

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 359

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

8-6-1910

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
8th June 1860.

Read again Memorial to the Secretary of State for India from Vazeer-un-Nissa Begum, and recorded on Proceedings, dated the 4th instant, No. 288.

No. 22. Read the following letter from Surgeon E. G. BALFOUR, Government Agent at Chepank, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 16th May 1860, No. 575.

I have the honor, in obedience to Order No. 288 of 4th instant, and having reference to the Orders as per margin* regarding repeated Memorials, to submit that, since I formerly expressed my opinion on this Memorialist's claims, she has separated and now lives apart from her husband. And he states that she left him about a year ago, because he could not continue to her the same establishment as before, and because he would not part with his second wife. I do not find any change in his views as to his own social position.

2. He can be compelled to allot a portion of his stipend to this Memorialist, but as the stipend is only Rupees 35 per mensem, while in doing so he would be more stinted than even at present; and, unless she would return to his house, any small sum he could give would not duly support her.

3. If the Honorable the Governor in Council consider that the Rules of 1846 and 1850 do not wholly preclude a recommendation in behalf of this Memorialist, or regard the Order in paragraph 3 of Proceedings No. 538 dated 13th September last as admitting of such being made, I would,

† 1854, Govt. Agent, to the Chief Secy., 3rd April, No. 54.

1858, „ „ „ „ 1st Oct. No. 1633, pp. 37 to 48.

1859, „ „ „ „ 12th August, No. 1331.

‡ Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 23, 16th Jany, 1854, Rs. 771-0-0

„ „ „ „ 349 19th September „ 32-0-0

Rupees 803-0-0 now allotted in two portions, of Rupees 15

each, one to the husband of this Memorialist and the other to the husband of her cousin Hoossaini Begum.

4. When re-apportioning Dilawur Jung's stipend, this Memorialist was not given any portion, because she was married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 205, Mahomed Muzhur, on Rupees 35 per mensem; and recently, when, in letter No. 364 of 5th ultimo, allotting Rupees 200 of the stipend of Hyder Hoossain Khan, there was not any sum named to her cousin Hoossaini Begum, because her husband is married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 302, Sudfer Hoossain, who has a stipend of Rupees 40 per mensem.

5. This Lady Hoossaini Begum, has, I regret to mention, likewise separated from her husband, but both husbands are willing to receive their wives back.

6. Under these circumstances, as it would be a great matter to have these families re-united, I have the honor to recommend that the portion of Rupees 30 per mensem, not re-granted after the demise of these ladies' grandfather, the late Dilawur Jung, now be so, by being added in the sums of Rupees 15 per mensem to the stipends of their husbands in addition to the two stipends of Rupees 40 and Rupees 35 which they now enjoy, to be issued from the date that the two wives shall return to their husbands' homes; lapsing their present stipends of Rupees 40 and 35, and re-issuing the increased amount of Rupees 55 and Rupees 50, as new grants.

Hoossaini Begum, daughter of Hyder Hoossain Khan, son of Dilawur Jung, and now married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 302 Sudfer Hoossain in receipt of Rupees 40 per mensem.

Wazera Begum, daughter of a daughter of Dilawur Jung and now married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 205 Mahomed Muzhur in receipt of Rupees 35 per mensem.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
8th June 1860.

7. Like their wives, each of the husbands is a great-grand-child of Mahomed Ali Wallajah.

No. 23. ORDER THEREON, 8th June 1860, No. 359.

It is observed that the matter to which the Memorial of Vazeer-un-Nissa Begum relates was settled more than six years ago, when on the death of the Petitioner's maternal grand-father Hoossain Mahomed Khan Bahadur Dilawur Jung in May 1853, the Government by their Resolution of the 16th January 1854, and in accordance with the view expressed by the Chepauk Agent, declined to admit Petitioner to any part of the lapsed stipend on the ground that she was married to a Carnatic Stipendiary. The arrangements then made in relation to the disposal of Hoossain Mahomed Khan Bahadur Dilawur Jung's lapsed pension were approved by the Honorable the Court of Directors, who further on a Memorial being addressed to them by Petitioner for a portion of her grand-father's stipend, rejected its prayer.

