

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

**Government of Madras.**

*Political* **DEPARTMENT.**

|          |               |                          |
|----------|---------------|--------------------------|
| G.O. No. | 595           | Press<br><del>Mis.</del> |
| Dated    | 4 - 10 - 1870 |                          |

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

---

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,*  
*4th October 1860.*

---

No. 12. Read the following letter from EDWARD BALFOUR, Esq., Commissioner, Investigating the Debts of His Highness the late Nabob of the Carnatic, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 21st September 1860, No. 237.

I have the honor to report on the claim of Intizam Khan Bahadoor, as commanded in Order No. 522 of 30th ultimo.

2. I have the honor to submit that the opinion expressed by me in paragraph 7 of my letter No. 295 of 24th March last, on which was issued the Orders No. 208 of 5th April last, is correct, and I submitted the case of Petitioner merely because of his persisting in petitioning, and in the hope that the Order of Government that would be issued thereon would be final, as regards the hearing of his case, and satisfactory to the claimant himself.

3. In order that he might see the case as submitted to Government, I forwarded to him copy of my communication to Government.

4. In paragraph 1 of the petition, claimant states that the Commissioners endorsed on a petition or statement of his claim, that he was a Bekar, and on this ground refused to him his arrears for 1855. A careful search of the Records has not yet brought to light any such endorsement. Moreover a reference to the concluding portion of paragraph 8 of Commissioners' letter No. 33 of 13th March 1857, wherein the Commissioners report that they had not allowed the 1855 arrears to any one of the Dast-i-khas Department, would seem to have made quite unnecessary and superfluous any such endorsement as that to which Petitioner alludes.

5. The petition in its 2nd and 3rd paragraphs and in the opinion recorded in its 3rd paragraph, does not, I think, submit Petitioner's case in the clear manner of which it was capable. The 2nd paragraph leaves it distinctly to be inferred that Petitioner never got any arrears of salary: as above explained, Petitioner never did, nor did any of the Dast-i-khas servants even get any of the 1855 arrears, but the arrears of the Rupees 50 of his salary which he drew as Private Secretary in the Madud Kurch Department were duly paid to him.

6. In the Dast-i-khas Department, there are not any arrears due to Petitioner, up to the end of the month of May 1855.

7. From 6th June 1854, the date of the Order granting him Rupees 50 per mensem, he received from the Nabob, his pay up to the end of May 1855, and all that is due to him is Rupees 50 per mensem for the months of June, July, August and September 1855—Rupees 200, which sum he did not receive because the Commissioners did not allow the 1855 arrears to any of the Dast-i-khas people.

8. The Officer of the Treasury Records informs me that prior to my former report, I asked Intizam Khan Bahadoor to call, and that I submitted to him the Records for his own satisfaction, on which he acknowledged that only the 1855 arrears are due to him.

9. If the Honorable the Governor in Council considers that there are equitable grounds on which the 1855 arrears to the Dast-i-khas servants should still be reported on, and issued, there will not be any difficulty in ascertaining what their arrears are, and Petitioner can then be paid what is due to him.

---

No. 13. ORDER THEREON, 4th October 1860, No. 595.

It is observed from the Commissioners' letter of 13th March 1857, paragraph 9, that they were unable to report on the balances of wages for the Department of Dast-i-khas "in consequence of the parties who attended to give explanation of their receipts and payments not having done so in a satisfactory manner, and they did not allow any sum as being due to that department."

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,  
4th October 1860.

2. The Honorable the Governor in Council is not disposed now to re-open the question of these arrears. As regards however this Petitioner, it seems that he has been admitted to a gratuity as a servant in receipt of Rupees 100 per mensem. It appears therefore but reasonable that he should be allowed his arrears at the same rate. From the Agent's letter this would seem to amount to Rupees 200, and if this be so, that sum will be disbursed to him by the Sub-Treasure on a Bill drawn by the Agent and passed by the Civil Auditor.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,  
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

Political Department.  
Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department, 4th October 1860.  
Read the following letter from the Commissioner Investigating the Debt of the Highness the late Chief of the Carnatic.  
(Dated 20 Sept., 1860.)  
Order thereon. 4 October 1860. 23095.

It is observed from the Commissioner's letter of 1857, para 9, that they were unable to report on the balances of wages for the department of District Officers in consequence of the parties who attended to give an explanation of their receipts and payments not having done so.

It is observed from the Commissioner's letter of 1857, para 9, that they were unable to report on the balances of wages for the department of District Officers in consequence of the parties who attended to give an explanation of their receipts and payments not having done so. It is further observed that the Commissioner has now to perform the question of

The undersigned J. J. ...  
 vide para 5 of the Agent's letter  
 dated 24 March 1880 No 290.

of these persons. As regards how  
 ever this Petitioner, it appears  
 that he has been admitted  
 to a gratuity as a servant in  
 receipt of Rs 100 per mensem.  
 It appears therefore but reason-  
 able that he should be allowed  
 his perquisites at the same rate.  
 From the Agent's letter this would  
 seem to amount to Rs 200. & if  
 this be so, that sum will be  
 disbursed to him by the Sub-  
 Treasurer on <sup>a bill drawn</sup> ~~certification~~  
 by the Agent and passed by the  
 Civil Auditor.

JP

To the Honble Secretary  
 the debt of the late  
 ... of the ...  
 ... with an ...  
 ... General ...  
 ... Civil Auditor ...  
 ... Sub Treasurer ...  
 ...

Disp 5

Attest 1860 Dec 11.

1859.

Proceedings of the

14. October 1860

P.C. No. 154/16

1074/60

1054/106

3078760

1860.

Declining the  
the position of the balance  
of the due to the bank  
L. when set off the debt  
is not; & authorizing the  
payment to the bank  
where the balance is  
deposited for the same.