

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 384

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

4 - 9 - 1861

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
4th September 1861.*

No. 7. Read the following letter from Surgeon Major EDWARD G. BALFOUR, Government Agent at Chepauk, and Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 9th August 1861, No. 1,016.

I have the honor to submit the following case for the orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council relating to Batul-Oon-Nissa.

Name.	Occupation.	Monthly salary.			Approximate age.	Date of admission.
V. Grand daughter of Dabeer-ul-Moolk Bahadur.	Pensioner.	Rs.	A.	P.		1st April 1855
		30	0	0		

2. In the Dewan's list of Circar Servants of date 22nd October 1855, there was returned a person as per margin.

3. My information at the time was that this was a young woman, the grand-daughter of the Darbar Secretary, and that her claim to be a pensioner was wholly untenable, as her father and her husband were then Sircar Servants on Rupees 400 and Rupees 50 per mensem respectively, and almost all her other immediate relatives were in receipt of large salaries. Her name was therefore submitted in my List B, as an item for discontinuance, and such lapse was sanctioned by Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 17th January, No. 18 of 1856.

4. Subsequently, acting on the Order in paragraph 3 of Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 960 of 1857, and paragraph 5 of Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 77 of 1858, this lady's name was submitted in List 68 for a full pension as a pensioner proper, which was sanctioned by Order of Government, 12th November No. 664 of 1859; but on the Order of 29th November No. 694, this lady's name was again struck out.

5. Since then, she has by petitions claimed to be a pensioner. But as her husband, her

Stipend No.	Names.	Amount of Stipend		
		Rs.	A.	P.
1327	Dabeer-ood-Dowlah, Uncle.....	300	0	0
1329	Mahomed Nucky, Father.....	400	0	0
1246	Raes-ool-Oomrah Bahadoor, Uncle.....	400	0	0
1348	Dabeer-ool-Mulk Bahadoor, Grandfather.....	300	0	0
2263	Mahomed Zindah, Husband.....	16	10	0
3246	Ettysam-ood-Dowlah, Cousin.....	100	0	0

father and many other of her near relatives were all Sircar Servants, are alive and all drawing pensions, for the services they performed, as per margin, it is impossible to class her with the Dewan's ex-

planation of pensioner proper of the Sircar, viz., that they are in receipt of allowances for their own services or for those of their ancestors.

6. The utmost that could be said regarding this lady is that she might be classed as a Nabob's Bekar, or a person not in employ in the receipt of the Nabob's bounty, and entitled by the ruling of Government, in other such cases, to one-half of the amount she was in receipt of from the Nabob.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 4th September 1861, No. 384.

The Government concur in the view taken by the Agent of the case of Batul-oon-Nissa, and

accordingly authorize her being classed as a "Bekar" and pensioned like other* retainers of the same class on one-half the allowance she received from the Nawab, or Rupees (15) fifteen per mensem, which will be paid to her in arrear from the date on which her monthly allowance of 30 Rupees was discontinued under the Orders of Government, dated 17th January 1856, No. 18.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Ed. F. Cartledge.

From Don the letter from the Gov. Agent
at Chepauk. dated 9 August 1855. No 1016.

The case, submitted
in this letter is that of
Ratul oon Kissa, grand
daughter of Saboor ul
Noor Bahadur. It is a
peculiar one, and in or-
der to understand it
correctly it was necessary
to get from the Civil & Military
Office the original Lists
in which the entry of her
name appears, and to
look into the old reports
and Lists of 1855.

2. In his letter of the
20 December 1855. No 85 for-
warding the List of Redia.
Kiss proposed by the De-
wan in the expenses of
the Carnatic Serken, and
also Lists of the Ser-
vants of the late Na-
wab, as called for by
Govd, the Agent recom-
mended (para 5) certain
courses to be followed

as the first step to be taken for effecting the reductions indispensably necessary. Lists of these reductions, differing from those proposed by the Dewan, are embodied in the Agent's letter, and it is in List B, headed "All Honorary allowances, of Rs. 'dehies dar fan,' comprising a reduction of nearly 5,000 Rupees per mensem, that the entry of the exche. of Bataloon Bissa appears, as

V Grand daughter of the Secretary, Mr. 500, with the remark "not in Dewan's List of reductions." Her husband is in the receipt of Rs. 50. Her Father is in the receipt of Rs. 400. Her grandfather is in the receipt of Rs. 300. & all her other relations employed."

3. In the Ex. Min. of Civ. on the above dated 14 January 1855. It is the Govd informed the Agent that they were not dis-

-posed

disposed to enter into the question of the reduction of expenses connected with the Durbar of the Nawab. To the extent proposed in his letter, but as it was reasonable that the expenditure should be kept within the monthly allowance, they considered that no objection could be raised to reduction in matters which were private expenses of the late Nawab, such as the Circars and the payments enumerated in the List B in the Agent's letter.

4. On reference to this List B it will be seen that almost all the items are private expenses of the Nawab, but ~~maintaining~~ the monthly allowance of 30 Rupees to Bataloon Bissa, who was retained by the Dewan as a Pensioner. In his List of 22 Oct. 1855, can hardly be regarded as one of the private expenses, or

Note. Date of admission is shown to be 1st April 1855. or some six months before the Nawab's death.

or as an honorary allowance granted by the Nawab, which D. Balfour's List shows it to be.

