

Political (1895) Department.

①
ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 248

Dated 19th Apr. 1895

INDEXED.

Box 17

[Despatch Abstract.]

MUHAMMAD ASAD-ULLA SAHIB JEDDI

Informing -- that his request for the grant of some compassionate allowance out of the lapsed stipend of his father Raza Husain Sahib Jaddi, a Carnatic Stipendiary, is inadmissible.

89. 353 of 12.7.81 is put up. Mah?
Aradullah Hussain Joddi therein mentioned
is not identical with the present pet.
He is the son of one Budmudin Hussain
Khan (brother of Pateh Begum
refd to in 80. 121 & 27.3.58),
who was in receipt of a pension
of Rs 77 & who died in 1868.
But the pet. is the son of Kaze
Hussain who died in 1856
& who was in receipt of a
pension of 30 Rs under E.M.C.
94. 3. 85.

2/3.

3/248
The Paymaster of the Carnatic Stipend
Chennai. Madras

The humble petition of Muhammad
Asomulla Saib Son of the late
Ruzat Hussain Khan Saib Son of a
Carnatic Stipendiary.

Most Respectfully Sheweth.

That in obedience to the Accompanying
order of Govt. No 616 of 1.9.94 (take letter) of an petition
begs to submit the following for favorable consideration

That Petitioner's father was in receipt
of a pension of Rs 30 per mensem from your Office. On
his demise the stipend has lapsed to Govt. pet.
making no effort for a continuation thereof, as
at the time he was perfectly able to work for his
bread and as such he preferred to rely more on
his own exertions than to hang on to the State
aid. Now that he is advanced in years,
the circumstances of his infirmity and description
showing the loss by premature death of his
only 2 sons (grown up) have rendered him
completely desperate and compell him to seek the
help of Govt. to which he is fairly entitled.

That as Govt. will not hear
petitioner unless it shall be

has just applied to the local authority, petition
ventures to submit this petition to you in view
to your recommending him for a continuance
of his father's pension as was done in
Cases similarly Circumstances —

For which petition shall
As in duty bound ever pray —

Yours
21.9.96 }
/Signed/ Muhammad Asadullah Khan

True Copy

22/9/96

Signed Muhammad Asadullah Khan

to mention that on the demise of the late
Nuruddin Hussain Khan Saib Tudee brother
of your petitioner's father a continuation
out of his pension of Rs 20 has been
sanctioned to each of his 2 sons
and 2 widows and a daughter.

7. That under the foregoing circum-
stances petitioner begs that your
Excellency in Council will be pleased
to consider his case favorably and
grant him the redress sought for.
For which he shall as in duty bound

(Signed) Mah. Asadulla Saib
Tudee.

Political Dept.

C. N. 41

RECD. 6-2-95

Endorsement of 5. 2. 95 No. 221

Referred to the Paymaster,
Carnatic Stipends, for full
report.

C/S
24/2/95

Indra Mohan
Chief Secretary

sub. g.

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY

(1895)
No. 95 of 1895

W.A.

buff
6. 2. 95

To mention that on the demise of the late Chowdhury
Hussain Khan said Judder brother of your petitioner's
father a continuation out of his pension of Rs. 20 has
been sanctioned to each of his 2 sons and 2 widows and
a daughter.

That under the foregoing circumstances
petitioner begs that your Excellency in Council will be pleased
to consider his case favorably and grant him the redress
sought for. For which he shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Signature / Muhammad Aslamullah said for

Please Address Petitioner

C/o of Muhammad Moniruddin said
residing in No. 56, Neelachal Street,
Chupank. District Aligarh U.P.

Memo
of 11/1/95

Pall

80:616:1-7-94 2d
 80:607:13-10-91 11
 80:205/85 912351 912351
 7/7/87 912466
 4/12/88 912000
 7/9/85 912627
 Petition dated 27 11/4/81 - Pall.

Post Dept.

From

Mahomed Asoodulla
 Sahib Judda { Ed. d. 5. 2-95 no. 221

Referred to the Paymaster
 Carnatic Shipends, for
 full report.

Signed J. F. P. P. P.
 Chief Secretary

Decid 11-1-95
 Recd 16-1-95
 Recd 15-1-95
 Encls. 3
 Subject

Regul. to grant him
 some pension

To the P. & S. dated 5/2/95

20
 Receipt 80
 80 433
 26-6-82
 197
 18-7-82
 17/10/82

241

Political (1895) Department.

