

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 388

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

31-8-1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
31st August 1861.

No. 56. Read the following letter from Surgeon Major EDWARD G. BALFOUR, Government Agent at Chepauk, and Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 9th August 1861, No. 1,012.

I have the honor to enclose herewith Lists of New Pensioners, of Gratuities, and of differences from dates of discharge to dates when first drawn, which I hope may be final, and which have been deferred thus long in the effort to get rid of every possible claimant. But claims are so frequently put forward and so often reiterated, that this effort finally to dispose of all, has greatly protracted the transmission of this report.

2. The only case of which particular mention is required, is that of Rajah Eshwar Doss Dayawunt Bahadoor, at present in receipt of a one-third rate as a Chief Officer, but now submitted for a half rate on the ground of hereditary service. His name might have been submitted in some previous list; but he valued so high the honor of being declared by Government to be a Chief Officer, that he dreaded the possibility of being withdrawn from that class if recommended to a half rate for hereditary services; preferring the one-third rate and his present position, to a one-half rate with removal therefrom. He has asked me to solicit that if His Excellency the Governor in Council honor him with the higher rate now submitted, on the ground of hereditary service, his present number indicating his position amongst the Stipendiaries may not be cancelled, but be graciously permitted to be retained.

1. Letter to Government, No. 155, dated 24th December 1856, printed report pages 6 and 7, paragraph 25.
2. " " " " 319, dated 6th November 1857, paragraphs 26, 27 and 50.
3. " E. M. C. " 1,075, dated 24th December 1857.
4. Letter to Government " 523, dated 3rd March 1858.
5. " " " " 472, dated 10th March 1859.
6. " E. M. C. " 184, dated 23rd Do. 1859.
7. Letter to Government " 1,298, dated 4th August 1859.
8. Proceedings of Government, No. 475, dated 8th August 1860, forwarding copy of a Despatch from the Right Hon'ble the Secretary of State for India, dated London, 22d June 1860, No. 15.
9. Letter to Government No. 644, dated 31st May 1861.
10. Proceedings of Government, No. 290, dated 24th June 1861.

3. As this Officer's position and recent services have been, as per margin, frequently under the favorable notice of Government, I have the honor to recommend his present prayer.

4. The Sircar records here do not contain all the information requisite to admit of decisions with facility on the cases of the out-station retainers; but the present list contains all that the individuals there seem entitled to.

5. As His Excellency the Governor in Council may require to know if these amounts have been provided for in the 1861-62 Budget, I may mention that they were so in that of 1860-61 as follows:—

Sum estimated for in Estimate of 1860-61, under head of "Extraordinary."

"Arrears of increased rates of stipends to certain Carnatic Stipendiaries." Rs. 40,000 0 0

Sums paid under G. O. 8th January and 11th July 1861, Nos. 19 & 317... .. Rs. 22,186 12 6

Now fresh recommended 10,816 8 3 ,, 33,003 4 9

Still under Estimate of 1860-61... .. 6,996 11 3

Sum estimated for in Estimate of 1860-61, under head of "Pensioners."

Amount of final pension list ₹ annum 3,500 0 0
Now fresh recommended 236-7 × 12 = ,, 2,837 4 0 ₹ annum.
Still under Estimate of 1860-61... .. 662 12 0

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
31st August 1861.*

GRATUITIES.

Sum estimated for in Estimate of 1860-61 under the head of " Pensioners."

Gratuity to servants discharged from Sirkar employment	Rs.	10,000	0	0
Paid up to 21st January 1861	Rs.	3,636	0	0
Now fresh recommended	"	275	4	0
Still under Estimate of 1860-61	"	6,088	12	0

No. 57.

ORDER THEREON, 31st August 1861, No. 380.

With this letter the Chepauk Agent submits four lists, which may be regarded as final, of certain servants and retainers of the late Nawab of the Carnatic admitted to pensions and gratuities according to the scale and rules laid down by the Government of India, and of difference and arrears of pension payable from the date of discharge to the date of grant to Stipendiaries already in receipt of allowances and to servants newly admitted to pension, under the Orders of this Government noted in the margin.

2. The sums to be disbursed on these accounts and for which the sanction of Government is required, are :

List No. 1, Rupees 185-5-0.—One hundred and eighty-five and Annas five per mensem, on account of A pensions at half and full rates in lieu of one-third and half and of B pensions in lieu of gratuities.

