Ex. O. 1—32,000—18-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 144	Press Mis.
P.W.D 30-31	
Dated 13 - 5 - 19-1863	

30-31

Not to be folded or roughly handled

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from G. LEE MORRIS, Esq., Officiating Government Agent, Tanjore; to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Vallam, 9th April 1863.

Having placed the senior widow of His Highness the late Rajah of Tanjore in possession of the whole of the private property of her deceased husband, I have the honor to forward herewith, the receipt* taken from Her Highness in the prescribed form in acknowledgment of the same as directed in paragraph 6 of the Order of Government, dated 21st August 1862, No. 336, and to submit a short report of the steps adopted by me in giving effect to the order above referred to.

Marked A.

2. Immediately on the receipt of the final instructions contained in the aforesaid Government Order, I communicated the contents thereof both in person and by writing to Her Highness Kamakshi Bayi Sahiba, to all the junior widows, and to the daughter of the late Rajah, and called upon the senior widow to make arrangements for receiving the property through her duly constituted Agents, she being by reason of her sex and position precluded from acting personally in the matter.

3. For many days no Agents were appointed in consequence of family dissensions, and I took advantage of this delay to obtain certain detailed instructions from Government regarding the distribution of the jewels: when, however, at the end of three weeks I found no Agents had been nominated, I had a personal interview with Her Highness Kamakshi Bayi Sahiba and told her that if she did not do what was required of her within three days, I should at once report her conduct to Government. This had the desired effect, and she then appointed, by a letter of Attorney duly executed, two Agents; one of whom was her own brother Ry Ramachandrarão. Súrvé, and the other one Kistnaji Késava Pandit.

4. As a measure of security and convenience, I had lists made of all the valuables and other property, the name and description of each article both in Maharatta and English being entered in the lists, and the signature of one or other of the Agents taken opposite to the same at the time of delivery. Every article of property moreover was made over to the Agents in my presence and under my personal superintendence. Mr. J. B. Norton, the Chief Agent and legal adviser of Her Highness being present during the greater part of the delivery.

5. The Government may form some idea of the labor experienced in making over all the property in this manner, when I inform them that it occupied my undivided attention from 11 to 5 each day for upwards of six weeks. However, it was well worth all the trouble when it was once done, and I have much pleasure in forwarding herewith for safe custody the lists thus attested by the Agents, for they are very important documents, and may be of much value should any future misunderstandings arise amongst the members of the family.

(Marked 1 to 22.)

6. With reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 12th March 1863, No. 78, directing me to endeavour to ascertain how the alleged discrepancies between the jewels made over to Her Highness and those entered in the Schedules filed in the Supreme Court have arisen, I have the honor to state that in anticipation of the order therein conveyed, I had already commenced a careful examination of the Schedules and a comparison of the contents thereof with the lists of jewels actually made over, and that the result is embodied in the accompanying copies of a communication* and statement forwarded by me to Her Highness Kamakshi Bayi Sahiba on the subject.

* Marked B and C.

7. From the detailed explanation contained in these papers, the Government will observe that certain discrepancies have been found to exist, but that they are no more than apparent, having arisen partly from the plan followed in Madras in the preparation of the Schedules being different from that adopted in the compilation of the original lists, and partly from clerical errors and inadvertencies having crept into the Schedules. I beg to add that, on my furnishing the above explanation, Her Highness immediately signed the receipt marked A, herewith submitted.

8. There is another subject to which I have lately directed my attention in connection with the Palace, and it is the classification of the records. These I have caused to be divided into three heads, A B and C as shown in the enclosed statement marked D. Class A comprises all the records relating to the affairs of the late Rajahs in their capacity as Sovereign Princes, and and as such therefore I propose that they should be retained under the charge of Government. Class B comprises all such papers as can fairly be considered to come under the head of domestic records, and they have accordingly been made over to the charge of Her Highness Kamakshi Bayi Sahiba under the authority conveyed in the Proceedings of Government, dated the 16th January last, No. 16. Class C contains all other records, which, while they are not essentially public papers, cannot be regarded as falling strictly within the category of private property. These, I propose, should be placed under the seal of the Government Agent in some room in the Palace. They are not of any value, but as I know that the Rajah's family would be much hurt if they were destroyed, I cannot think of any better plan.