7
 244
 248

From
 The Paymaster
 Carnatic Shipends
 chefrak.

The Chief Secretary
 to Government
 Fort St. George.

CHIEF SECRETARY
 20 FEB 1895
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 20
 Recd. 20 } February 1895.
 Recd 21

Ref. file appears to be
 altogether incomplete.
 Cf 25/2/95

No. 88 of 1895
 and Enclosure. C No. 61/95

Reports on the petition of
 Mohammad Asad-ulla Sahib
 Jeddah and states that as he is
 living in H. H. the Nizam's dominions
 it is difficult to ascertain his exact
 condition and circumstances in
 life.

20
 Information that the Nizam's
 Government has been asked to
 provide the state in the
 Nizam's dominions.

No. 88 of 1895.

241
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Office, Chepauk 20th February 1897

From,

8
248
The Paymaster Carnatic Stipends,
Chepauk.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

* Herewith returned.

221 dated 5th February 1895 on Petition Current No. 41
of Muhammad Abd-ulla Sahib Jeddi, I have
the honor to state that petitioner's father Raza Husain
Sahib Jeddi was drawing a monthly stipend of Rs 30,
under Extracts Minutes of Consultation dated 4th
March 1825, and died on the 16th of September 1856.
His stipend lapsed to State in "toto" on the grounds
that he was not in any way connected with the late
Nawab Walajah of the Carnatic, but was merely a
collateral relation of his "nickah" widow Padshah
Begam (i.e.) a grandson of her brother.

x not reported to
Govt.

uncle?

2. Petitioner states that he has been since
reduced to indigent circumstances by the prema-
-ture death of his two grown up sons, and cites the
case of his uncle disposed of by G.O. Nos. 8834 & 72
dated 11th December 1885 and 11th February 1890. Petitioner
These cases can hardly be considered parallel as they
were

those in which the parties concerned (residing
 Madras) were granted shipends on strictly com-
 passionate grounds after a comparatively very short
 lapse of time, after the death of their father. The claims
 the petitioner now under report were (as shown) -
 originally mit, he has thought fit to reside for years
 in a foreign Native State, it seems to me too
 late now after a lapse of 39 years to entertain his
 case, and it would be a bad precedent. From local
 inquiries (not always reliable) it appears the peti-
 tioner is an old man of 60 years of age, in indigent
 circumstances, but as he is living in H. S. the Nizam's
 dominions, it is difficult to ascertain his exact
 condition and circumstances in life.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

H. S. Stewart Esq. Colonel

Paymaster Carnatic Shipends

Chepak

info.

CURRENT NO. 287

Old

9 248
948

From

Mr. Asimullah Khan

Date. 20. 2. 95

Recd. 3. 3. 95

Recd. 4. 3. 95

Subject

Regn. that the lapse
 of time, in his case
 may not be considered
 a bar to his claim


L.

2178

12

The Excellency the Right Honorable
The Governor in Council
Fort St. George

The humble Petition of Muhammad
Asorodulla Saib Judda Son of the
Ruzza Hussain Khan Saib Judda
a Carnatic Stipendiary
30 per Mensem.



Most respectfully sheweth

1 - That in the middle of January
your poor petitioner submitted a Memorial to
H. H. the Council praying, under the Circumstances
therein stated, for a Continuation of his deceased
father's Stipend, a favorable decision thereon is now
awaited.

2 - That petitioner's Circumstances
growing more straitened in a foreign land (H)
where, owing to his old age and infirmity, the
of getting a livelihood are too small.

3 - That it would not be out of
to mention that until recently that your poor
petitioner was getting a paltry help from his
brother in Madras (An auditor in the Muz. and

but owing to his premature retirement from the service,
on account of his ill health, even that help has ceased.

4. That the case of Petitioner's Cousins
quoted as precedent in his previous petition is strictly
analogous to that of your peti. with this difference
that the former were young men whereas the latter
is too infirm and also the question therefore of your
former petitioner's eligibility or otherwise for the stipend
claim, is one which deserves your Excellency's lenient
and just consideration.

5. That in Conclusion petitioner
most respectfully begs to submit that the lapse of
time in his case, will not, it is hoped, be considered
unreasonable. As his claim is far from being unreasonable.
The principle which guided the policy of your
Majesty in the disposal of the cases of Barkat Hussain
and Anwar Beg. refers to in petitioner's former petition
it be kept in view when arriving at a decision
your humble petitioner's case under consideration.