No. 2, Rupees 275-4-0.—Two hundred and seventy-five and Annas four, on account of gratuities.

No. 3, Rupees 3,070-9-4.—Three thousand and seventy, Annas nine and Pice four, on account of difference and arrears of pension to servants, &c. of the late Sirkar at Madras.

List No. 4, Rupees 6,404-10-11.—Six thousand four hundred and four, Annas ten and Pice eleven, on account of do. do. at out-stations.

3. There is a difference between the amounts of lists Nos. 1, 3 and 4 and those entered in the Agent's letter as "now fresh recommended," as shown below :—

Per lists.	According to letter.	Difference.
No. 1—Rupees 185 5 0	Rupees 236 7 0	Rupees 51 2 0
" 3— " 3,070 9 4		
" 4— " 6,404 10 11		
Total ... 9,475 4 3	" 10,816 8 3	" 1,341 4 0

The sums entered in the lists will be adopted as they appear to exhibit the correct amounts.

4. It is observed from the first part A of list No. 1, of increased rates of pension to existing Stipendiaries that the actual charge on this account will be Rupees 25-4-0 per mensem, or Rupees 49-14-0 less than the amount specified at the end of the list and included in the grand total. To prevent the possibility of a double payment, the previous grants to the servants mentioned in part A of the list must be considered as cancelled.

5. In paragraphs 2 and 3 of his letter the Agent refers to the case of Rajah Eshwar Doss Dayawant Bahadur who is admitted (in lieu of one-third already sanctioned) to a pension at one-half rate to which he is entitled on account of ancestral service. His name being already entered in the list of Chief Officers of the late Nawab, it will of course continue there, and the grant of the increased rate will not affect his present position. This Stipendiary, it is observed, has already been recommended to Her Majesty's Government for a pension, equal to the full pay of the office he has held.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
31st August 1861.*

6. The Government remark that the entry of the name of Mahomed Hoossain in part A of list No. 1, was out of place, and unnecessary ; it was no transfer from one rate of pension to another as in the other cases ; but it seems that for the sake of an addition of one Anna to his present stipend of Rupees 7-8-0, his name was inserted in that list.

7. In sanctioning the lists submitted by the Agent and the several payments for which they provide, as specified in paragraph 2 of these Proceedings, the Government have no alternative but to authorize the Sub-Treasurer to advance the sums required, for which Treasury Orders will be issued on the application of the Agent, and to instruct the Accountant General to provide in the Supplemental Budget under preparation for the necessary outlay, with a further margin of Rupees 1,600 to meet any other cases which may be reported during the current year.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

unt. Bahadur, at present in receipt

No. 1012 of 1861

GOVERNMENT AGENT'S OFFICE,

9th August 1861.

From Surgeon Major EDWARD G. BALFOUR,

Government Agent at Chepauk,

and Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

SIR,

I have the honor

to enclose herewith Lists
of new Pensioners, of Gratuities, and
of differences from dates of discharge
to dates when first drawn, which
I hope may be final and which have
been deferred thus long in the effort
to get rid of every possible claimant.
But claims are so frequently put
forward and so often reiterated,
that this effort finally to dispose
of all, has greatly protracted the
transmission of this report.

2

The only case of which partic-
ular mention is required, is
that of Rajah Shivar Doss Day-
awant Bahadoor, at present in
receipt

receipt of a $\frac{1}{3}^{\text{rd}}$ Rate as a Chief
 Officer but now submitted for
 a $\frac{1}{2}$ rate on the ground of hereditary
 service. His name might have
 been submitted in some previous
 list, but he valued so high the
 honor of being declared by Govern-
 ment to be a Chief Officer, that
 he dreaded the possibility of being
 withdrawn from that Class if
 recommended to a half rate for
 hereditary services, preferring the
 $\frac{1}{3}^{\text{rd}}$ rate and his present position,
 to a one-half rate with removal
 therefrom. He has asked me to
 solicit that if His Excellency the
 Governor in Council honor him
 with the higher rate now submitted
 on the ground of hereditary service,
 his present number indicating
 his position amongst the Stipendi-
 aries may not be cancelled,
 but be graciously permitted to be retained.
 As