9. As the Government are aware, the Sirkele's establishment was abolished from the 31st December 1862, in consequence, however, of the work mentioned in paragraphs 6 and 8 of this letter, I have been compelled to retain for a period of three months from the 1st January last, a portion of the establishment as per margin, and I trust the Government will see no objection to sanction the charge thus incurred, viz., Rupees 222, for I had no other means of completing what was required of me, than by employing these experienced men. I beg also to request the sanction of Government for the payment of Rupees 748-0-7 which is the cost of the Police guards furnished by the Superintendent of Police. These were necessary for guarding the jewel rooms in the Palace from the date on which the Military guards were withdrawn agreeably to the orders of Government up to that on which the delivery of the contents thereof was completed; as also for guarding the depository of arms, the records, and the room containing the jewels, the joint property of the junior Bayi Sahiba's, the disposal of the last of which was only finished three days ago.

	Per month.		
1 Head Gumasta...	Rs.	24	0 0
1 Gumasta...	,,	12	0 0
2 do. at 8 Rupees each... ..	,,	16	0 0
2 do. at 7 do.	,,	14	0 0
1 Masalchi...	,,	4	0 0
1 Raktawan...	,,	4	0 0
		74	0 0
Total for three months..		222	0 0

10. The charges specified in the preceding paragraph can be met from the unexpended* portion of the amount allowed in the sanctioned Budget of the current official year for the Sirkele's establishment, and I beg that the necessary orders may be sent to the Civil Paymaster on the subject, in order that he may pass the Bills when they reach him.

*Rupees 1,524.

11. In conclusion I beg to bring the good conduct of the officials enumerated in the margin to the favorable notice of Government. The first, viz., Venkaswámi Ráu, has been officiating as Agency Manager during all the time that I have been engaged in carrying out the final orders of Government regarding the restoration of the private property of the late Rajah, and I should not be doing my duty if I did not now mention how invaluable his services have been to me. His upright character, his intimate knowledge of the affairs of the Palace, and his untiring industry have ever been conspicuous, and it gives me sincere pleasure to have this opportunity of publicly recording my appreciation of his honesty and his abilities, as well as of the conduct of the other four persons mentioned above, who have also done their duty right well in their different Departments, and have been of much assistance to me.

1. Venkaswami Rau, Acting Agency Manager.
2. Sivarama Nagoji. } Dastan Mahal.
3. Ananda Khando. }
4. Sivaji Sakkaram. } Late Sirkele's Establishment.
5. Sakkaram Sanji. }

ORDER THEREON, 13th May 1863, No. 144.

His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that the receipt and lists submitted with the foregoing letter, be lodged in the Iron Chest in the Government Office. The arrangements proposed by the Acting Government Agent in paragraph 8 of his letter, with reference to the disposal of the records of the Palace, are approved and sanctioned.

---

2. The charges specified in paragraph 9, amounting to Rupees 122, being the salary of the Sirkele's establishment which it has been necessary to retain for a period of three months, + Rupees 748-0-7, the cost of the Police Guards, = Rupees 970-0-7, are sanctioned. They will be met from the unexpended balance of the amount sanctioned in the Budget for 1862-63, for the Sirkele's establishment.

3. The orders of Government regarding the restoration of the property of the late Rajah having now been fully carried out, His Excellency the Governor in Council deems it right to express his satisfaction at the care and discretion which have characterized Mr. Morris' proceedings throughout the whole of this transaction. He notices, with pleasure, the high terms in which Mr. Morris speaks of the assistance given to him by the officials named in the margin, and especially by Venkaswámi Ráu, the Acting Manager in the Agency Office.

1. Venkaswámi Ráu, Acting Manager in the Agency Office.
2. Sivaráma Nágoji. } Dastan Mahál.
3. Ananda Khando. }
4. Sivaji Sakkarám. } Late Sirkele's Establishment.
5. Sakkarám Sanji. }

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Acting Government Agent at Tanjore.
,, Civil Paymaster.
,, Accountant General.
,, Record-keeper, with (Receipt and Lists.)

Exd. T. McMootry.

From

P. Le Mesurier Esqre

Offg. Government Agent

To

H. H. Ramalekshmi Ammal Rajasahib

Sangam

Malabar,

With reference to Mr. Nairne's letter of the 20th December and yours of the 23rd January last, relating to the different discrepancies existing between the Jewels which have been made over to you and those entered in the various Schedules filed by Government in the Supreme Court during the litigation which took place in 1857; I have the honor to furnish you with the following explanation.

