part in putting down the disturbances in the Khond & other Malialis between 1864-1880 appears to be rather late in the day.

The petitioner was granted the title of Khan Sahib in January 1893.

The main service is put in there is any record of them appears to have been sufficiently recognized by the grant of a title.

357/95

The point might be referred I.O. to the Foreign Dept. I believe it is generally felt by those who are distinguished by the grant of a title that it would be immensely more valuable if it were accompanied by the simultaneous award of some outward & visible mark such as a medal.

CB. 30/1/95

31 Jan.

Roll. 24,

Dear Mr. Cunningham,

Yours Sincerely

(From order)

4/2/95

CONFIDENTIAL No. 73

Poll DEPARTMENT.

42

From

W. J. Cunningham Esq. C.S.I.

No.

Dated 6

Received 11

Repd 12

Enclosures

March 95

SUBJECT.

Regarding Khan Sahib Lal Begs
request to be granted a medal in
honour of the title conferred on him
in 1893.

For orders whether the
Collector of Ganjam may be directed
to enquire of Khan Sahib Lal Beg
whether in the event of the issue
of the presentation to him of a
khillat he would be prepared to
return a nazar equivalent in
value to the khillat.

12/3/95

12/3/95

I think this gentleman fully
deserves some such reward as
as a khillat - and I would go
so far as on this occasion to forego
demanding a nazar equivalent
thereto - wh. I believe may be done
as a special case.

My only doubt is what form the
khillat should take. I would
ask the Collector to make enquiry
of the Khan Sahib what he
would like - he cannot have a
medal - telling him what the
custom is as to the nazar - but
hinting that perhaps Govt. might
as a special case exempt him
from returning the equivalent
But if we do this, we must find
the money - and the hon. Mr. P. L.
S. therefore see this.

Lal Beg is a fine fellow.

C. 12/3
R. 15/5



Confidential

Confidential No. 73

Calcutta
The 6th March 1895.



9
336

Dear Mr. Price

I have received your demi-official letter dated the 6th January 1895, regarding Khan Sahib Lal Beg's request to be granted a medal in honour of the title conferred on him in 1893.

The principle involved has been fully considered by the Government of India, who have held that there is no objection to the grant of suitable

Khillats to recipients of native titles
in cases where the Local Government
or Administration desire to make such
a presentation. But the Governor -
General in Council thinks it preferable
that a medal should not form part
of the Khillat, as in several instances
where a medal was given, the result
has not been altogether satisfactory.

If Khillats are given, nazars'
equivalent in value to the Khillats
should, as an ordinary rule, be re-
covered from the grantees.

Yours sincerely

H. Cunningham

The Honble Mr. J. F. Price,
C. S. I.
re

Copy 46. 10/26/55 S.A.
NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

No Khillat seems more
high given before: Nazars
have been received only
on "successors of Native
States": eg. Rs 500 paid
year by two Zamindars of
Kalehasti - Akrop & Shupur
under II Subdivision from Native
States -

The charge for an acc.
of the Khillat is apparently
Rs under 25 Political
Division presents - Imperial.

The A.G. has written to
asked?

AB 15/3/55.

11
336

con. No. 73.

Geo. 18/5/3

no. 9253.95

My dear Rawlins,

A native ^{person} on whom a title was conferred in 1893 prayed that he might be awarded a medal in honour of the title already granted. The matter was referred ^{formally} ~~semi-officially~~ to the Foreign Dept. of the Govt. of India which whilst deprecating the bestowal of a medal stated that there would be no objection to the presentation of a suitable 'Khillat' if this Govt. desired to do so and observed that if a 'Khillat' were given, a 'nazar' equivalent in value to the 'Khillat' should, as an ordinary rule, be recovered from the grantee.

'Nazars' are apparently credited to ~~the~~ Tributes from Native States - Imperial and the 'Khillat' would I understand be debited to 25 Political Barbaric presents - Imperial.

Will you please let me know whether there would be any objection to this Govt. treating the case ^{as} ~~all~~ as a special one and foregoing the ^{rule} ~~ration~~ of the 'no

gar' equivalent in value to the
Mat' presented.

22/3/95

Khan Sahib Lal Beg

[G
10]
500-10-4-94

CONFIDENTIAL No. 96

Poll DEPARTMENT.

12
336

From

Acett. General

No.

Dated 26

Received 26

Enclosures

March 1895

SUBJECT.

