

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	394	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	2-7-	1839

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
from 2nd to 8th July 1859.*

No. 5. Read the following letter from Major P. T. SNOW, Assistant Government Agent at Chepauk; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 13th June 1859, No. 976.

With reference to an order, No. 1886, dated 8th Instant on the Petition from Nizam Ood Deen Hoossain Khan, Unwer Ally Khan, and Abdool Ally Khan praying for a Pensionary support, I have the honor to report to the Honorable the Governor in Council that their father the late Noor Ood Deen Mahomed Khan, Bahadoor, Hushmut Jung, who died in 1853, was very remotely related to the Nabob Wallajah, i. e. he was great grand son of Budrul Islam Khan, half brother of that Nabob, and had a monthly Stipend of Rupees 40-1-6, which in accordance with the express orders of Government contained in 2nd para. of Extract Minutes of Consultation, 5th March 1842, and recent orders of the Honorable Court of Directors of 1846 and 1850, lapsed to Government at his death.

2. The instances quoted by the Petitioners occurred previous to the receipt of the orders of 1850.

3. The Petitioners are already provided for by their relatives, and capable of earning their livelihood.

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, 2nd July 1859, No. 394.

1. The Petitioners appear deserving of some consideration.

2. In the list of persons entitled to participate in the Pension of Rupees 220 per mensem, allotted for the support of the family of Budr Ool Islam Khan, the first name is that of Noor Ood Deen Mahomed Khan Bahadoor, Hushmut Jung, Petitioners' father, who with the sanction of Government received and disbursed the Stipend until his death in 1853.

3. Notwithstanding that the Government directed that the shares should be resumed as Casualties occurred, individual cases were, as a matter of indulgence, excepted, the successors of several sharers being allowed to draw a portion of the Pension which strictly speaking should have ceased altogether. This order and the Honorable Court's Despatches, dated 11th March 1846 and 22nd May 1850, subsequently received are now quoted by the Assistant Agent as barring the Petitioners' claim to Pensionary support. As however the heirs to persons of less mark have had consideration shown them it might be considered a harsh interpretation of the Honorable Court's views to deny a similar indulgence to the Petitioners' who are sons of the leading Member of the family.

4. It would seem moreover that the Petitioners' case may come under the description given towards the close of para. 14, of the former Despatch where it is laid down that "in the case of sharers who were persons of some consequence as the principal representatives of a branch of the original family, there would probably be reason for a temporary continuance." This exactly answers to the position of the Petitioners' father, he being head of the family descended from Budr Ool Islam Khan the original Pensioner who was Wallajah's half brother.

5. The Petitioners are represented to be supported by their relatives and to be capable of earning a livelihood. This would, under ordinary circumstances, render it unnecessary to continue any portion of the Pension, but the Government are unwilling to do anything which should bear even the semblance of inconsistency or injustice, and as others of the family have from compassionate motives been treated with indulgence, they resolve to continue to the Petitioners a portion of the allowance enjoyed by their late father.

6. The Government Agent, will accordingly report, with reference to the instructions laid down in the above quoted Despatch, what portion of the Pension the Petitioners' father may be supposed to have expended upon himself, and also what family he has left, and who were dependent upon him for support.

Exd. A. P. W. Begbie.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Port. Dept. 2

Proceedings of the Madras Port

Read the foregoing
letter from the Agent
at Chapaty reporting on Pet.
No. 886 of 1857. } Here enter 2.
dated 13th June 1859.

Order thereon 2 July 1859 No 394

1. The Pet. appears de-
serving of some con-
sideration.

2. In the list of
persons entitled to parti-
cipate in the pension
of Rs. 220 p.m. allotted
for the support of the
family of Budu ost. Selam
Khan, the first name
is that of
Budur ost. Durr Mahom.
med Khan. ~~But~~ Bahadur
Kashim Jung, Pet.'s father,
who with the sanction
of Govt received & disbursed

L.M.L. 5th March
42. p. 2.

the stipend until his death
in 1833.

Notwithstanding that
the Govt. directed that the
shares should be resumed
as casualties occurred,
individual cases were,
as a matter of indulgence,
excepted, the successors of
several sharers being
allowed to draw a por-
tion of the pension which
strictly speaking should
have ceased altogether.
This order and the Hon^{ble}
Ct's despatches dated 11th March
& 22nd May '50, subsequently received
are now quoted by the
Apist's Agent as barring
the Pet^r's claim to pensionary
support. As however the
letters to persons of Sep
Mark have had considera-
tion shown them it ~~th~~
might be considered a
~~reasonable~~ ~~very~~

harsh interpretation of the
Hon. Ct's views to deny
a similar indulgence to
the Pet^r who are sons
of the leading members
of the family -


4. It would seem
moreover that the Pet^r's
case may ~~not~~ come
under the description given
towards the close of para-
graph 14. of the ^{former} despatch where
it is laid down that "in
the case of sharers who
were persons of some
consequence as the princi-
pal representatives of
the original family, there
would probably be rea-
son for a temporary
continuance" - This exactly
answers to the position of
the Pet^r's father, he being
head of the family descend-
ed from Bude or Islam

than the orig^l pensioner
who was Vallaja's sub-
brother -

5. The Pet^{rs} are repre-
sented to be supported by
their relatives and to be
capable of earning a
livelihood. This would,
under ordinary circum-
stances, render it
unnecessary to continue
any portion of the pension,
but the Gov^t are unwilling
to do anything which
should bear even the
semblance of inconsis-
tency or injustice, and
as others ^{of the family} ~~with both~~
~~we~~ have from compassionate
motives been treated with
indulgence, they ~~would~~
refuse ~~us~~ to continue
to the Pet^{rs} a portion of the
~~stipend~~ allowance enjoyed
by their late father -

para 14 -

6. The Gov^t Agent with ac-
cordingly report, with
reference to the instructions
laid down in the above-
quoted despatch, what por-
tion of the pension the Pet^{rs}
father may be supposed
to have expended upon
himself, and also what
family he has left, & who
were dependant upon him
for support.

 L. J.

Act
to the Gov^t Agent at Chikank - Dispt. 7th

8 May 42 — 8/9
29 July 59 — 22/3

Proc. of Acad. & Surg.
Medical 1859 & 1860

24 July 68

North

Feb 59 - 29 July - 22/3

Proc. of Association

22 July 1859

c 27 June
R 1 July