6. For which petitioner shall as in
to bind ever pray.

1/Signs / Muhammad Hussain
Muhammad Hussain

C. No 41 241 + 289

Mem d/8. 3. 95 No. 510.

The Paymaster is requested
to report more fully on the case
Raza Husain Sahib Teddi
~~not mentioned in E. No. 8 of~~
~~March 1825~~. The circumstances
under which Raza Husain Sahib
Teddi was granted a pension should
be ~~not~~ stated the history
of his pension traced from the
original grant. It should also
be shown how the petitioner is
connected with the stipendiaries
whose cases were dealt with in

Rs 888 & 72
11.12.85 11.2.90.

Rs 673/9.5

Rs 613/9.5

Paymaster, Carnate, Hyderabad, 8/3/85
For CB

I have spent about 1 1/2 hours
trying to get at the history of
this case but can't make any
way

C.

363
No. 127 of 1895. 12/28/95 Paymaster Carnatic Shpendo
Office Chepak 14th March 1896

From

The Paymaster Carnatic Shpendo
Chepak.

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No. 510
dated 8th March 1895 "Political" on petition Current No. 289
I have the honor to submit the following report:-

2. Padshah Begam was granted by Lord (Glasgow)
Minute of 29th September 1801, an annual Shpendo Rs 500/-
as one of the "niskah" widows of Nawab Watajah of the
Carnatic. She died and up to her demise she declined
to receive the above allowance, and consequently the
arrears of her pension were paid to the 6 grandsons of her
paternal uncle. vide paras 5 and 8 of the then Govern-
ment Agent's letter to the Chief Secretary to Government
dated 18th December 1824.

3. Her allowance was continued in full viz:-
Rs 416-10-8 per mensem in the names of the 2 of the above
6 grandsons of her paternal uncle (as the head of the family)
named Buzdar Ansain Khan and Zahur-ud-din Ansain
Khan vide para 5 of the above quoted letter.

Herewith returned
with enclosures.

4/3/25

4. Two of the grandsons of the said lady's paternal uncle are Said Ansain Khan and Lamm-nd-din Ansain Khan, the former of whom is grandfather of the petitioner and the latter grandfather of Raza Ansain jeddi and Muhammad Zain-nd-abidin jeddi, whose cases were disposed of by G. O. Nos. 883 & 72 dated 11th December 1885 and 11th February 1890 "Political" respectively and whose cases the petitioner quotes as precedents.

5. Petitioner's father Raza Ansain Khan was drawing a stipend of Rs 30/- as will be seen by para 9 of the Government agent's letter dated 26th September 1848. He died in September 1856. By E. M. O. No. 7, dated 4th January 1859, a sum of Rs 10/- was continued to his widow (petitioner's mother) Fatima Begum as a subsistence allowance on account of his destitute circumstances who commuted the same by G. O. No. 306 dated 29th September 1871.

6. The circumstances under which Raza Ansain Sahib jeddi was granted a stipend of Rs 30/- per mensem are clearly stated in para 12. of the Government agent's letter No. 2028 dated 18th December 1858. I beg here to state E. M. O. dated 4th March 1825 was the authority for his drawing a stipend Rs 30/- per mensem as a son of a brother (Said Ansain Khan) of Lamm-nd-din Ansain Khan who was drawing a stipend of Rs 216/- as head of the family for the support of himself and his brothers and sisters.

7. In conclusion I beg respectfully to state that it seems to me the petitioner has no other grounds to claim a pension upon than his poverty and the present indigent circumstances to which he is reduced by the premature death of his only two grown up sons, un-

-happy

-happy conditions which many unfortunate persons could plead as grounds for consideration

13
2/1/88

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

your most obedient servant

J. H. Thier-art: Agent
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chapat

Supd

4. M. C. 100/100/100 467/100
 4/1/59 210
 510 29/4/77 210 306

363
 Political (1895) Department.

From
 The Paymaster
 Carnatic Stipends
 Chepak

To,
 The Chief Secretary
 to Government
 Fort St. George.

Dated 14th 2
 Recd 14
 Regd 15
 14 MAR 1895
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No. 127 of 1895.
 2. Enclosures No. 41 + 289
 210


Reporting fully on the case of
 Muhammad Asadulla Sahib Jeddi
 as requested in Memo. No. 510 dated
 8th March 1895 "Political"

7.11.1895

114
 2148
 2148

Political Deptt.
 Cnos 41, 241, 289 + 363.

