

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Police DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 383

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

3-9-1861

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
3rd September 1861.*

No. 5. Read the following letter from Surgeon Major EDWARD G. BALFOUR, Government Agent at Chepauk and Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 9th August 1861, No. 1,015.

I have the honor to submit for the orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council the case of Carnatic Stipendiary, No. 2,426, Hajee Syed Meeran, in receipt of a one-third rate of pension of Rupees 2-10-0 per mensem.

2. He was a personal attendant as a dressing boy of the late Nabob, but his services there were only from 1st February 1844 till after Nabob's demise, when he was discharged in January 1856. That was the date of his entertainment as a Sircar servant; but by an order on the records it is shown that on the 9th Shawal 1259, he was then keeping the Nabob's clothes, and was in the service of the Nabob's mother from the 10th January 1826. When recommending him for pension in List No. 24, in the column of remarks, it was mentioned that, as ruled in Extract Minutes of Consultation, No. 527 of 8th July 1857, he was recommended for a one-third rate for his service under the Nabob and under the Nabob's mother, and this was sanctioned by No. 77 of 1858.

3. Since then he has repeatedly petitioned to be regarded as a servant with hereditary rights, and the doubts that had been entertained as to whether the services under the Nabob's mother should be regarded as the link between his father's service and his own. If so considered the services of father and son with two years interval have been continuous, from 1809 till after Nabob's demise, thus entitling this person to a one-half rate of pension.

4. But if not so entitled his father having died in June 1824, and he having been entertained in February 1844, thus creating a break of 20 years, which is greater than that allowed by Extract Minutes of Consultation, No. 374, dated 9th June 1858, para. 3, he would not be entitled to the one-half rate.

5. I have the honor to submit as my opinion that, he is entitled to claim hereditary service by right of his own and father's service under the Sircar, and of his own service under the Nabob's mother. But His Excellency in Council may perhaps be of opinion that he is only entitled to the one-third rate he now enjoys.

6. If a one-half rate be ordered for him, the Memorandum given below will show the arrears to which he is entitled from date of discharge.

Memorandum.

Sircar List.	Name.	Occupation.	Monthly Salary.				Period of personal and ancestral Service.				Pension entitled to per mensem.			Amount undrawn from 1st Feb. 1856 to 31st Aug. 1861 at Rs. 1-6-0 per month.		
			Rs.	A.	ys.	ms.	ds.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
T.	Hajee Syed Meeran, son of Syed Mahomed....	Dressing boy.....	8	0	44	7	3	4	0	0	92	2	0			

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, 3rd September 1861, No. 383.

The Government cannot discover in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 8th July 1857, No. 527, any ruling in support of a servant's claim to pension in right of his service "under the Nawab and the Nawab's mother." The List No. 24, in which the name of Hajee Syed Meeran, dressing boy, was entered for a pension at one-third of his pay, was sanctioned* under the belief that it provided fully for the cases of the discharged personal servants of the late Nawab, who were entitled to pensions under the rules

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
3rd September 1861.*

according to their period of service; and the Government cannot now admit any further claim on the part of the individual referred to founded on hereditary service, when his own service under the Nawab's mother did not properly entitle him to the pension he has been allowed.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

I think that the
view in the draft is
correct - That a
widow's husband's
mother could
give no claim to
pension any more
than service under
Princess Rajam than
a husband's widow
or any other member

of a family and
but which could
not have been
recovered as
made by him in

Political Dept 18

Proceedings of the Indian Govt

Read letter from the
Govt Agent at Chepauk
and Registrar of Car-
patic Stipends.

(Dated 9 Aug 1861 No 1015)

Order thereon 3rd September 1861 No 383.

The Govt cannot
discover in Ex. Min. of
Cons. of the 8 July 1857
No 529 any calling in
support of a Sergeant's
claim to pension in right
of his service "under the
Rajah and the Rannab
Kothar". The List No 24,
in which the name of
Rajah Syed Meeran, Ser-
geant, was entered
for a pension at one-
third of his pay, was
introduced under the
belief that it contained
provided fully for the
cases.

will

the

28 January 1868 No 44
para 2.

the cases of the discharged
and personal servants
of the late Nawab, who
were entitled to pensions
under the Rules according
to their period of service,
and the Govt cannot
now admit any further
claim on the part of the
individual referred to
founded on hereditary
service, when his own
service under the Nawab
mother did not properly
entitle him to the pension
he has been allowed.

J. D. S.

To the Govt Agent
at Chhapra. } 4/9

J. D. S.

Physical 1881 Sept 12

No. 383.

Proceedings of Board.

of 3rd September 1881.

576

1000
1000
1000
1000