5. In consequence of the discontinuance of this allowance, & some others entered in that List, Petitions were presented to Govd & it was ruled by the Orders quoted in para 4. of the Agents present letter that, (taking the substance of those Orders) the fact of some few servants and retainers of the Nawab having been discharged before the remainder, was no bar to the just claims of those few - and that the Petitioners appeared to have been Pensioners of the late Nawab, and that those, and others said to be in a similar position, should all be treated alike.

6. The ^{Resident} ~~Chief~~ Agent
acting on these Orders
With letter dated 8 November submitted a List No. 68.

1804 - No 1026.

of

List put up for the
Governor.

of Pensioners awarded to the parties, which received the sanction of Govd under date 12 November 1804 - No 104. In this List the name of "Batul" or "Luxa Begum", grand daughter of Debeerool Khoja Bahadar, is entered as No 194 - "Pensioner" on "30 Rs." per mensem.

7. In his letter of the 20 November 1804 - No 1049 - or 18 days after his previous letter was written, Major Shaw the Resd. Agent reported that several names had been erroneously entered in the List submitted by him, owing to the neglect of Officers in charge of the records in not furnishing all the information in their power and requested that the names might be struck out of the sanctioned List. This was accordingly done. The name of Batul

List put up for reference.

son Hissa Begum was
 one of them. Is M. The
 reason for excluding this
 name is, as follows: "It
 has been ascertained since
 List was forwarded that
 this Lady was excluded
 from Pension in E. List
 of Com. of January 1858. para
 3." Now, this Order is the
 one passed on D. Balfour.
 List of proposed reduction
 shortly after the death
 of the Nawab and in one
 of which - List B. the same
 allowed to Balfour son
 Hissa Begum is entered,
 as already observed, as
 an item of the Nawab's per-
 sonal expenses, or an honor-
 ary allowance. But the
Subsequent Orders noted
 in the margin of para 6
 of this Memo, the British
 Agent, Major Spoor, en-
 tered her name in the
 List as a "Pensioner."
 The

2. The Agent, D. Bal-
 four, in now submit-
 ting her case for the order
 of Govt, says (para 5) that
 it is impossible to class
 her as "Pensioner proper"
 of the Sarkar, under the
 Nawab's explanation that
 such pensioners are in
 receipt of allowances
 for their own services
 or for those of their an-
 cestors. He however
 thinks that the utmost
 that can be done is
 that this lady may
 be classed as a "Nawab"
 known as the Saadat, Nawa-
 zat and Queens were pro-
 vided at half the rates of
 their former allowances.
 The Nawab's bounty
 and under the ruling
 of Govt in similar cases,
 she would be entitled
 to one half of her former
 allowance, or Rs. 10. per
 mensem.

Note. The Nawab's retainers be classed as a "Nawab",
 known as the Saadat, Nawa-
 zat and Queens were pro-
 vided at half the rates of
 their former allowances.
 Vide. Ex. Min. of Com. dated
 4 January 1858. No. 8.

H. D. St.
 14 Aug. 1861.

J. H. B.

1858 submitted with her List as a "Pensioner."
 H. D. St. 5 Nov. 1859. No. 1020.

From Don the Chapack
Repts letter of August
1867. Is 1866?

Case of Oatuloon Kissa

Sent to Mr. Pyer
first. I think the
lady should get
Rs 15.

J. D. S.

This woman cannot be regarded
as a prisoner. She has
come here for medical aid
and for relief, and her relatives
who may have done so have
had all their own prisoners -
moreover she was only brought
on the table to be kept in custody
before her death.

I wish to think just
enough to treat her as a person
and give her Rs 15 per month.

I think that she should have
it with arrears - J. D. S.

19 Aug.

Political Depart.

Proceedings of the Indian Govt.

Read the following
letter from the Govt. Agent
at Chopank & Paymaster
of Carnatic Stipends.

(dated 9 August 1861 No 1010)

Order thereon 4th September 1861 No 384.

The Govt. consent in
the view taken by the
Agent of the case of B. A.
M. son Kista, and ac-
cordingly authorize her
being classed as a
"Rebar" and pensioned
~~on one half the allow-~~

*Order of the Govt. dated ~~10~~ like other certain
of January, 1858. No 8.

ers of the same class
on one half the allow-
ance. She received from
the Nawab, or Ruler
(15) Fifteen per centum,
which will be paid
to her in arrears from
the

monthly
the date on which his
allowance of 30 Roubles
was discontinued under
the Order of Govt dated
14 January 1856 No 18.

185

To the Govt Secretariat
a Secret General } 5/9
a Civil Paymaster.

185

18/9/02

Partial 18th Sept.

1-6-07

260.384

29-0-07

Proceedings of Govt.

2-6-10

8/4. 18th Sept 1881.

60.12-15

22/1/58

17/1/57

22-23

2-2-58

665

10/1/59

27-18

12/1/59

20/12/02