

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 92

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

7-3-1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
7th March 1862.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 10. Abstract of a Petition from ZINUB BEE, widow of the late Siddee Abdoor Rahman, residing at Chepauk in Triplicane, Madras, dated 10th January 1862.

States that Petitioner sold to Government through Mr. Boyson, two houses, the property of Petitioner's deceased husband, which she had enjoyed for many years, that Rupees 250 of the price of those houses (Rupees 440) was paid to Petitioner by Mr. Boyson through Madar-ool-Omrah Bahadur the Dewan of the late Nabob.

That Petitioner is now desirous of being paid the balance of the price of her houses, and finds that the Clerks in Mr. Boyson's Office are fraudulently endeavouring to pay the balance, due to Petitioner, to her brother-in-law Siddee Mahomed who once made claim to the houses.

Prays that the money may be paid to Petitioner only.

No. 149 of 1862.

Referred to the Government Solicitor for report.

By order,

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,

Acting Deputy Secy. to Govt.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
20th January 1862.

From JOHN MILLER, Esq., Acting Government Solicitor, Madras; to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, dated 18th February 1862, No. 102.

Order of Government, No. 149, dated 20th January 1862, and endorsed on Zinub Bee's petition to Government of the 10th idem.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the Order of Government noted in the margin.

2. I would have replied to this reference earlier but I had to communicate with Mr. Meer Mohib Ally Khan, the Assistant Government Agent at Chepauk, to obtain fuller information regarding the subject of Zinub Bee's petition to Government than what I possessed, as he, Mr. Meer Mohib Ally Khan, assisted Mr. Boyson throughout the whole settlement of compensation for the Buildings in the Chepauk compound, and necessarily knows more about the matter than myself.

3. The houses known as No. 91^a and 91^b in the 6th Division of the Chepauk compound, of which the Petitioner alleges she is the owner, were at the time when their title was investigated by Mr. Boyson, in the possession of one Siddy Mahomed to whom it was determined that the compensation for them amounting to Rupees 435-13-11 should be paid. Siddy Mahomed received an advance of 100 Rupees on account of the amount settled and vacated the houses. Shortly afterwards the Petitioner entered the houses and declared that she and not Siddy Mahomed was entitled to the compensation on the alleged ground that the houses had been built by her deceased husband. But Siddy Mahomed stated that the houses had originally belonged to his father, who was also the father of the Petitioner's husband and that since the father's death he Siddy Mahomed had repaired and added to the houses at his own expense and produced Quit-rent Bills in his own name in confirmation of his title. The contest was thereupon referred to the Dewan for decision who reported that although the houses had been built by the Petitioner's husband, yet the Petitioner, as well as Siddy Mahomed and one Siddy Soolaman who is said to be the nephew of the Petitioner's husband, were entitled to the compensation in certain shares. Mr. Boyson thereupon left the entire settlement of (amongst others) these two houses to the Dewan, who paid the Petitioner 250 Rupees on her vacating the houses (which have since been demolished) and held the balance of the compensation, viz. Rupees 85-13-11 to be paid on execution of assignments by all the three parties above named. The requisite assignments were prepared and submitted but have not been executed, each of the parties wishing to have the whole of the balance paid to himself (or herself) before execution, and the other of them protesting against such payment.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
7th March 1862.*

4. The Dewan held the balance of compensation for many months before his departure for Arabia in the hope of being able to effect a final arrangement, and having been disappointed, transferred the money on his leaving Madras, to Mr. Meer Mohib Ally Khan, who now holds it.

5. This is the exact position in which this matter now stands, and I can only express my very great surprise at the imputation thrown by the Petitioner upon my establishment, who can hardly be said to have known any of the parties.

6. The balance of the compensation will be disbursed the moment the assignments are executed by all the three parties, but should each of the parties wish for it, he or she must establish his or her right before a proper tribunal.

7. In the event of Government being sued I purpose paying the money into Court and leaving the Court to give it to the party whom it may consider entitled to it.

No. 11.

ORDER THEREON, 7th March 1862, No. 92.

Resolved that the Zinub Bee be informed that the Government will make no further payment to her solely on account of the houses to which she refers unless she has established her right to the money in a Court of Law.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Translation.

*I am Zinub Bee -
the widow of the late Sidde
ah door Rahman deceased - I
do hereby agree, and bind myself
in writing to this effect, that I de-
livered in charge of Mudarool
overrah Bahadoor, Dewan to
the late Nabol of the Carnatic at
Madras my 2 Houses No 91 A
and No 91 B. and I have received
from him
the sum of 250 Rupees the
half*

Jan 149 of 1862

the half of which is 125 Rupees -
Should I fail, or refuse to this,
I shall be considered as an
offender against the Law -

these few lines are there-
fore written, and delivered by
way of a receipt of a notice
which may remain as a voucher
for the future -

Witnessed - The Mark of Zinab
Bee Wides of the late
Biddie abdoor Rahman
deceased -
Ghadar Ahmed
Persimer
No 1915 -

To

The Right Honorable Sir W.
Governor in Council
Fort St. George at
Jr. Jr.