- 4
- 3
- As this Officer's position and recent services have been, as per Margin, frequently under the favorable notice of Government, I have the honor to recom-
1. Letter to Govt. N^o 155 of 24th Dec^r 1856 printed Report pages 62 & Para 25.
 2. " " " " 319 of 6th Nov^r 1857 Paras 26, 27 & 50
 3. " " " " 1075 of 24th Dec^r 1857 -
 4. Letter to Govt. N^o 523 of 3rd March 1858.
 5. " " " " 472 of 10th March 1859 -
 6. " " " " 184 of 23rd Dec^r 1859
 7. Letter to Govt. N^o 1298 of 4th August 1859
 8. Proceedings of Govt. N^o 475 of 8th August 1860 forward-
 ing Copy of a Despatch from the
 Right Honorable the Secretary of
 State for India dated London
 22nd June 1860 N^o 15 -
 9. Letter to Govt. N^o 644 dated 31st May 1861 -
 10. Proceedings of Govt. N^o 290 dated 24th June 1861

mend his present prayer. —

4 The Sircar Records here do
 not contain all the information
 requisite to admit of decisions with
 facility on the Cases of the out station
 retainers, but the present list contains
 all that the individuals there seem
 entitled to. —

5 As His Excellency the Governor
 in Council may require to know
 if these amounts have been provided

for

for in the 1861-62 Budget, I may mention
that they were so in that of 1860-61 as
follows. —

Sum Estimated for in Estimate of
1860-61 under head of "Extraordinary" 4,000-11-"
"Arrears of increased rates of
"Stipends to certain Carnatic
"Stipendiaries" —

Sum paid under G.O. 8th January
& 11th July 1861 Nos 19 & 31 Rs. 22186-12-6

Now fresh recommended " 10,816-8-3 33,003-4-9

Still under Estimate 1860-61 Rs. 6996-11-3

Sum Estimated for in Estimate of 1860-61
under head of "Pensioners"

Amount of final Pension List Rs. 3500-11-"

Now fresh recommended 236 7/12 = " 2837-4-"

Still under Estimate of 1860-61 Rs. 62-12-"

Gratuities

PC 19th March 1857 6238
8th Jan'y 1858 Rs. 40014
23rd March " 376
23rd March 59. 376
16th Augt. " 576
8th Augt 1860. 21122
24th Jan'y 1861. 32034
8th Jan'y 1861. 31835
11th July 1861 337

32-69

56457

C190 Augt.
R20 "
Gou " 20 "
R 29 "

31 Augt.
Political 1861 Department.
From
The Government Agent at Chepauk,
and Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends.

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Fort St. George
No 374

Dated 9th August 1861.
Recd " " "
No. 1012 of 1861.
4. Enclosures

Transmits Lists of
new Pensioners of
Gratuities and of
differences of pension,
(at Madras & outstations)
from dates of discharge,
to dates when first drawn,
and recommends Com-
pliance with the prayer
of Rajah Eswardoss Goya,
resident Bahadur.

I see nothing to remark upon
in this except that perhaps it
may be as well to omit page 7 -
for I believe that it will remain
- though he does not explicitly
state it - when Dr. H. did not
enter the prison in the winter of
1861/62 was that he expected to
have paid them all in 1860/61 -
and he could not well put them
down for both years - This if it
be the case should be carefully

noted, and lower, will supply him.
-ing budget now under prepara-
-tion -

Is there anything I should
Low must now will be to
to point on account of them
aman? It is true that they
will now will.

28¹

JP

A

Political Department

Proceedings of the Madras Govt

Read letter from the
Govt Agent at Chepauk
and Paymaster of Cas-
sation stipends.

(Dated 9th Aug. 8/61. No 1012)

Order thereon 31st August 1861 No 380.

With this letter the
Chepauk Agent submits
four lists, which may
be regarded as final,
of certain servants and
detainers of the late Ra-
wab of the Carnatic ad-
mitted to pensions and
gratuities according to
the scale and rules laid
down by the Govt of In-
dia, and of difference
and arrears of pension
payable from the date
of discharge to the date

of

18 January 1858. No 149.
 8 " " " 17.
 8 " " " 19.
 11 July " " 37.

of grant to Stipendiaries already in receipt of allowances and to servants newly admitted to pension, under the order of this Government noted in the margin.

