Department	No.	Year	Initials of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.

M.R.O. 1—89,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 30 Press Mis.

Dated 16 — 1 — ~~19~~ 1860

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 17. Read the following letter from H. D. PHILLIPS, Esq., Commissioner of Tanjore; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 31st December 1859, No. 372.

I have the honor to report, that, in prosecution of the duty assigned to me by the Order of Government, dated the 5th instant, No. 705, I, yesterday and the day before, removed from the Pōtē mahal, or Treasury of the late Raj, a sum of Rupees 4,09,003-14-3.

2. This amount was contained in 818 bags, each of 500 Rupees; but in one, there was a Rupee deficient. The odd sum of Rupees 4-14-3 was in two separate bags.

3. I have placed the money opposite the Pōtē mahal in a strong room, where are many boxes of jewels, of which I have, for some days past, been examining the contents and comparing these with the lists formerly made; and I have requested the Collector of Tanjore to make arrangements for receiving the coin and carrying it to the public credit.

4. This amount does not appear in the Schedules laid before the Supreme Court. It would seem to have accumulated from the unexpended portions of the monthly allowance forwarded to the Tanjore Durbar subsequent to the Rajah's demise.

5. I am told by the Raj Head Shroff, that the seal of the Department was applied to the boxes in the Pōtē mahal, by order of the late Sirkele, a month prior to Mr. Forbes' arrival here in October 1856: but the *door* of the Treasury was not sealed up until I myself closed and sealed it, as appears from the Shroff's memoranda, on 23rd April 1857.

6. Since that date, it has remained under guard of a Sepoy-sentry in common with the door of the room in which are most of the valuable jewels.

7. I would, however, mention that the specific object of this communication is, that the Government may be pleased to make the requisite intimation to the Department of Account, should such a measure be deemed advisable.

No. 18. ORDER THEREON, 16th January 1860, No. 30.

In the above letter the Commissioner for Tanjore reports, that he had removed from the Treasury of the late Raj the sum of Rupees 4,09,003-14-3, and had requested the Collector of Tanjore to make arrangements for receiving the coin and carrying it to the public credit.

2. This amount, which does not appear in the Schedules laid before the Supreme Court, would, it is stated, seem to have accumulated from the unexpended portions of the monthly allowance forwarded to the Tanjore Durbar, subsequent to the Rajah's demise.

3. The boxes in the Treasury are said to have been sealed up in September 1856, but the door of the Treasury was not sealed up until closed and sealed by Mr. Phillips himself in April 1857.

4. It is observed that, from Mr. Cherry's letter of the 19th December 1856, it appears, that the amount there in the Rajah's Treasury was Rupees 3,71,584-1-8, of which,

Rupees 10,753-1-10 was in the Treasury at the time of the Rajah's death.
Rupees 1,01,807-0-10 was also in deposit there at that time, ready to be paid to creditors—and Rupees 2,59,023-15, had since accrued by the excess of the monthly receipts above disbursements.

5. Under date the 30th December, the Government directed that the monthly remittances from the Collector of Tanjore for the service of the Residency should be restricted to the sum actually required to meet current expenditure, payment of present allowances and wages, and ordinary charges. They ordered that the accumulations then in the Treasury should remain unappropriated pending full instructions.

6. In what manner the sum of Rupees 37,419-12-7, being the excess of the deposits as now reported above those stated by Mr. Cherry, has accrued, does not appear.

7. The Government consider that the sum of Rupees 2,59,023-15 which had accrued from the excess of receipts above disbursements for the period between the Rajah's death, and December 1856, should at once be carried to the credit of Government—with such further part, if any, of the accumulation now reported which may have accrued in the same manner. The sums, however, in deposit at the time when the Rajah died, for his own use, or for payment to creditors, with any sums that may since have been realized from the sale of the Rajah's property or may be considered in any way to belong to his estate, although made over to the Collector for security, should be brought to account for the present as "deposits" pending the general settlement of the affair.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Resolution thereon
Report to be communicated
to the Account Genl
for his information