2 To shew how these discrepancies have arisen, it is necessary in the first place to give a description of the manner in which the Jewels and Ornaments were arran-

arranged and classified under the different Schedules laid before the Supreme Court. I will accordingly give a description of the Schedules and then dispose of them one by one. All the Jewels and ornaments are comprized in the three Schedules marked I. K and M; In Schedule I are entered two sets of Jewels, one without any designation whatever at their head to shew what they were meant to be or to what class or Depart^t they belonged, and the other headed simply "Old Jewels": Sch. K contains two items, the one designated "State Jewels" and the other Jewels belonging to Jagodas: and Sch. M contains all Jewels other than those entered in the two Schedules first mentioned.

3. With regard to the two sets of Jewels entered in Sch. I, the one which appears without any designation whatever and for which the value is given in Fortnum Pagodas, consists of Articles of which no traces can be found either on the Records of the Palace or on those of the Residency, and indeed, there is nothing to shew from what source and with what object this set of Jewels was entered in that Schedule. It is clearly not a list of what existed in the Palace at the date of His late Highness' death, and is apparently only a copy of some list given by some alleged claimants or claimants, stating, as is mentioned in para 17 of the Answer of Government, that the Raja had in his possession (the Claimants). This set of Jewels should therefore be altogether left out of account in determining the actual difference between the Schedule and the Jewels made over to you.

4. The other set in Schedule I consists of articles found on examination in the Rajahhal at the time when Raja Ananding was deposed and His Highness Serfoji placed on the Musnud. It accordingly corresponds with an old Maratta list made

at that time and bearing date the 30th June 1799. Such being the case, it is simply impossible, that all the articles contained in the said list could have remained intact at the date of His late Highness' death without being altered or otherwise interfered with or altered or disposed of in the course of the long intervening space of 55 years which elapsed between the dates of the list and that of His late Highness' death. Indeed, it could never have been intended by the in-
=structions of these Jewels in the Schedule in question to show that they were then in existence, and it is evident that lists were taken of these as also of the Jewels forming the other set referred to in para 3 only for purposes of re-
=ference, and that their insertion in the Schedule in question in any other way must have been made by inad-
=vertence. The set headed "old Jewels" should also therefore be left out of account.

5 Schedule I being thus disposed

of there remain only the other two Schedules K and M. The contents of these have been carefully and minutely compared with the list of the Jewels in the Dastar Khaka which have been made over to you, and the accompanying Statement shows the several items in which discrepancies have been found, and how they have arisen, will be discovered from the explanatory Note entered opposite to each item.

6 From these you will perceive, that there is no real discrepancy and that except in the case of the 8 items marginally noted * which will be hereafter adverted to, the apparent discrepancies have arisen and all arisen from one or the other of two causes, viz. 1st from a different plan from that followed in the preparation of the general list of which you have taken a Copy, having been adopted in

Prepa-

* Names of Jewels. Number. Value Rs. as.

Gold Jewels.

Rakhadick yapatagee ... 3
Flat pieces of Gold containing various mahomedan inscriptions ... 27 ... 324-4-6

Silver Jewels.

Jamdé 2
Jamposhtee ..... 9 ... 1-8
Dowla ..... 2 ... " - 12 "
Cumtee ..... 2 ... 14-8 "
Battee ..... 7 ... 1-3 "
Book of different Sorts ..... 81 ... 32-9-8

preparing the Schedules with respect to the arrangement of items, and 2nds from clerical errors in the Compilation.

7th The 1st cause, viz: the difference in the arrangement of items, consists simply of several minor items entered separately in the Schedules, having been entered together in a lump in the Commissioners' list and vice versa; and in such cases the deficiency arising from the non appearance of one item in the latter is met by an excess in another item in the former.

