

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Police **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	379	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	21-6-1880	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1/10/1880

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
21st June 1860.

Read the following Petition :—

No. 60. To His Excellency Sir C. E. TREVELYAN, K. C. B., Governor in Council,
Fort Saint George.

The humble petition of KASAVADOSS, Drafts-
man under His Highness the late Nawab of
the Carnatic.

MY LORD,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department, dated 8th May 1860, furnishing him with the copy of the Order No. 323, dated 19th May 1860, your Petitioner presents herewith an appeal memorial against the said Order No. 323 to the address of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, and requests your Lordship will be pleased to forward the same appeal memorial to that Home Authority along with the one dated 18th April 1860.

Shall ever pray.

(Signed) KASAVA DOSS.

MADRAS,
29th May 1860.

To the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

The humble Memorial of KASAVA DOSS,
Draftsman under His Highness the late
Nawab of the Carnatic, at Madras.

SHEWETH,

The Government of Madras having, in their Order, No. 323, dated 19th May 1860, (herewith kept), been pleased to state that they will forward to the Home Authorities the memorial which your memorialist has presented on the 18th April 1860, with the remark of some 3 grounds, whereby your memorialist can have no claim on the bounty of Government.

1st. The Government, upon the Chepauk Agent's report declare your memorialist as having no claim for Pension, he having received a gratuity.

Your memorialist would respectfully explain for the information of the Home Authorities that, on the demise of the Nawab, hundreds of his servants applied to the Chepauk Agent for Pension, but this authority at first determined to pay gratuity to all, instead of pension, and has accordingly done so, to several, among whom your memorialist was the one, that has received gratuity. Upon subsequent reconsideration of the claims of all such those who received gratuity, the Chepauk Agent recommended several to be pensioned. They were accordingly since pensioned excluding your memorialist alone from that bounty. For instance, few of those who received gratuity along with your memorialist, and who were since pensioned, is the following, viz.

I. Sied Bundgee a Daroody, employed by the late Nawab to read Kooraun. His pay is 7 Rupees per mensem. He received 6 months pay as gratuity Rupees 42, and was since pensioned at 3½ Rupees per mensem this two months. The amount of pension is ordered to be deducted until the gratuity amount is fully re-imbursed and then to receive it.

II. Mohamed Ebraheem do. do. in all respects.

III. Sied Abdoollah do. do. do.

IV. Mohamed Gouz do. do. do.

V. Syed Yakoof do. do. do.

VI. Mohamed Gouz, do. do. He refunded the gratuity, and is now in the receipt of pension from May 1860.

VII. Mohamed Hoossain, do. received gratuity but having refunded it, he is now pensioned, Rupees 2, Annas 6 per mensem.

VIII. Shaik Pilewan, Cook, gratuity received and since pensioned.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
21st June 1860.*

IX. Mohamed Gouz, Dubbabadar (keeper of Beetelnut) received gratuity Rupees 136 in last year, and now pensioned Rupees 2, Annas 10 per mensem, with orders that the monthly pension be re-imbursed until it is fully paid.

X. Abdool Kaudur, Naique, 8 Rupees per mensem, received gratuity but since pensioned on his refunding the gratuity amount.

XI. Shaik Ameer, a Sepoy, received gratuity Rupees 36 and pensioned since.

There are several more who were first made to receive gratuity and were afterwards pensioned by the Chepauk Agent. A reference to the report and accounts in the Government Office will shew that your memorialist's assertion is true.

By the foregoing particulars your memorialist trusts that the bar, which Chepauk Agent applied to your memorialist in not being pensioned from the reason of his having received gratuity, is no bar at all, for, the receipt of gratuity will prove no bar to some, will stand bar to your memorialist alone. Hence the Home Authorities will not be disposed to exclude your memorialist from the number of his contemporaries who have received gratuity and serve since pensioned as alluded to, above.

