

123
1

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை _____
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

POLITICAL துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்

பலவகை

G.O. NO- 123

நாள் : 16 - 2 - 1895

199

மடிக்கவோ கனடபடி கைபாளவோ கூடாது.

Political (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 123

Dated 16th Feb. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

LATE CHIEF JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI COURT

Rejecting petition from certain inhabitants of Pudukkottai praying that M. R. R. V. T. Sundram Aiyar, --, may be restored as Second Judge of that Court.

* செப்பனுவலர் பெயர் *
* செ. சண்முகம் *
* செப்பனுவலதற்காக எடுத்துக் *
* கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 12/07 *
* செப்பனிடம் முடிந்த நாள் 12/08 *
* செ. சண்முகம் *
* சாப்பார்க்கு ஆராய்ச்சி உதவி *
* முன்னிப்பா. அ. யாளர் *
* கையொப்பம் கையொப்பம் *

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

[illegible]


POSTAGE OFF

To

22/5

h. K. R. S. Subramania Sastri
Clerk, Chief Secretariat

h. K. R. S. Subramania Sastri
Bachchanthapuram
Trichinopoly



Refuse

Put up the 4th

Mass Post 123

7 - Feb 196

6h

14/3

What do these 4th mean
- that the party would
be delivered, be that
can't be found?
They say that be found
the party can't be found
either in Beat No. 1 or
No 2 or No 3 (which make
together, perhaps make
the whole town
CO 14

Vol. 174
(1895) DEPARTMENT.

From *C. F. Mac Cartie Esq.*
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,
To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

Dated 6 } February 1895.
Received 8 }



Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from the people of
Pudukkōta praying that
Mr. Elliott, who has made
is the Chief Judge of Pudukkōta
and that by J. Sundaram
Sundaram and by P. N. Sata-
Sata Sata on the 2^d + 5th.
Respectfully,

fo. 35 17 1 95 7/12 1895
fo. 19 10 1 95 7/12 1895

123/5

H.S.
M

16 Feb 95 No 123
14 Feb

Petitioners will be informed

that the Govt. declines to interfere
in the manner proposed by them

C.S.
11. 2. 95

13/2/95

Petitioners (2) depd
16/11

Note This is really an appeal
for the restoration of the
late Chief Judge as 2nd
Judge of the Pudukkōta
Court
C.S. 20

Petition from the people
Pudukkottai.

DATED _____

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

Amended

Private Secretary

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Quindoo,
6th February 1895.

1895
27/2
From The Inhabitants of Pudukkottai

To.

His Excellency The Right Honorable
Baron von Reichek G. C. I. E. Governor
of Madras.

May it please Your Excellency -

We the people of Pudukkottai
beg to approach Your Excellency
through this petition about our judicial
administration reformed shortly
by Mr. James Andrew Political
Agent for Pudukkottai according to
the Government order he received.
The Political Agent by Deceitful
and confidential correspondents
asked the late Deewan Regent to
compell Mr. J. Sundaram Iyer
to resign without stating any
reason. He resigned his post
and asked the

Chief
Tutor

they answered him as confidential. We
are to doubt here is these confidential
judgments for the affected parties & After
no deep enquiry here and there about
reasons he was compelled to resign for
short judgment and as in ability. By his
short judgments the parties are not at
all affected - For in ability he is not to
be blamed - But the late Dewan Regent.
We regarded him and still regard him
as an able man and also a thorough
man in the department. Again the
other two are changed by us as well
as by Suddrappier for combination
instead of union and illegal procedure.
We see plainly by the administration
report that the Political Agent and as
well as the Government has approved
the Charge of Combination. Now once
we heard that the Political Agent
has written to the late Dewan Regent in one
of his correspondence "The people of Puda-
kote will be very if the 2nd judge resign
his post". Now we don't understand what
made him to turn against his previous

125/17
short the judgments of the late Chief
Justice and who have combined to-
gether to produce this great scandal.
We want to know whether combina-
tion against one is greater or the
short judgment is greater in crime.
The Political Agent did not at
all think over this matter. Now
over he is new to the civil depart-
-ments. The two judges are combined
together so we have made some
too much loss. We applied to the
Political Agent to give us up -
right judgment by removing these
two judges. But instead of removing
these judges he has managed some
how to remove the upright judge.
After this one Sadapoyanayadov
or B.A.B.L. a high court & able
practising at Trichinopoly is appointed
to act as the 2nd judge. He also
finds the same difficulty as
that of the late Chief Justice -
Therefore We pray your Lordships

Yours truly
H. S. S. S.

I crave your Lordship to remove those
 judges and make the arrangement
 of Elliot Chief Justice, J. Sundaram
 and Judge & P. K. Sadasanayagan
 the 3rd judge - By this arrangement
 we will feel very glad and happiness
 and pray for your excellencies long life
 and happiness.

We remain

Our Lord

your Lordships

humble servant

and Subjects

The following

K. Subramania Sastri
 Brahmadambha Das -
 Goparman -
 Desikhaia Sastri
 Santhamatha

(Faint handwritten text in Tamil script, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.)

123/8

(Extensive handwritten text in Tamil script, organized in several columns. The text appears to be a formal document or a list of names and titles, possibly related to the petitioners mentioned on the left page.)

Siddas

12/9

Rajapal Zundura

Zarwa Zundura

Pamasauni Palluway

Hosanna The Pambukunda

Holandha Sami Pulluway

...

...

...

Abrahmanic auje

Gounda Sami Rajiv

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

Copy in full.
Not to be copied.
Copy abstract only.
Copy heading only

123
10

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.