8 The clerical errors in the Schedules which form the second cause of discrepancies, have arisen as follows. Certain items while they were entered in one Schedule were retained also in another Schedule & under another Head in the same Schedule; and again of the total number of Articles comprising one item, while a few were entered under one Head in one Schedule, the whole of them instead of the remainder only were entered in another Schedule or under another Head in the same Schedule, thus taking into Account the same thing twice over. Thus, for instance, of the total number of 8 Necklaces,* one was entered under the Head of Gold Jewels in Schedule K, but instead of entering the remaining 7 only under the Head of Stone Jewels in the same Schedule, the whole number of 8 was entered; again, while the two Diamond & Emerald of Gold entered in Pg. 223 of the General list, were put in under the Head of Gold Jewels in Schedule K, they were returned also in Schedule M which was intended only to show other Jewels than those entered under the Heads of Stone and Pagoda Jewels in Schedule K.

9 That the discrepancies in the latter case have arisen only from clerical errors of this description, is obvious from the fact that, whenever there is a difference it is invariably equal both as regards the number

* Vide 1 of the Comrs' list.

and value of the articles to the figures taken twice over as shown above. Thus, while there is an apparent discrepancy of one Article of the value of Rupees 786-10-0 in the item of Serpeez, the Serpeez entered under the head of Pagodas Jewels happens to be exactly one of the value of Rupees 786-10-0 and so it is in every case.

10 These dictates into this explanation only to show how the errors have occurred; that they are only Clerical errors however, is clear also from the fact that the lists made on the death of His late Highness by order of the then Resident Mr. Fakes, showing the contents of the Dastars and Alaiya-Makals, to which Department alone the Schedules were laid before the Supreme Court refer and which lists, as you know, were most carefully prepared and were submitted to Government, do not show the apparent excess observable in the Schedules.

The

11 The 8 items mentioned at the opening of para 6 above, are the only items of discrepancy which cannot be accounted for. It is not clear how these items found place in the Schedules, while no entries corresponding thereto exist in the original lists.
They are evidently put in place of some of the items appearing in the Commissioners list in excess of the Schedules, how that has happened cannot however, be made out. Whatever that may be there cannot be a doubt but that these are likewise only apparent discrepancies arising from errors of which unfortunately a good deal crept into the Schedules as shown above. That such alone must have been the case, can be easily seen by referring to one of the items of discrepancies thus remaining unexplained Viz "Sampushtas" or silver Boxes. The number of these as appearing in Schedule M is 89 of which the value as therein given is the preposterously small

sum

sum of Rupees 9-7-8 while for 21 only of such Copies appearing in the original list, and which number has accordingly been made over to you, the value as therein specified is Rupees 120-15-8

12. I have in this Communication confined myself only to accounting for deficiencies and have not thought it necessary to enter into any explanation of the excesses over the Schedules of which likewise there are many - The detailed Statement which accompanies this letter is also devoted to items of discrepancies - I may however observe in passing that the excesses have arisen only from the fact that while the Schedules refer as already stated to the Dastaís and Khajidá Makals alone the list of the Jewels which have been made over to you and which your Gumáshtás have compared with the former comprize the contents of the other Departments also - It of course also happens, that in some instances

8

the excesses have resulted merely from clerical errors just as the deficiencies have -

13. I trust that the foregoing explanation will satisfy you that the discrepancies alluded to in your letter of the 23rd January last are only apparent and not real, and that now that I have explained every thing to you, you will favor me with a Receipt in the form prescribed by Govt, in full acknowledgment of the personal property of this late Sirdar and which has been made over to you -

I have &c.

(Signed) G. Lee Morris

Offg. Govt Agent -

(True Copy)

G Lee Morris -

Offg. Govt agent -

Pol. L. to C. 12th Sept. No. 10 of 1863

Enclosure B.

In a letter

From
The offg Government Agent
Tanjore

To The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George

Dated 9th April 1863

Copy of letter to T. T.
Ramakrishna Pouji Sa-
hiba regarding the
discrepancies between
the Schedules and the
Jewels mortgaged.—