On a patient perusal of your memorialist's memorial, dated 18th April 1860, the Home Authorities will find that your memorialist all

2nd. That his claim to a pension for his joint services under the East India Company and the late Nawaub is inadmissible.

along that memorial demands pension inconsideration of his 13 years service as Draftsman under His Highness the Nawaub, and not as joint services of the Honorable Company as observed

in the Order, No. 323 under appeal: but the 2nd paragraph of that memorial in which your memorialist's several services under several European functionaries, are stated, was inserted only owing to the wordings "Petitioner is not a servant of the Madras Government" having been inserted in the Order G. of the Assistant Government Agent, hence this portion of the Chepauk Agent's opinion, which the Government coincide in, will, your memorialist trusts, never weaken his demanding the pension as acknowledged Draftsman under His Highness the late Nawaub.

It is needless for your memorialist to explain on this point which the Chepauk Agent shews

3rd. That the office he last held in the Collector's Cutcherry at Nellore was conferred on his son at his request on resigning the service.

to be a bar for his being pensioned, and which the Government takes it as such. But the insertion of this ground appears to have been intended to shew that no pension is requisite to your memorialist as his son has succeeded to his employ. Is it justice that, if a son gets scanty

emolument, his father should starve. In India hundreds of natives who are related to each other as son, brother, and father, hold separate offices and even the Europeans. Hence your memorialist's sons emolument will scarcely be sufficient for his wife and children. By this legal inference the Home Authorities will, it is trusted, never be disposed to exclude your memorialist from the necessity of being pensioned.

Lastly, your memorialist prays that the reasons set forth above may be considered when his memorial of the 18th April 1860, may be disposed of, shall ever pray.

(Signed) KASAVA DOSS.

MADRAS,
29th May 1860.

No. 61.

ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1860, No. 379.

Ordered that the Memorial submitted with the foregoing address be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India, in continuation of the letter from this Department, dated the 31st ultimo, No. 22.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

Order thereon 21 June 1860. No. 379-

Ordered that the Memorial submitted with the foregoing address be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India, in continuation of the letter from this Department, dated the 31st ultimo. No. 22.

service . employ. Is it justice
emolument, his father should starve. In India hundreds of natives w
as son, brother, and father, hold separate offices and even the Europea
list's sons emolument will scarcely be sufficient for his wife and childre
the Home Authorities will, it is trusted, never be disposed to exclude
necessity of being pensioned.

Lastly, your memorialist prays that the reasons set forth above m
memorial of the 18th April 1860, may be disposed of, shall ever pray.

(Signed) K

MADRAS,
29th May 1860.

No. 61.

ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1860, No. 379

Ordered that the Memorial submitted with the foregoing address be
tary of State for India, in continuation of the letter from this Departme
No. 22.

(Signed)

Exd. F. Cartledge.

PCO: May/10 to 301
20: May - 1838/39

Proc of June
Political 1860 Sept.

Political Letter to England
d/30th June 1826 of 1860
Replied to by the Secy
of State for India
Nov: 18 of 1860

From
Nasoro Doss.
1. Draftsman.

Df. 29th May } 1860
7. 12th June }

A memorial
in triplicate.

432
Forwards a Memorial
in triplicate for trans-
mission to the Secy of State
regarding his claim to a
Pension for the service he
rendered under the late
Nasoro as a Draftsman.

60 vbi

With an Order

as son, brother, and father, hold separate offices and even the Euro-
list's sons emolument will scarcely be sufficient for his wife and child
the Home Authorities will, it is trusted, never be disposed to exclud
necessity of being pensioned.

Lastly, your memorialist prays that the reasons set forth above
memorial of the 18th April 1860, may be disposed of, shall ever pray

MADRAS,
29th May 1860.

(Signed)

No. 61.

ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1860, No.

Ordered that the Memorial submitted with the foregoing address
tary of State for India, in continuation of the letter from this Depart
No. 22.

(Signed)

Exd. F. Cartledge.