2. The sums to be disbursed on these accounts and for which the sanction of Govd is required, are:

- List No 1. Rs. 185-5-0 One hundred and eighty-five and Annas five per mensem, on account of pensions at half and full rates in lieu of $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, and of pensions in lieu of gratuities.
- " No 2. Rs. 275-4-0 Two hundred and twenty-five and Annas four, on account of gratuities.
- " No 3. Rs. 3070-9-4 Threethousand and seventy Annas nine and Pice four, on account of difference and

and arrears of pension to servants dec. of the late Sarkar at Madras.

1. Rs. 404-10-11 Six thousand four hundred and four, Annas ten and Pice eleven, on account of - do - do - at Outstations.

3. There is a difference between the amounts of Lists No 1, 3 & 4 and those entered in the Agents letter as "now first recommended," as shown below:

per Lists	According to letter	Difference
No 1 - Rs. 185-5-0	No. 230-7-0	No. 54-2-0
" 3 - " 3070-9-4		
" 4 - " 404-10-11		
Total 4475-4-3	" 10,810-8-3.	" 1341-4-0

The sums entered in the Lists will be adopted as they appear to exhibit the correct amounts.

4. It is observed from the first part of List No 1. of increased rates

Increased rates - Rs. 45-2-0
Already sanctioned - 49-14-0
Accepted by them -
Actual increase 25-4-0

rates of pension to existing
Stipendiaries that the ac-
tual change on this account
will be Rs. 25-4-0 per man
or Rs. 49-14-0 less than
the amount specified at
the end of the List and in-
cluded in the grand Total.
To prevent the possibility
of a double payment, the
previous grants to the Ser-
vants mentioned in part
A of the List must be con-
sidered as cancelled.

(in lieu of one third al-
ready sanctioned)

5. In para 2 & 3 of
his letter the Agent refers
to the case of Rajah Ch-
war Doss Dayawant
Bahadur who is ad-
mitted to a pension at one-
half rate to which he
is entitled on account of
successful service. His
name being already en-
tered in the List of Chief
Officers of the late Rewar,
it

it will of course con-
tinue there, and the grant
of the increased rate
will not affect his pre-
sent position. This St-
pendiary, it is observed,
has already been recom-
mended to Her Majesty's
Government for a pension
equal to the full pay of
the office he has held.

6. The Govd remarks
that the entry of the name
of Mahomed Hoossain
in Part A of List B. was
out of place and unne-
cessary; it was no
transfer from one rate to
another as in the other
cases, but it seems that
for the sake of an ad-
dition of one anna to his
present stipend of Ru-
pees 7-8-0 his name was
inserted in that List.

7. It appears from
the information fur-
-nished

furnished in the last para
of his letter that the Agent
though fully aware of
the payments that would
have to be made in the
current official year on
the above accounts, did
not provide for them
in his Budget Estimate
of 1861/62. It is no plea
for this omission, ^{to say now} that
~~there was~~ provision was
made in the Estimate of
the previous year ^{1860/61} and
that after making these
payments the amount
would still be under
that Estimate. For I
Balfour ^{ought to have} ~~must~~ ^{known} ~~be well~~
~~known~~ that under the
Budget system charges
occurring in one year
cannot be met out of
funds estimated and
allotted for similar
disbursements in a
previous

previous year.

In sanctioning the
Lists submitted by the
Agent and the several
payments for which they
provide, as specified in
para 2 of these Proceed-
ings, the Govt have no
alternative but to au-
thorise the Sub Treasurer
to advance the sums re-
quired, for which Treasury
orders will be issued on
the application of the
Agent and to instruct
the Accountant General
to provide for them in
the Supplemental Budget
under preparation for
the necessary outlay,
with a further margin
of Rs 1000 to meet any
other calls which may
be reported during
the current year.

To the Govt Agent at Chhapra
1 Paid of Consular Expenses 4/9
" Asst General. 31/8
" Civil Auditor, with 4 Lists 34/9
" Sub Treasurer.

J. D. S.

J. D. S.

13/2/504

18-7-541
14-3-77

Official 1861 Sept 4

No 380.

Proceedings of West.

Dated 31st Aug 1861.

Sanctioning Officer

Letter of permission and
gratuitous to the late 17 mile
servants submitted by the
Chapman & Bryant

Feb 439
7C 358/97

5657