Rec. 13th May 30

10	Pada	5	"	5	"	5	"	Of these 1 is included in Silver Bullion 3 in No 102 of the remainder 1 in " 106 of the Commissioners' list in which there are 2 excesses over the schedule
	Pita	2	"	2	"	2	"	Included in No 102 of Do Do
	Trisul	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do in No 105 of Do Do
	Khandoráyá chi kha-nanda glia Lanchi chakra	2	"	2	"	2	"	Do in No 296 of Do Do
	Pitamagha kamba	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do in No 102 of Do Do
	Sonakatta yada	3	"	3	"	3	"	Do Do Do
102	Screws	3	3	6	3	3	"	The 3 articles entered in schedule K are made up by a corresponding entry in No 102 of the Commissioners list, but the articles entered in M are simply a repetition of those entered in K. hence the apparent deficiency of 3 articles
295	Vilvelayachenaya	"	76	76	88	"	12	These are included in different numbers as shewn above
175	Panchavarachenaya	"	144	144	347	"	203	The number of articles entered in schedule M must be 116 instead of 144 as the value therein given tallies with that of only 116 out of the 347 articles entered in the Commr's list. Thus 116 articles and 28 cowries deducted from the sums last mentioned to make up this entry in schedule M the remaining 231 go

to make up the deficiencies in the several numbers as shewn above

14

283	Sempushtu	"	89	89	21	89	"	The value of the articles entered in schedule M is Rs 9-7-8, but that of those in the Commissioners' list is Rs 120-15-8
	Dowla	"	2	2	"	2	"	
	Kantu	"	2	2	"	2	"	
	Battu &c	"	7	7	"	7	"	
299	Devache Bahotichimde dokhiti	"	"	"	125	"	125	7 of these are included in schedule K under Pagada Jewels in different numbers as shewn above and the rest are in apparent omission in the schedules
300	Dévachewnpadiyaracheny	"	"	"	364	"	364	5 of Do Do
213	Kadiya	"	"	"	85	"	85	4 of Do Do
	Total number of articles appearing as deficient						1229	

Tanjore Govt agents office
1st April 83.

/Signed/ G. Lee Morris
Ag: Govt agent

/True Copy/
G Lee Morris
Ag: Govt agent

378	Tarkas	4	2	6	2	4	"	The 2 articles entered in Schedule M are made up by a corresponding entry in No 378 of the Commissioner's list. Of the 2 entered in schedule L 2 are included in No 105 and the other 2 are simply a repetition by mistake of the same entry which appears in M, thus exhibiting an apparent deficiency of 4 articles in all.
	Tirá	31	"	31	"	31	"	Included in No 105 of the Commissioner's list in which there is an excess over the Schedule
	Tiráchefalyá	32	"	32	"	32	"	Do in No 381 of Do Do
	Tirachekhalilabhoy Cheam Ebrahum	32	"	32	"	32	"	Do Do Do
	Tiraslavanechiparea	22	"	22	"	22	"	Do Do Do
379	Kamte	6	"	6	4	2	"	Do in No 105 Do
	Farang	2	"	2	"	2	"	Do in No 300 of Do Do
	Saingá	2	"	2	"	2	"	Do in No 105 of Do Do
377	Dhela	4	"	4	2	2	"	Do in No 300 of Do Do
	Bavala	1	"	1	"	1	"	

	Simhavanachavaman	15	"	15	"	15	"	Of these 2 are included in No 117 2 in 108 and 7 in 171 of the Commissioner's list in which there one 2 excess over the Schedule
	Bélachidala	1	"	1	"	1	"	Included in No 299 of the Comm's list in which there are is an excess over the schedule
	Ambavagayichemastakas Bandhanecha	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do in No 109 of Do Do
	Timnam	4	"	4	"	4	"	Do in " 299 of Do Do
	Chinchotea	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do in " 295 of Do Do
	Sankhalya Sankharacha-	14	"	14	"	14	"	Do in " 175 of Do Do
	Ghágarya — do —	20	"	20	"	20	"	Do Do Do
105	Dvachi Ayudha	28	10	38	79	"	41	40 of these are included in different numbers as shewn above

		17	2	3	2	3	2	38
18	Dánáchyá Vatya	1	53	62	54	8	"	This deficiency of 8 articles has resulted from the of Dannáchyáváttya entered in list K under the head of Pagoda Jewels, having by mistake been taken in also in the entry in list M, and from one article having been omitted in the latter apparently by oversight
	Sórandi	1	"	1	"	1	"	Included in No 237 of the Commrs list in which there is an excess over the Schedule
	Jasta	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do in No 295 of Do Do
	Pitdani	1	"	1	"	1	"	
	Dabe	14	"	14	"	14	"	Of these 1 is included in No 295 of the Commrs list and the remaining 13 are only a repetition by mistake in Schedule K of the same number of articles which are included in Schedule M
109	Mastakachesamphal=ya	24	28	82	54	"	2	1 of these is the article entered separately in the Schedule K as "Sambarasagarpiche'ineeskavleemathanecha" and the other is an apparent omission in the Schedules
133	Khura	68	124	192	111	81		