The humble Petition of Z.
W. Dore of the late Biddie ab-
lined in the Houses no. 91st
in the 6th line at the Big 9
the Nabal in Chapank
within the local limits of m

Sheweth, That your Lordships' poor Petitioner
bowed knees, and most humble respects
to represent to your Lordships in your
Council, that the said Houses being the property
Husbands, and she enjoyed the Houses in
for about 50 years - as the Government
themselves from your Lordships' to the
means of R. Boyson Esq. to the value of
and Rupees - and at the time of her receiving
- may the younger brother of her Husband
Biddie Mahmood unjustly lodges his claim
before R. Boyson Esq. to have got a share
value of the said Houses, who referred to
Dewan of the Nabal of the name of Mudd
- Rah Bahadoor, who after an enquiry
decided in her behalf, and dismissed
of her opposite party the said Biddie Mahmood
him at the same time that there is no share
for him from the property of her Husband
Except your Petitioner being the lawful suc-
said abdoor Rahman deceased, and your
guards on her behalf on the 6th March 1861 - and
two thousand five hundred Rupees out of the value of the property
on the 14th July 1861 ordering her at the same time
upon him a few days more for the remaining
being a sum of three hundred and thirty and
accidentally

Accordingly on her going to the Dewan of the late
Mahab he ordered her to go and demand the balance
from R. Boyson Esq. and so upon her demanding
the balance from R. B. Esq. thro his official Clerk
It appears that they are intimate friends of her
opposite party the said Siddee Mahmood, because
those Clerks directed her to give a receipt in favor
of R. Boyson Esq. by the signature of the Petitioner,
and Siddee Mahmood whose claim has been entirely
rejected by the said Mudda rool roomah Bahadur
who has been decided the Cases of the White Houses
that were sold to the Government - thro the means of
R. Boyson Esq. - as he has settled her case - But the
Clerks above mentioned intend to act contrary to
the decision and award of the said Mudda rool roomah
Bahadur, and cheat your Petitioner quite
unjustly and they want to give her property to the
said Siddee Mahmood against the decision of the very
said Dewan - your Petitioner prays your Lordship will be-
cause the translation of the enclosed award of the
Dewan your Lordship will be highly satisfied in her
just representations -

Your Lordship's pet is a woman,
and she has no one in the world to call for help,
but that of your Lordship, whom she looks up as
her kind father, asylum, and protection.

Therefore your Lordship's poor Petitioner
prays your Lordship will be pleased issue orders
to R. Boyson Esq. in order to act according to the
award, and decision given to her by the said Dewan,
and meet with her immediate demand - or else pray
order him to restore her Houses to her, and she
will readily restore the sum of 2500 Rs. paid for her
by the said Dewan out of the money of her said Houses.
For which act of Charity your Petitioner prays.

Made 10th -
Jan 1862

duy bound

Willmer pray

N^o 149 of 1862.

Referred to the Government

Solicitor for Report.

For St. George

20th January 1862

By order

H. I. Whitridge

Ag. Dy. Secy. to Govt.

Received 21st January, Entered and Returned 19th February, 1862

actg. Gov. to Mr.

Barbado's the Clerk of
the late Malindi.

That Petitioner is
now desirous of having paid
the balance of the price
of her horses and finds
that the clerks in Mr.
Worland's office are fraudulent
— heavily endeavoring
to pay the balance, due
to Petitioner, to her brother-
in-law Nicholas Buchanan
who once made claim
to the horses.

Prays that the
money may be paid to
J^r ^{fit}. Jackson only -

No 149 of 1862

From Yonkers Pa
morning of the late dis-
astrous Adolphi - Baltimore
meeting at Chatham
in Philadelphia March 20,

Feb 10 1892

Dec. 10th 1892

With 1 enclosure

Shakes that'veh.

would add to Government
 through the Oregon &
 houses, the property of the
 line's deceased families
 which we had enjoyed
 for many years, that
 reduced 2/3 of the price of
 these houses (100 to 40)
 paid to soldiers by
 the Oregon through

Political Dept

Proceedings of the Hundred forerunners

Head Retention of Zinnia Rose

Here enter no. 149 of 1862

Had report of the parliament

✓ Quitor

fire center 18th Feb. 1862.

Adel Keller
7 March 1862 No. 92.

Resolved that James
Kee be informed that
the Government will
make no further
payment to her on
account of the