(1) பக்தகளின் எண்ணிக்கை _____

(2) புறப்பட்டு திரும்பிய நாள் _____

(3) ஆதரவு குறிப்பவர் கருத்தொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு அலுவலகச் சான்றுகள்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Police துறை

அலுவலர் பெயர்.
மு. கோபுத் தன் .எஸ்.

அச்சகம்
பயனாளர்


137 - Routine Series

நாள் : 22. 5-1895 199

Routine

மாதிரிக்கு சான்றுக் கையொப்பம் மட்டும்.

அலுவ. எ. I—18,006—6-9-90.



பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு - 1

செப்பமிடுபவர் பெயர்: V. தாமரை 06/08

செப்பமிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 10/08

25.4.11.08
சரிபார்க்கும்
மூத்த அதிகாரி
கையொப்பம்

14/11/08
சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம் அதிகாரி
கையொப்பம்

[illegible]
இறுதிச்சரி
உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

R 18:5-2-90
R 168:21-8-94
R 101:6-6-94

694
Pble Depte

IRRIGATION.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

2/137

Dated May, 2nd.

Recd. 18[illegible] *One Encl.*
Rep. 20[illegible]

G.O., 2nd May 1895, No. 387 I.

RECEIVED 18 MAY 1895 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

MAY.

Sunkesala Anicut

Orders on resolution of the joint committee appointed by the Madras Government and His Highness the Nizam to investigate the Gudwal Raja's claims in connection with the —.

3/137

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following paper :—

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, dated Kurnool, 3rd March 1895, Dis. No. 332-Eng.

As directed in G.O., No. 91 I., dated 30th January 1895, I have the honour to report that, on the morning of the 23rd February 1895, the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, the Executive Engineer and I met the Subadar of Gulburga, the Talukdar of Raichur, and the Irrigation Engineer of His Highness the Nizam at Rajoli. The Raja of Gudwal and his two Muktars were also present. We first made an inspection of the locality and then held an enquiry into the claims advanced by the Raja. These claims are given at length in G.O., No. 623 I., Public Works, dated 14th August 1891, to which a reference is requested.

2. From the enclosed resolution signed by the Subadar of Gulburga and myself, it will be seen that claims (*a*), (*b*), (*d*), (*i*) and (*j*) have been finally settled. The other claims were not settled because they involve questions which must in the first instance be settled between the two Governments.

3. Claims (*c*), (*f*) and (*g*) all relate to the Rajoli sluice. It was alleged that the Madras Government had agreed to widen this sluice, but this was denied by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation and nothing was produced during the enquiry to prove that the Madras Government had so agreed: on the contrary, the Madras Government have declined to recognize any obligation to alter the conditions agreed to when the works were constructed by the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company. This preliminary denial of obligation closes discussion on these claims, but apart from this any alteration appears inadvisable and unnecessary. The Superintending Engineer does not consider that the sluice should be widened because the supply is sufficient. The Executive Engineer gives his opinion as follows :—

"*Claim* (*c*).—The claim for the enlargement of the sluice in the North anicut is not tenable because it is not shown that the water is insufficient for the cultivation. The area of the cultivation is unknown, but on enquiry it seems to be about 80 acres and the discharge from sluice area (5 square feet) should be quite sufficient. As a matter of fact, no attempt is made by the villagers of Rajoli to utilize the water which is allowed to run past their village, whilst they might with little difficulty raise the level of it by a bund in the dry months."

I quite agree in the opinion of these officers and recommend that Government adhere to their former decision. As regards the channel, it is being and will be kept open as ordered. As we were unable to come to an agreement on these three claims with the officers of His Highness the Nizam, it was resolved that the matter should be settled between the two Governments.

4. *Claim* (*e*).—This claim is closely connected with claim (*d*), in disposing of which the Madras Government said that no *villages* were inundated and offered two alternatives—(1) The construction of the two banks shown in plan No. 8. (2) To pay the estimated cost of such banks by way of compensation for all claims for occasional submergence of the lands in question. The Raja declined to accept either