	Vále Pamkhuráchi	21	"	21	"	21	"	Included in No 175 of the Commrs list in which there is an excess over the Schedules
	Kadyá Pamkhuradá	180	"	180	"	180	"	Of these 176 are included in No 175 and the remaining 4 in No 213 of do in which there are excesses over the Schedules
354	Chamaráché dandye	4	"	4	2	2	"	This deficiency has arisen by the insertion by mistake of the same articles, both under the head of Stole Jewels and under that of Pagoda Jewels in Schedule K
297	Damkhoranyá Kinkhornyá	2	59	61	62	"	1	This is the article entered separately in the Schedule K as Sorandi
	Gajagye	7	"	7	"	7	"	Included in No 295 of the Commissioners list in which there is an excess over the Schedule
	Súryapatra	2	"	2	"	2	"	Do in No 300 of do Do

2	17	2	2	2	2	3	2	
13	Hindolágaurá	"	11	"	"	11	"	These are only component parts of two articles usually are included in No 250 of the Commissioners list
223	Bastane	2	2	4	2	2	"	This deficiency has arisen simply by the same entry having found place in both schedules—
	Gadagá	"	1	1	"	1	"	Included in No 250 of the Comms' list in which there is an excess over the schedule
250	Vigraha	"	"	"	165	"	165	Of these, 123 articles go to make up the deficiency in different numbers as shewn above and the remaining 23 have apparently been omitted in the schedule—
232	Rakhadichya patta	"	5	5	2	3	"	
	Yantrachitagadá	"	27	27	"	27	"	
167	Danachenag	"	198	198	823	"	625	Of these 196 go to make up the deficiency in different numbers, and the rest are as above.
	X Silver Jewels							
	Sampushta	1	"	1	"	1	"	This is one of the 248 articles included in No 287 of the Comm^rs list "Dalie Jinasmari," but while it was taken in on the list of the Pagoda Jewels under K, it was returned also in list M, thus entering it in a second time.

245	Joddavi	44	194	238	138	100	"	As the weight and value of the articles entered in the schedules are equal to those entered in the Commissioner's list, it appears that, by a clerical error, the number has been entered in schedule M as 194 instead of 94 as it ought to have been
	Sankhalya	4	"	4	"	4	"	These are included among the 6 articles in No 352 of the Comm^rs list, but while 4 of them were entered in schedule K under the head of Pagoda Jewels the whole 6 were retained in schedule M instead of the remaining 2 only—
	Mail Ambara sapamadli	1	"	1	"	1	"	Included among the 14 articles in No 346 of the Comm^rs list, but while one of them was entered in schedule K under the head of Pagoda Jewels the whole 14 were retained in the original entry instead of the remaining 13 only
	Thari	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do among the 10 articles in No 23 of Do Do
	Damke	4	49	53	51	2	"	
	Zanib	1	"	1	"	1	"	Do in No 295 of Do in which there is an excess over the schedule

14	Syudhadévachi	10	"	10	"	10	"	Of these 9 are included in No 450 and the other in No 335 of the Commissioners list in which there are Recess over the schedule
	Ingriji Gópu	6	"	6	"	6	"	Included in No 231 of the Commissioners list in which there is an Recess over the schedule
371	Nak	1						There are in all only 2 articles of this name both of which are entered in list K under the head of Pagodas Jewels but they being entered in two separate entries only one of the entries instead of both was by mistake taken into account in the preparation of the schedule M by which instead of both of the articles being omitted in that schedule only one was omitted and the other retained.
	do	1	2	1	3	2	1	"
	Mirupovaliyadhi	4	"	4	"	4	"	Included in No 526 of the Commissioners' list in which there is an Recess over the schedule
	Ikshudhanushian	1	"	1	"	1	"	} do in No 450 of do do
	Pushpa Bána	1	"	1	"	1	"	
	Mukta Puhale	21	"	21	"	21	"	do in No 267 of do do
	Valaya	2	"	2	"	2	"	do in No 202 of do do
	Nágá	2	"	2	"	2	"	do in No 450 of do do
	Sánika	7	"	7	"	7	"	} Included in No 450 of the Commissioners' list in which there is an Recess over the schedule
	Suriacha Patha	1	"	1	"	1	"	
	Dévámaghilachekra	2	1	3	"	3	"	
	Jagadu yentraclu	18	"	18	"	18	"	Of these 4 are included in No 450 and the remaining 4 are entered a second time under the head of Pagoda while they are included also in Schedule M (No 429)
	Patha	2	2	4	"	4	"	There are only 2 articles of this name which are included in No 450 of the Commrs list. Such being the case, their being put in a separate entry in the schedules and the insertion of the same entry by mistake in both the Schedules K & M has led to a deficiency of 4 articles in all being thus Exhibited
	Prati Sandadenachi	39	"	39	"	39	"	
	Lavaliga	1	3	4	"	4	"	
	Entrachintagadacha Malsuta	1	"	1	"	1	"	} Included in No 450 of the Commissioners' list in which there is an Recess over the schedule
	Eayuvansam dekhiba	1	"	1	"	1	"	
	Pita	1	"	1	"	1	"	
	Vigraha	29	2	57	"	57	"	

