

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

68

Press

Mis

Dated

30-1-1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
30th January 1861.*

No. 124. Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Government Agent at Tanjore, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Vallam, 11th January 1861, No. 11.

* 14th August 1860, No. 485.
15th September " " 552.
16th August " " 487.
21st September " " 569.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government marginally noted,* I have the honor to solicit instructions regarding the disposal of some of the awards made by the late Commissioner in respect of the claims on the Estate of the late Raj.

2. In item 10 of the Memoranda appended to his Report† on the deposit fund, Mr. Phillips has recommended, that the amount of Rupees 3,712-3-1, appearing under the head of "Saraswati Bhandar" or hall of learning, minus Rupees 858-9-9, which cannot be distributed, should be disbursed to the individuals specified in the original list therein mentioned. Among the entries in that list, there are 9 entries of a general and indefinite nature, as "Ashrits" or dependants of the priestly class on M. Avu Sahib, Saidambayi Sahib, &c, and forming a total of Rupees 1,271-2-11. Such entries, the Government will observe from the description given of the item in question in Mr. Phillips' Memoranda, represent the sums intended to be given to various Bramins as gifts on particular occasions, but not actually distributed at the time; and such intended recipients would seem to have been the dependants of the several members of the Raja's family, whose names are specified. And again, in the list referred to in item No. 11 of the aforesaid Memoranda, there is an entry of Rupees 2-9-3, in the name of a Pagoda called Sri-Konkaneswaraswami.

3. In like manner in the list of claims on the Estate of the late Raj generally, there are three entries under the head of the "Serkele's list" of the aggregate amount of Rupees 70-14-9, in the names of three different Pagodas. A list shewing the 15 entries in question with the amounts as they stand, is herewith enclosed for the information of Government.

4. In the case of the entries of the description first mentioned, the different members of the Raja's family and whose names are specified in the manner above indicated, and where such members have deceased, their heirs and representatives, have desired the amount of the entry in each case, to be distributed to the several parties named by themselves as heirs and representatives of their dependants, and for whom the gifts were originally intended. In the case of the entries of the 2nd description, viz., those appearing in the names of Pagodas, the Agents of such Institutions have applied for the payment thereof.

5. In this latter case, I conceive that payment may be made to the Agents on behalf of such Institutions. As regards, however, the indefinite entries in favor of "Ashrits" generally, I feel some doubt as to the expediency of making payment in the absence of clear evidence as to who may be entitled thereto, and I beg therefore to solicit the instructions of Government in the matter.

6. I beg also to add that besides the entries above specified, there are 6 others forming a total of Rupees 400-4-2, under the head of "Saraswati Bhandar" referred to in paragraph 2 above, which from their vague description, and from their having reference to Institutions now not existing and to individuals now not to be traced, are evidently incapable of being distributed. These are similar to the 17 entries of the aggregate amount of Rupees 858-9-9, alluded† to by Mr. Phillips, but have apparently been inadvertently omitted to be classed

‡ Paragraph 2, item 10 of the Memoranda appended to the Commissioner's Report.

among them. I therefore presume that the amount of these 6 entries should also be dealt with in the same manner as that of the 17, and I will accordingly hold it in deposit for the present, in view to the same being likewise eventually carried to the public credit.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
30th January 1861.

List of indefinite entries found in the Maratta lists of Claimants on the Estate of the late Tanjore Raj.

No. in the Supplemental Gazette.	Names.	Amount of award.		
168	Dependants of SARASWATI BHANDAR OR HALL OF LEARNING.			
169	of M. Ayu Saheb...	RS.	A.	P.
170	of M. Saidambaye Saheb...	458	8	1/2
171	of M. Kamakshiambabayi Saheb...	83	7	1/2
172	of S. Chiranjivi Rajasabai Amni Rajee the elder daughter of the Raja deceased Saheb...	248	13	3/4
174	of Sulakshanambabayi Amni Rajee Saheb...	78	11	1
175	of Sakharabayi Amni Rajee Saheb...	211	4	1/2
176	of Ry Sakharam Row Narayana Row...	114	11	4
177	of Ry Mesji Mohite...	12	0	3
178	of Ry Manappa Mohite...	5	14	1/2
179	of Ry Ramachandra Row Surve...	15	2	1/2
	of Ry Ingle Row...	21	15	8 1/4
		20	11	5 1/2
362	Sri-Konkaneswaraswami Pagoda... IMARAT DEPARTMENT.	Total...	1,271	2 11
4	Sri-Vittobaswami Pagoda... SERKELE'S LIST.		2	9 3
30	Sri-Virasimha Sivendraswami Pagoda...		15	2 10
89	Sri-Brahadiswaraswami Pagoda...		19	0 0
			36	11 11
			70	14 9
		Grand Total...	1,344	10 11

TANJORE,
11th January 1861.

(Signed) W. M. CADELL,
Collector.

No. 125.

ORDER THEREON, 30th January 1861, No. 68.

Under the circumstances of the case, the Government are of opinion that the sums awarded by the late Commissioner to the dependants of certain members of the Raja's family in respect to their claims on the estate of the Tanjore Raj, under the head of "Saraswati Bhandar," amounting to Rupees 1,271-2-11, should be distributed in the manner desired by them as stated in paragraph 4 of the Agent's letter; and they direct that this be accordingly done.

Imarat Department.....Rupees 2-9-3.
Serkele's list.....70-14-9.

Rupees...73-8-0.

2. With respect to the entries under the heads noted in the margin, being those appertaining to four Pagodas, the Government desire that the sums aggregating Rupees 73-8-0, be disbursed to the Agents of such Institutions, who have applied for the payment.

3. The other six entries referred to in paragraph 6, forming a total of Rupees 400-4-2, under the head of "Saraswati Bhandar," should be retained in deposit for the present and eventually carried to the credit of Government as proposed.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

Political Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following letter from
the Govt. Agent at Tanjore.

(Dated 11th Jan'y. 1861. N^o. 11)

Order thereon 30 January 1861. N^o. 68.

Under the circumstances of the case, the Govt. are of opinion that the sums awarded by the late Commissioner to the dependants of certain members of the Raja's family in respect to their claims on the Estate of the Tanjore Raj, under the head of "Saraswati Bhandar," amounting to Rupees 1,271-2-11 should be distributed in the manner desired by them as stated in

Imarat Department Rs. 2-9-3
 Serkele's Sist Rs. 70-14-9
Rs. 73-8-"

in para 4 of the Agents letter,
 and they direct that this be
 accordingly done.

2 With respect to the entries
 under the heads noted in the
 margin, being those appertaining
 to four Pagodas, the Govt. desire
 that the sums aggregating Rs. 3-8-
 be disbursed to the Agents of
 such Institutions, who have
 applied for the payment.

3 The other six entries re-
 ferred to in para 6, forming
 a total of Rupees 4-00-4-3, un-
 der the head of "Saraswati
 Bhandar", should be retained
 in deposit for the present
 and eventually carried to the
 credit of Govt. as proposed.

[Signature]

To the Govt. Agent at Tanjore }
 " Accts. General }
 " Civil Auditor } 3

Rs. 1240
 Rs. 1-6-1

[Signature]

Political 1801-Departt
 1868

Proceedings of Govt

Dated 30 January 1861

Regarding the disposal
 of certain sums forming
 the Deposit in the Raj
 Treasury at Tanjore.

39-40
 14-8-10
 43-5-
 16-8-10
 46
 15-9-10
 70-7-
 2-8-60

1248125
 124 8125-