M.R.O. 1—38,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 518 Press ~~Mis~~

Dated 22 - 11 - ~~19~~ 1861

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
22nd November 1861.

Read the following papers :—

No. 53. To the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort Saint George, Madras.

The humble Petition of THOMAS DAVID PILLAY, lately a Javabnavis in Hozore Pomlok, in the Palace of Tanjore, and now living in the northern street of Nagaswara Swamy Pagoda in Cusba Combaconum.

May it please Your Lordship,

I most humbly beg to state that I held a long time the abovesaid appointment on a salary of 15 Rupees per mensem and after the demise of His Highness the Maharaja lost my appointment along with others on representation of the then Agent.

2. In proof of my having held the above appointment I have got a Rokka from the Sirkele on the 4th April 1860, a date long before that of the notification, directing all those who have any claim upon the Estate of His Excellency to represent to, and have registered their names in, the Government Agent's Office.

3. Further the particulars of the arrears of my pay had been duly registered in the accounts of the Government Agent. Many of those who were discharged with me have been paid with reference to the entries in the Register.

4. I applied to the Agent for the sum due to me with reference to the Sirkele's Rokka and the entry in the Register book of the Government Agent; but was refused on the ground that I did not appear within the time limited in the Government notification. The endorsement of the Agent to the above effect is herewith submitted.

5. In explanation of the delay I beg to add that after I got the Rokka from the Sirkele, I was obliged to go to Madras on urgent private affairs, where having fallen sick, I was unable to join the Agent's Office at the given time. If this short delay which was accidental is to be accounted as reason for my not being paid it is to entail a heavy loss upon me.

6. Even supposing that I failed to have my name registered in the Agent's Office, I beg to state that as my name is already entered in the Palace accounts and as those accounts are chief documents on which the registry in the Agent's Office is based, the failure of my appearance in the given time cannot at all affect my claim.

7. Under the above circumstances I most humbly request that my claim may be taken into your Lordship's most gracious consideration and the Government Agent be directed to pay me the sum due with reference to the Palace accounts and the Sirkele's Rokka.

For this act of charity and justice I your Lordship's most humble Petitioner will, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Combaconum,
18*th September* 1861.

தோமாஸ் தாவீதுபிள்ளை.

No. 2,723 of 1861.

Forwarded to the Government Agent at Tanjore who will report whether there is any reason for making Petitioner's case an exceptional one.

By Order,

Fort Saint George,
2nd October 1861.

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Ag. Deputy Secy. to Govt.

No. 54. From W. M. CADELL, Esq., Government Agent, Tanjore; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Vallam, 5th November 1861, No. 381.

With reference to the endorsement of Government on Petition No. 2,723 of 1861, I have the honor to report that the Petitioner Thomas David Pillai has a gratuity of Rs. 135 assigned

to him in No. 400 of the dismissed batch of the Husúr Pámloke Department of the late Tanjur Raj; but having failed to claim the same within the term fixed by the Orders of Government marginally noted, the application afterwards made by him was rejected.

Proceedings of Government, dated 15th March 1860, No. 144, paragraph 4.

The time expired on the 30th June 1860.

2. Petitioner states that on the 10th April 1860 he proceeded to Madras on his private affairs and that having fallen sick there, he was not able to return till the end of September of the same year, and hence he was prevented from applying for his gratuity within the fixed term.

3. From the enquiries which have now been made, Petitioner's statement would appear to be correct, and I therefore submit his case is deserving of the favorable consideration of Government.

No. 55. ORDER THEREON, 22nd November 1861, No. 518.

The Collector of Tanjore reports upon Petition No. 2,723 of 1861 forwarded to him for that purpose, and explains that the Petitioner Thomas David Pillai was assigned a gratuity of Rupees 135 as No. 400 of the dismissed batch of the Huzur Pamloke on the extinction of the Tanjore Raj, but that having failed to claim its payment within the period fixed by the Orders of Government his application was rejected on presentation.

2. Mr. Cadell states that from the enquiries he has made he believes that Petitioner's assertion, that his delay in claiming the gratuity due to him was occasioned by sickness which detained him in Madras, is correct, and he is of opinion that Petitioner's case may be treated exceptionally.

3. The Government resolve to authorize the Collector to disburse to the Petitioner the amount of the gratuity (Rupees 135) originally sanctioned for him.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

Pol
41

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Read Petn. of Thomas David — 18 Sept 1861.
Read letter from the Collector
of Tanjore
dated 5th Nov.r 1861.

Order thereon

22d November 1861. No 518.

The Collector of Tanjore
reports upon Petition
No 2723 of 1861 forward-
ed to him for that
purpose, and explains
that the Petitioner
Thomas David Pillai

was assigned a portion
of Rs135 as Rs400 of the
deceased both of the
Thozhur Kandoke on the
4th Junction of the Sanjiva
Raj; but that having
failed to claim its
payment within the
period fixed by the Rules
of Government his ap-
plication was rejected
on presentation. -

2. But Cadell states

that from the enquiries
he has made he believes
that Petitioners assertion,
that his delay in claiming
the portion due to him
was occasioned by sick-
ness which detained him
in Madras, is correct,
& he is of opinion that
Petitioners case may be
treated exceptionally.

3 The Government Resolu-

53 @ 55

to authorize the Collector
to Disburse to the Petitioner
or the amount of the
Patent fees originally
cautioned for Liens —
providing that the money
in question is available
for from the Budget.

which the sum
should be paid
when provided
from the Budget

R. J. M.

To the Ass't Agent Taxpayers
Asst Paymaster
Asst General
} dated 23d

6 13th hour 19th hour