165	Kattidanta Krishna-nathicete Jeag	"	265	265	305	"	40	These 40 articles are included in different numbers as shewn above.
	Gold Jewels						
	Pavitra	13	"	13	"	13	"	Included in No 467 of the Commissioner's list in which there is an Excess over the Schedules
	Dabra	1	"	1	"	1	"	This is one of the 5 articles included in No 340 Sampuchita, whereas it was entered in the list of the Pagoda Jewels under K, it was retained also in list M thus taking it in a second time. It is entered in the daily account current of the Department as "Sampuchita of Dabá shape" remitted from the Pagoda, and its weight and value as therein given tally with those of one of the articles entered in the said Schedule
423	Dalimbi	1	4	5	4	1	"	Included in No 450 of the Commissioner's list in which there is an Excess over the Schedule
402	Kadiya catacke	20	"	20	30	"	10	This Excess makes up the deficiency of 8 articles in No 468 and another of 2 articles entered in the Schedule K as Kalaya without any corresponding entry in the Commissioner's list
	Allangalaxutra	21	"	21	"	21	"	20 are included in No 467 and the remaining 1 in No 501 of the Commissioner's list where Excesses are shewn over the Schedule

	Sri	1	"	1	"	1	"	4
	Kanta	1	"	1	"	1	"	Included in No 450 of the Commissioner's list in which there is an Excess over the Schedule
221	Mudak	1	"	1	"	1	"	
231	Goss	1	32	33	39	"	6	This makes up the entry of 6 articles appearing in the Schedule K as Ingriji Goss without any corresponding entry in the Commissioner's list.
	Gandasolia	86	"	86	"	86	"	Of these 84 are included in No 467 and the remaining 2 in No 501 of the Commissioner's list in which are Excesses over the Schedule
468	Devacke Baholicke dekhil Jeag	54	419	473	428	45	"	Of these are included in No 467 8 in 202 28 in 501 and 5 in 467 of the Commissioner's list in which there are Excesses over the Schedule
248	Bugadia	12	30	42	30	12	"	Included in No 467 of the Commissioner's list in which there is an Excess over the Schedule
212	Angatia	6	17	23	19	4	"	Do Do
	Kandee	1	"	1	"	1	"	Included in gold Bullion
	Lekhania	15	"	15	"	15	"	Included in No 467 of the Commissioner's list in which there is an Excess over the Schedule

| | | |

Enclosure C.

Sir a Letter

From
The Officg Government
Agent Sarawak

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Singapore

Dated 9th April 1863.

Statement showing the
classification of records
the Sarawak Government
the Chief Court of
Sarawak. Kuching Rio
Rio: 13th May 63-30

Recd 16th Aug 63 Enclosure No 2 to Despatch No 10 of 1863.