JP

Asst Secl
Commissioner Tanjore

I would note thereon — the
orders of this nature should
have for guidance the whole
subject before us —
The debts — The jewels — The
Money — The lands in each
Taluq
Estate — should be fully
detailed, & then when we
are in possession of the whole
we shall be
in a

position to ~~[illegible]~~ seize the whole or at the whole, or at least portion of the property held by the late Rajah is to be seized above by the Govt

J Morehead

I agree with Mr Morehead that no portion of the property of the Tanjore State should be appropriated by Government until a complete statement of the whole is submitted and final orders for disposal of it are passed

9 January 1860. [signature]

Appd. 27 Jany/60

Reported to England 24th Feby No 10 of 1860

C3 Jany/63
127

Political 1860 Dept

From
H. D. Phillips Esq
Commissioner
No 372

Dated 31st Decr 59
Recd 3 Jany/60

Rept

Reports removal from the late Raj Treasury of Rs 4,09,003, Annas 14, Pie 3, and requisition to the Collr to remit the amount. Reply that [illegible] the requisite [illegible] be made to the [illegible] with an order [illegible]

S.O. No 30.

Sent Commr 13-1-60

Political Department

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

From Com: 31 Dec 1859 No 372

Order thereon 16 January 1860 - No 30 -

In the above letter the Commissioner for Tanjore reports, that he had removed from the Treasury of the late Raj the sum of R 4,09,003:14:3 & had requested the Collr of Tanjore to make arrangements for receiving the coin and carrying it to the public credit

2 This amount which does not appear in the Schedules laid before the Supreme Court, would, it is stated, seem to have accumulated from the unexpended portions of the monthly allowance forwarded to the Tanjore Durbar, subsequent to

the Rajah's decease -

3. The Coffers in the Treasury were sealed up in Sept 1856, but the door of the Treasury was not sealed up until closed & sealed by Mr Phillips Brandreth in April 1857.

4 It is observed that from Mr Cheny's letter of the 19 Dec 1856, it appears that the amount then in the Rajahs Treasury was R 3,71,584.1.8. of which

R 10,753:1:10 was in the Treasury at the time of the Rajahs death -

R 1,01,807:0:10 was also in deposit there at that time, under the said Conditions - & R 2,59,023:15. had been accounted by the Queen of the monthly receipts above discharges -

~~4,69,803:14.3~~
~~3,71,584.1.8~~
~~3,74,19:12:7~~

4 69 003:14:3
3 71 584-1.8
3 7,419:12:7

5 Under date the 20 Dec, the Court directed that the monthly remittances from the Collectors of Tanjore for the Rajah's Household Expenses should be restricted to the sums actually required to meet current expenditure, payment of pension allowances & wages, & ordering any changes - They ordered that the accumulations then in the Treasury should remain unappropriated pending further instructions -

6. In what manner the sum of R3,74,19:12:7 being the excess of the deposits as now stated above by Mr Cheny, has accrued does not appear.

7 The Govt. considers that the sum of R2,59,023:15 which has accrued from

K exam of Receipts
abov dis mannors
for K prior between
K Roy ahs death & Dec.
1856 shows at no
h cannot ch credit
of fort — will such
the fact of all accu-
mulation now exhort-
ed which may have
resulted in the
manner — The king
hom is deprived of
K time & when K
Regiate decd, for the
use, or for payment
conditions; with any thing
that may since have
been realized from K
sale of K Regiate posses-
sion of it may be consider-
ed in any way to form
belong this estate, also
made on c K Collector
for security, ~~if such~~
~~add to the estate for~~
~~immediate benefit~~
~~proceeds~~ shall be brought
to account for K

pressed in "Report"
pending K general
settlement of K
Province affairs
JP

Com of Taxes
Collector of Rs
Real prop

Sept. 19th

16-7-13.

Rec'd 27 Jany 60

Reported to England
26th ult. Ref. No. 10 of 1860

97.058

Pro of Govt 20 Jany.

Pol. 1860 Separate

No 30.

Proceedings of Government
Dated 15th January 1860.—

Read order on the below letter from Mr. Phillips, Commissioner at Tanjore reporting the removal from the Treasury of the late Raj Rupees 409,003-14-3 and that he requests the Collector of Tanjore to make arrangements for receiving the Coin and carrying it to the public credit.

F. No 699. 25 Jan 60. 5 & 3. Fit for Govt 29 of Mr
Fit for G

17—18