Re: presentation of a Khillet
to Khan Sahib Lal Beg.

Honble Mr. Bliss C.S.

Submitted to the Honble
Member with reference to His
Excellency's note of the 14th instant marked
with slip.

29/3/95

See no objection to the course
suggested by H.E. - Duffon
gold bangle worth (say) Rs 150 w?
Duffon - or perhaps, as gold
is so dear, we might say Rs 200

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
6 APR. 1895

Submitted to H.E. the Govt with
reference to the note of the
Honble Mr. Bliss

3/4/95

I w. ask the Collector to ascertain
from Lal Beg whether he would like
a bangle or what particular form
he w. wish this nugget to take. He
may have some fancy in the matter -
if so - & we can gratify it - all the
better. He must understand that
it can not be a medal.

Sd/-

Conf. No: 96.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,
FORGE ST. GEORGE,

26th of March 1895.

My dear Sir,
Yours Confidential
D.O. of the 26th

I should say that the
Govt. was perfectly compe-
tent to dispense with the
return for hazars in cases
where they chose to treat
an extraordinary - but the
question is hardly one for
me to answer. for my office
never has check upon the
receipts of hazars. and
accepts the sanction of the
Govt. as sufficient for the
cost for Khulak under 25
Polehead. Yr. friend,
J. L. L.

14
336

50

My dear Mr. Shipley,

The Govt. has decided to present Khan Sahib Lal Beg, vide his letter enclosed (which please return), with a Khillat the demand for the corresponding nazar being waived. I am to ask you to ascertain and report what particular form he would wish this Khillat to take. He cannot be granted a medal but perhaps a gold bangle worth about Rs 200 would please him.

Yours sincerely,

CB.
18/4/95

[From original]
18/4/95

R. H. Shipley Esq. Secy.
(with Mr. 84)

18/4
19/4

CONFIDENTIAL No. 169

[$\frac{G}{10}$]

DEPARTMENT.

526:9.3.35.

Com 9/192

143:14.6.92

2837:25.11.94

465:9.5.77

Do

15
336

From

R. H. Shipley Esq.

No.

Dated 4

Received 12

} May 1895

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating the form in which the
proposed Khillat would be accept-
able to Lal Beg.

40-336

1-1-79

16/3/95

Chhatrapur

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
10 MAY 1895

My dear Mr Price,

In re dat

Ref Sahib Khan Sahib, & his
request for a medal, as Khilafat.
I have written to him, and told
him he could not have a medal,
but offered him a bangle.

In his answer (enclosed) he
says he cannot accept the
bangle, as it is contrary to his

(^{ashgum} ~~ashgum~~)
create ^{ashgum} & custom ~~to~~ with
such an ornament -
He begs that the present may
take the shape of a Pagidi
Turazi, or ornamental
badge worn in the turban, &
that a fitting inscription be
engraved on it.

This seems to me a suit-
able present, and I await
Government's orders on the

subject of the Pagidi Turazi, &
the inscription on it - and
whether I should have it made
locally, or it would be sent
from Madras -

Yours sincerely

A. H. Shipley

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

Again G.O., dated

Current No. 89

189 , No.

189 , No.

of 1895, dated 12 Jan. from *Lat Beg Sahib Khan Sahib*
[& Co. Nos. 73, 96 & 169]

Current No.

of 189 , dated

from

ORDER. 33 May 1895. No. 336.

The Government has resolved to present ~~to~~ *Lat Beg Sahib Khan Sahib*, late Sub Magistrate, Ramagiri, with ~~an~~ *an* ornamental badge for the turban in consideration of his ~~long~~ *long* loyal services in the Malak Tracts of the Sanjam District, during which he ~~has~~ *has* assisted in quelling ~~the~~ *the* ~~various~~ *various* other disturbances.

2. The Agent to the Governor, Sanjam will arrange for the badge to be procured and a suitable inscription being engraved on it, and report his action to Government for approval.

For Order.
Rs 200 for a badge appear to be
as little as 100
C.S.
I submit that this is not a matter regarding which Govt. should be particular to be a few rupees and that Rs 200 is not too much for the ornaments
17/5
19 May
procuring such a badge and for a suitable inscription being engraved on it at a cost not exceeding Rs 200
17/5/95

Agent to the Governor, Sanjam (P)
30 May 95
16.5.95