Memo: shewing the classification of the records in the Sarawak Rajah's Archives

No	Description	No of Bundles or Packages	
	A		
1	Parwanahs or orders of all descriptions bearing the Rajah's seal	345	
2	Letters summonses, Despatches, correspondence between the Rajah & the Residents and miscellaneous accounts	628	
3	Accounts connected with the Revenue administration of the District previous to its cession to the British Government	720	Proposed to be retained
4	Accounts of the Sole Mint or the General Treasury	486	
5	Register of deeds & documents of private Individuals	4	
6	Title deeds of villages & lands purchased by the Rajah for the endowment of Chuttarms & Pagodas & for personal grants	15	
		2198	
N.B.	Besides this list of Records & other property made after the Rajah's death by order of the Resident in the Commissioner & all the correspondence of the Rajah's private Department & of the Residents office.		
	B		
1	Past accounts & returns received from the different Departments of the Sarawak Government	2279	
2	Records relating to the Criminal Department	280	
3	Do relating to the Revenue Do	77	
4	Do of Ingoing Inward & Despatch of records containing orders for the payment of money or else the accounts of the different Departments of the Sarawak Government	196	Proposed to be made over
5	Deeds & documents relating to lands & houses purchased by the Rajah for his own use	14	
		2846	

		Number of Packages
1	Accounts of the three Havaleas or pay Offices	981
2	do of the Chuttrums Department	353
3	Papuwungies or orders of and ordinary disc: reception coming from the Bank	757
4	Accounts of the Cuttorai Department	56
5	do of the Mungo do	39
6	Miscellaneous accounts termed "Pudpusi" including Accounts current of the Collectors Treasury, Encumbrance statements of the Collectorate Statements of commutations prices &c	137
7	Accounts of the Rajas Courts	50
8	Reports of Hurkarus	48
		2415

Proposed to be destroyed

Total number of Packages 7457.

13 The records lying in the different Departments within the Fuleru have already been made over along with the property therein with the exception however, of the records of the Raja Inabab and Anéhuna Inabab lying in two different rooms in the Inabury Cutcherri as also certain old miscellaneous records lying in certain upstair rooms of Kutiru Cutcherri &c. All these, as also the records of the Commutt & the Dewastanum Department were under the charge of the Record Keeper

of the Collector's Office & the Title deeds relating to lands & houses now in the records of the late Commissioners may be likewise made over.

C Dee Morris
Ag: Govt Agent

Jaffna Govt Agent's Office
Valvan
9th April 1873

Political Letter to Govt 2/12 & Selections Nos 10 of 1863.

Enclosure D

Letter

from

The Offg Government Agent Tanjore

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Madras

Dated 9th April 1863.

Memo showing the description of the records in the Palace.

Pro: 13th May 63 - 30

Political Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following letter from the Offg Government Agent at Tanjore.

/Rec'd Enter 9th April 1863. No /

Order thereon 13th May 1863 No 144.

His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that the receipt and lists submitted with the foregoing letter be lodged in the iron chest in the Government Office. The arrangements proposed by the Acting Government Agent in paragraph 8 of his letter, with reference to the disposal of the records of the Palace, are approved and sanctioned.

2. The charges specified in paragraph 9, amounting to Rs. 122, being the salar

of the Sirkeles' Establish-
ment which it has been
necessary to retain for a
period of 3 months, + Rs.
718 - 7, the cost of the
Police Guards, = Rs 970 - 7,
are sanctioned. They will
be met from the unexpended
balance of the Amount
Sanctioned in the Budget
for 1862/63 for the Sirkeles'
Establishment.

3. The Orders of Govern-
ment regarding the restoration
of the property of the late
Rajah having now been
fully carried out, His
Excellency the Governor in
Council deems it right to
express his satisfaction
at the Care and discretion
which have characterized
Mr. Morris' proceedings
throughout the whole of
this transaction. He notices,
with pleasure, the high
terms in which Mr. Morris
speaks of the assistance
given to him by the Persons
named in the margin, X
Especially by Venkaswámi
Ráu, the Acting Manager
in the Agency Office. -

1. Venkaswámi Ráu, Actg Manager in the Agency Office
2. Sivaráma Nágoji } Dastaw
3 Ananda Khando — } Mahál
4 Sivaji Sakkarám } Late Sirkeles Establisht.
5 Sakkaram Sanji }

To The Asst Agent at Tanjore
The Civil Paymaster
The Advt General
Dated 13/5/63

Political Letter to Engd/12th
September No 10 of 1863.

Replied to 16th Mar
84 of 1864.

Pol 1863 Deposit

No 144

Proceedings of Govt

13th May 1863.

No 3420/65-1

Enclos 1–22 a
Postbag the Strong
Dec 181.

30 Mar 39