For orders.
 Muhammad Asad-ulla Sahib
 Jeddi prays for an allowance out
 of the lapsed stipend of Rs 30 of
 his father Raza Husain Sahib Jeddi.
 The following tree shows the petitioner's
 relationship to the original grantee.



Padshah Begam was granted an
 annual stipend of Rs. 5000 as one of the
 Nikali widows of Nawab Walajah
 and up to her death she refused to
 receive this allowance in the hope
 of obtaining a Jaghir which she
 claimed. On her demise this pension
 was granted to her grand-nephew.
 On the death of this grand-nephew
 the

the stipend was apportioned amongst the various members of his ^{family} relatives amongst whom was petitioner's father Raja Musam Sahib Joddi whose share amounted to Rs. 30 per mensem. Raja Musam died in 1856, and his pension lapsed to the state. In 1858, however, his widow, Fatima Begam, was on account of her poverty awarded a subsistence allowance of Rs. 10 per mensem (80. 94. 59, No. 7). The petitioner represents that he is ^{now} in very destitute circumstances, that he is advanced in age and that his only two sons (grown up) died ^{premature} death. His claims to a pension were negatived in 1858 on the ground of his being able to earn his livelihood - 80. 87. 27. 3. 58, No. 221 - (marked with tape in volume). ~~He now~~

The petitioner, moreover states that the following pensions were granted to the heirs of his uncle Nur-ud-din Musam Shah Kham Sahib Joddi who was in receipt of a pension of Rs. 22-4-5:-
Two widows - each Rs. 2.

one daughter - Rs. 2 (G.D. $\frac{260}{7.9.89}$)

Two sons - Rs. 5 each (80. $\frac{883}{11.12.85}$ & $\frac{72}{11.2.90}$)

15
218

The two sons of Nur-ud-din Kham Sahib Joddi were granted compassionate allowances because they were physically unfit to earn their livelihood. The petitioner is apparently reduced to a similar state. He lives in the Nizam's dominions and from local enquiries instituted by Colonel Stewart he appears to be 60 years of age and in indigent circumstances. The Paymaster adds that it is difficult to ascertain his exact condition and circumstances in life.

If it is thought that the petitioner is deserving of compassion the Paymaster might, it is submitted, be requested to state what he considers as a suitable allowance.

There appears to be no reason for treating the petitioner differently from his cousin.

(Petitioner's uncle) Nur-ud-din Musam was in receipt of Rs. 22. 4. 5 per mensem. Of this Rs. 16 has been continued in the shape of compassionate grants to his family.

Raja Musam Sahib Joddi (Petitioner's father) was in receipt of Rs. 30.

Muhammad Asad-
ulahi Sahib, Jeddah

Col. Stewart gives us good reasons for rejecting the petition. Summarily except that it is somewhat late in the day &

he does not appear to have gone into the case as thoroughly as he might have.

If it is considered that the Petitioner might be given a compassionate grant - say Rs 5 per mensem. The Resident in Hyderabad might be first asked to report & enquire into his circumstances in order to ensure that the plea of poverty is bona fide

C.B.
 19.3.95
 I would ~~have~~ before submitting
 this for orders have made enquire
 of the Residents as to pet's conditions.
 And it has been that following
 the course might raise in him
 hopes of getting something where
 there was no chance of this.
 The pet. has no grounds for
 his claim beyond mere compassion

LD
3/4/95

I do not think we should re-open this question. The man himself has absolutely no claim, and to grant him a pension now would cause all these poor people to consider that there is really no finality in these orders, & that there is no meaning in the words "to lapse absolutely".

W
Bapt

$$\left[\frac{G_1}{24} \right]$$

Political DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 . No.

Current No. 41

of 1895, dated 11th Jan'y from Muhammad Asad-ulla
 Sahib Joddi
 " D 20th Feb'y from the Paymaster Carnatic Stipend
 " D " " " " - Muk^d Asad-ulla Sahib Joddi
 of 1895, dated 14th March from Paymaster Carnatic Stipend

Current No, 363

of 1895, dated 14 March from Paymaster Larnatic Stephens

ORDER. 19 April 1895. N^o 248.

The petitioner's ^{request is inadmissible} ~~request is inadmissible~~
has informed that he has
no claim to the continuance
of any portion of his late
father's pension which has
absolutely lapsed.
(From Order)

Tegh Peterson (g)
with 2 Quail
paymaster (annate) IN MS
Catipunda (g)