

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 171

Press

~~Mis~~

Dated

30.4.1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
30th April 1862.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 57. Abstract of Petition from M. SAWMY NAIDO, Son of Gopaloo Naidoo of Tanjore, now residing in Sala Street, Door No. 101, Black Town, Madras.

Prays that attention may be given to the claim put forward by him in his Petition No. 231 of 1861, to which as yet no reply has been made.

No. 1,729 of 1861.

The Government Agent is requested to supply, at an early date, the information required by Government in their Endorsement upon Petition, No. 231 of 1861.

By order,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
20th June 1861.

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Acting Deputy Secy. to Govt.

Abstract of Petition from M. SAWMY NAIDOO, Son of M. Gopaloo Naidoo, a Merchant residing in the South Rampart Street within the Fort of Tanjore.

Reiterates the circumstances of his claim upon the Tanjore Raj—complains of the delay, (and inconvenience therefrom to Petitioner) of the Agent at Tanjore in replying to the reference that had been made to him respecting Petitioner.

No. 2,816 of 1861.

Forwarded to the Agent at Tanjore who will supply, at once, the additional information called for in the Order of Government, No. 167, dated the 27th March 1861, and Endorsement, dated 20th June 1861 upon No. 1,729 of 1861.

By order,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
18th October 1861.

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Acting Deputy Secy. to Govt.

From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Acting Government Agent, Tanjore; to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 8th April 1862, No. 92.

Adverting to the Proceedings of Government, under date the 27th March 1861, No. 167, I have the honor to submit the following remarks.

2. Of the 32 joint claimants, exclusive of the Petitioner, to the sum of Rupees 349-11-9 claimed by Petitioner, two appear to have been paid their shares before the death of His late Highness, and four others were paid subsequently and before Petitioner's claim to the whole amount was brought forward, thus leaving the shares of 26 individuals yet unpaid. Not one of these ever made his appearance to claim the amount assigned to him in the Commissioner's award; and as the transactions to which the whole claim referred took place a long time back, no means existed for tracing out the parties.

*Before Birká, Sub-Magistrate of Tanjore, dated 12th February last.

3. The Petitioner, however, gave in a deposition* stating that the number of remaining joint claimants, or Sub-Contractors, was, in fact, only 23, as the same individual had, in three instances, been twice entered in the Palace accounts under a different name, and produced 14 individuals for examination, stating that the remaining 9 could not be traced. Petitioner added that the latter had already received their shares from himself (Petitioner.)

4. Of the 14 who thus appeared, 8 were stated to be the original Sub-Contractors, 4 to be the heirs of 4 other Sub-Contractors deceased, and 1 to be the heir of one who was absent at Bangalore; of these, 13 have given up all claim on the Ráj in favor of the Petitioner, and have stated that they look to him only for payment. The remaining one who was stated to be the son of one of the deceased, denied all knowledge of the claim itself.

5. The 4 individuals already paid subsequent to the Rája's death as mentioned in paragraph 2, have also been examined, but they have ignored the Petitioner's claim and have stated that they have only received what was due to themselves from the Palace.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
30th April 1862.

6. It will be thus seen that, according to Petitioner's last statement, of the total number of joint claimants besides himself, viz. 29, 6 have already received their shares, 13 have relinquished their respective claims in his favor, one (the son of a deceased claimant) knows nothing of his father's claim at all, and 9 who are said to have been already paid by the Petitioner cannot be traced. The shares of the 13 individuals who have deposed in favor of the Petitioner amount in the aggregate to Rupees 68-9-3.

7. If the award was correct, and the sums adjudged by the Commissioner to the 13 sharers above said should have been paid to them; the aggregate of these sums, their right to which the parties have relinquished, may clearly be paid to Petitioner. The sum of Rupees 0-7-8, though the heir of the party against whom it is entered in the Palace lists knows nothing of the claim, may as well be paid to Petitioner also.

8. As separate payments appear to have been made to individual sharers before the Rája's death, it appears to me that, unless the consent of the 9 remaining sharers be obtained, Petitioner cannot claim the remaining sum adjudged by the Court as due. These parties, as above said, cannot be found. As they have not put forward any claim hitherto it is distinctly void now as regards themselves. It remains for Government to determine whether it should be written off, or whether Petitioner's claim to the sum should be allowed and the money paid him, on the understanding that he settles with the individuals should they hereafter come forward.

†Nos. 1,729 and 2,816 of 1861.

9. The two petitions† received since the date of the Government Order are herewith returned.

No. 58. ORDER THEREON, 30th April 1862, No. 171.

Resolved that the Government Agent at Tanjore be instructed to pay to M. Sami Nayudu the sum of Rupees 69-0-11 referred to in paragraph 7 of the foregoing letter. The sum declared by the Court to be due to the remaining 9 sharers will now be credited in the public accounts, the individuals in question having hitherto brought forward no claim to the amount.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Madras 4th October 1861
No 2816 of 61

To

His Excellency the Honorable
The Governor in Council
Fort St. George

4-10-

M.