Despatch dated 18th October, No. 3 of 1854, paragraph 13.

Despatch dated 3rd March, No. 4 of 1858, paragraph 18.

2. Nothing new has since transpired except that Petitioner's husband has quarrelled with her and has a second wife, which can be no reason for giving her a separate allowance out of a pension which lapsed seven years ago.

3. The Government cannot therefore accede to the Agent's recommendation in favor of the Memorialist and her cousin Hussaini Begum; and they must decline to transmit to the Home Government the Memorial forwarded by Vazeer-un-Nissa Begum as it contains no new matter.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

allotting Rs. 20 to the widow of the late Hoossain Khan, there was not any sum named to her cousin Hoossaini Begum, because she has and is married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 302 Syder Hoossain, who is a husband of Rajas 40 per mousam.

5. This Lady Hoossaini Begum, has, I regret to mention, likewise separated from her husband. but both husbands are willing to resume their wives back.

6. Under these circumstances, as it would be a great matter to have these families reunited, I have the honor to recommend that the portion of Rajas 30 per mousam, not re-arranged after the demise of these ladies' grand-father, the late Dilawur Jung, now to do, by being added

Hussaini Begum daughter of Syder Hoossain Khan being a daughter of Dilawur Jung, and now married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 302 Syder Hoossain, who is a husband of Rajas 40 per mousam.	in the sum of Rajas 15 per mousam
Vazeer Begum daughter of a daughter of Dilawur Jung and now married to Carnatic Stipendiary No. 205. Rajas 35 in receipt of Rajas 35 per mousam.	to the Stipendiary of their husbands

in addition to the two Stipendiaries of Rajas 10 and Rajas 35 which they now enjoy, to be issued from the date that the two wives shall return to their husbands' homes: lapsing their present stipends of Rajas 10 and 35, and replacing the increased amount of Rajas 5.

and Paper 50, as on 11th.

Lib. her name, and the
husband is a very good friend of the
the British.

It is with effect
16th May 1860

to the
General Government

[Signature]

to the
General Government

to the
General Government

to the
General Government

Political Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read again Memorial
to the Secy of State for India
from Vazeer-un-Nissa Begum,
recorded on Proceedings dated
the 4th Instant. N^o 288.

Read the following report
thereon from the Govt Agent at
Chepauk.

(Dated 16th May, 1860. N^o 575)

Order thereon - 8th June, 1860. N^o 359.

It is observed that
the matter to which the
Memorial of Vazeer-un-Nissa
Begum relates was settled more
than six years ago - when on
the death of the Petitioner's
maternal grandfather Hoosain
Mahomed Khan Bahadur,
Dilawar Jung in May 1853,
the Govt by their Resolution of
the 16th January 1854, and in
accordance with the view
expressed by the Chepauk Agent,
declined.

[Signature]

[Signature]

declined to admit Petitioner to any part of the lapsed stipend on the ground that she was married to a Carnatic Stipendiary - The arrangements then made in relation to the disposal of Hussain Mahomed Khan Bahadur Dilawar Jung's lapsed pension were approved by the Honble ^{of Hyderabad} the Court who further on a Memorial being addressed to them by Petitioner for a portion of her grandfather's stipend, rejected its prayer.

2 Nothing new has since transpired except that Petitioner's husband has quarrelled with her and has a second wife, which can be no reason for giving her a separate allowance out of a pension which lapsed seven years ago.

3 The Govt. cannot therefore accede to the Agent's recommendation in favor of the Memorialist & her cousin Hussaini Begum; and they must decline to transmit to the Home Govt. the Memorial forwarded

forwarded by Vazeer-un-Nissa Begum as it contains no new matter.

JP

Despatch dated 18 October
No. 3 of 1854 - para 13.

Despatch dated 3rd March
No. 4 of 1858 - para 18.

To the Govt. Agent
at Clepauk.
for communication to the
Memorialist, with copies
of the Memorial returned.

Dist. 12th