The humble petition of M. Lawany
Naidoo the son of M. Nagay Gopal Naidoo
a Merchant of Tanjore and residing in
the South Rampart Street within the
Fort of Tanjore.

Respectfully Sheweth

That the petitioner presented a
petition to your Excellency in Council on the 7th December
1860 on behalf of his father Gopal Naidoo to whom
a sum of Rupees 618-10-4 is due by the Palace of
Tanjore to which an endorsement was given that
the decree of the Commissioner should be produced.

In answer to this, Petitioner presented
another petition on the 16th January 1861, stating
that the decision of the Commissioner was never
granted but verbally communicated. Consequently
this Petition was forwarded to the Government Agent
calling on him to forward copy of the Commissioner's
decision and if there be more to report on the claim.
The

The Agent forwarded his report which not being satisfactory to Government they reforwarded it for investigation and report.

The Agent accordingly called on the then Sirikil to send for the persons under the Petitioner's father who may be present, and investigate the case; but the Sirikil instead of so doing, altho the parties were present constantly and almost daily according to order, reported to the Agent that the parties had not made their appearance.

When the above circumstance came to our notice we wrote to the Agent explaining the particulars and also enclosed copy of the accompanying Majernamah from the alleged Claimants. This Majernamah will show whether there is any fault on our part.

In this manner I have been put to great unnecessary expense and inconvenience for the past one year. Therefore, being under the necessity to return to my Country I respectfully beg your Excellency will be pleased once more to call upon the Agent to have the case investigated as soon as possible and see the claims properly adjusted, and for which act of benevolence and justice Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

10/11/1872
M. Lawmy Naidoo.
Son of Maligay Gopal Naidoo residing in South
Rampart Street within the Fort of Langoon

To

W. M. Cassell Esq^r
Government Agent
Langoon

The Majernamah of the undersigned on behalf
of Maligay Gopal Naidoo residing in Avilcarah
Street within the Southern Rampart of Langoon

Respectfully sheweth

That Petitioner not only supplies
materials to Gopal Naidoo for the forming of Veerasam
mah Pittah but also assisted in the work for both
of which there is still a balance due to us, which
balance together with a large amount due to the
said Gopal Naidoo still remains unpaid by
the Palace Authorities.

The said Gopal Naidoo is the only proper
person to receive the said monies from the Palace
Authorities and after paying himself the amount
due to him he is to satisfy us.

He is the only person to demand and receive
from the Palace Authorities the said monies, and

and none of us have any right to do so, these particulars we have already brought to the notice of the Palace authorities by means of an Urga in 1856

Such being the case Gopal Naik has now preferred a complaint to the Government by means of a petition which petition has been forwarded to this place for enquiry and report whether there are any other claimants to the said monies - accordingly we have been summoned by the Sikkh to give our evidence, but the Sikkh instead of making any investigation, vainly and unmanfully detains us - thus causing us great deal of inconvenience and distress as we are labourers and depend on our daily labor for maintenance, therefore as we are now given to understand that your Honor is about to proceed to Satowara Suttum and other places on circuit and as stated above, as we have nothing to interfere in the demanding or receiving the above said monies, we respectfully beg you will be pleased to accept this as our deposition and kindly permit us to return to our duties.

Signed /
 D/ Varaha Cothian / Govindoo Cothian on behalf of himself
 " Loolrayan for his father / his late father and Brother -
 " Gattimootoo Cothian for his father / Vytheliga Chary
 " Groomoogum Cothian / Coanjan for his father
 " Raximian for his father / Palakyanby Pullay
 " Groomooga Cothian / Groomooga Cothian
 " Vyapoor Cothian
 " Parapoor Chetty
 4th May 1862

A True Copy for the Honorable
 Lord of Councils
 Mr. Secretary of State
 Mr. Secretary of the Treasury
 Mr. Secretary of the Admiralty
 Mr. Secretary of the War Office
 Mr. Secretary of the Colonies
 Mr. Secretary of the India Office
 Mr. Secretary of the Foreign Office
 Mr. Secretary of the Home Office
 Mr. Secretary of the Privy Council
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Trade
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Agriculture
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Education
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Health
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Lunacy
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Pensions
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Prisons
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Rivers and Harbours
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Works
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Ordnance
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Mint
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Customs
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Excise
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Finance
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Revenue
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Trade
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Agriculture
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Education
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Health
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Lunacy
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Pensions
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Prisons
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Rivers and Harbours
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Works
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Ordnance
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Mint
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Customs
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Excise
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Finance
 Mr. Secretary of the Board of Revenue

Political Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Madras Government

Here enter 27th March 1861. No 67.
 Petitions Nos 1729 & 2816 of 1861, and
 Mad. Report of the Government
 Agent at Sanjore
 Here enter 8th April 1862.

Order thereon
 30 April 1862 No 11

Resolved that the Govern-
 ment Agent at Sanjore
 be instructed to pay to
 Mr. Nini Raghunath the
 sum of Rs 64-0-0