

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 331

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

27-5-1859

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Political Department,
from 18th to 27th May 1859.*

No. 42. Read the following letter from CLEMENT DALE, Esq., Receiver of the Carnatic Property; to THOMAS PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 26th May 1859, No. 142.

Although I have not received any official intimation, that it is the wish of Government to purchase the area forming the compound to the Chepauk Palace with the buildings upon it, yet having reason to believe that such is the case, I deem it right to forward to you, for the information of the Honorable the Governor in Council, the enclosed copy of a letter received by me from Messrs. Franck and Co., whom I consulted as to the mode of disposing of that property which would prove most conducive to the benefit of the Estate of the late Nabob of the Carnatic.

2. In consulting Messrs. Franck and Co., I considered it right to inform them of my impression regarding the wishes of Government, as a guide to them in forming their opinion.

3. His Excellency in Council will observe, that in their report Messrs. Franck and Co., have not lost sight of that circumstance. He will likewise perceive the difficulty which Messrs. Franck and Co., have experienced in arriving at a satisfactory conclusion, as to the best method of selling this property, owing to the extent, and value of it, and the consequent probability of a lack of competition, if put up in one lot. In para. 4, Messrs. Franck and Co., suggest 2 modes of getting over that difficulty. One is that an upset price should be fixed upon by me; the other cutting up the land into lots of suitable size for building houses for private residences. It is true, Messrs. Franck and Co., appear to anticipate some difficulty in carrying out the first course, and on the whole, conclude that the parcelling out the land, may prove the most beneficial to the Estate.

4. I own, I do not participate to the full extent, in Messrs. Franck and Co.'s fears of the difficulty in settling an upset price. It is known the sum per ground which land in the neighbourhood of the Mount Road, but in much less advantageous situations, has lately realised. Much of the land at Chepauk, from the eligibility of the situation, would doubtless sell for very much larger sums; while the remainder of the land, would in all probability sell for much about the prices realised by other sales; I am fully alive to the probability that the lots facing the sea, and very possibly those facing the Government House compound, would realise fancy prices, but to set off against that, is the chance, that some of the less eligible lots, might not immediately find ready Purchasers, I therefore feel, that if I were assured there would be one Bidder at the upset price, I should be perfectly justified in soliciting the Court's permission to put the property up in one lot, at such upset price as I might consider a fair and reasonable value.

5. The Government doubtless are desirous that its full value should be obtained for the property, although they may not be disposed to pay more than such value. I apprehend the Government could readily ascertain from the Collector of Madras, the amount which that land would be likely to realize per ground, if put up in suitable lots, and the consequent value of the whole area. The value of the Buildings could also be readily appraised by the Engineers Department. If Government are satisfied, that such is an equitable mode of dealing with the question, and would favour me with a valuation on that basis, and intimate to me their willingness to bid that amount at a sale, I would forthwith consult with Messrs. Franck and Company, and on their acquiescing in the propriety of the value named by the Collector and Engineer, I would at once Petition the Court for leave to put up the property in one lot, and at an upset price. The reason for my saying I would consult Messrs. Franck and Co. is, that I may satisfy the Court, that I am acting under the advice of experienced Auctioneers, employed by me to assist in watching the interests of the Estate.

6. If His Excellency in Council should approve of the foregoing suggestion, I entertain no doubt the Court would concur in the propriety of that course being pursued, and sanction its adoption.

No. 43. ORDER THEREON, 27th May 1859, No. 331.

Resolved that a copy of the above letter, with the enclosure in original, be forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Department of Public Works, and that he be directed in communication with the Collector of Madras to report at an early date upon the value of the land and buildings forming the Chepauk Palace Premises.

Exd. A. P. W. Begbie.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Office
To
Clement Dale Esq^r
Receiver of the Carnatic Property

Sir,

1. We have ^{now} the pleasure to hand you a plan of the Chepauk Palace, buildings and ground which has just been completed for us, acting on your behalf by Mr. W. Ross employed in the Engineer's Department of the Madras Railway, whose services were very kindly placed at our disposal by the Head of that Department for this purpose.

2. In preparing this plan, Mr. Ross has followed strictly the line of wall which surrounds the ground attached to the Palace, and his measurement shows a deficiency of about $3\frac{1}{2}$ Canons between the present area within this wall, and that which is represented by Mr. Gantz's plan taken in 1839. This difference, as far as we can learn, is accounted for by the extent of land which was taken from the grounds of the Palace towards the North and North

North East, and thrown into the new road leading from the Beach to Triplicane between the Palace and the Government Gardens, as well as other portions of land taken for the purpose of widening the South Beach road. In other respects the present line of wall appears to be exactly the same as it was in 1839, and all the information we can obtain tends to confirm this supposition. —

3. — There appear to be some small patches of land, lying between the East line of wall and the South Beach Road which belong to His Highness the late Nizam of the Carnatic, as explained to yourself and our Mr. Frazer Junior a few mornings ago by the Dewan. These pieces of ground do not appear ever to have formed part of the Chepauk Palace grounds proper, but to have been purchased by the late Nizam probably for the use of some of his retainers or dependants. They have all been surveyed by Mr. Ross and are marked out in the accompanying plan. —

4. — As regards the best method of

of putting up this property for sale, we must own that we feel much diffidence in offering a very decided opinion. We have heard from various quarters that the Government are desirous of purchasing, and should have thought that they would have been glad to take it at a valuation, but as you have informed us that this course has been suggested by you to them and the suggestion negatived, we do not allude to it any further. We have then to consider the best way of putting up the property for Public Sale. If it were to be offered in one lot, the chance of competition must of necessity, from the extent and value of the property, be very much reduced if indeed the ability to purchase be not altogether confined to one party, viz. the Gov^t. It is true that we have heard it rumoured that some of the wealthy soubhars of Madras are disposed to combine together for the purpose of bidding against the Gov^t, and trying to secure the property for themselves either as a speculation, or for

for some other purpose, but it would not be prudent to calculate much on such a possibility, and in the event of no such proceeding on their part being contemplated, it is tolerably certain that if the Property be put up in one lot, only one bidder (the Govt.) would offer, and thus an unexpected and rather serious difficulty present itself in the way of effecting the sale. This difficulty might perhaps be met, by your determining before hand upon an upset price for the lot, at which, in the absence of any competition, it might be knocked down to anyone who was willing to offer that price; but this might be an unsatisfactory and difficult course to arrange, and it is just possible that the knowledge of any such intention might stop competition if any be contemplated, and prejudice the sale. —

5. — A much more certain means of securing a fair competition for the Property, and probably too of realizing it

4
it to better advantage, would be to parcel it out into convenient lots of moderate extent and value, such as would be within the means of many to compete for, and this we are of opinion might be done very advantageously. But to do this, would necessarily involve some delay in disposing of the Property, first in consulting and deciding upon the most desirable arrangement for parceling it out, then in having the several lots carefully measured and their boundaries marked on the ground, and lastly in the time which would be required to get thro' the sale of a number of lots of land, except in this respect viz: delay, we see no advantage in your disposing of the Property by piecemeal, nor do we think you would incur any risk whatever of realizing it less favorably than by putting it up in one lot, even were you certain of competition in the latter case. But

Ever

even this delay may possibly prove beneficial to the sale of the Property, since it may postpone it to a time when our money market which has been of late exceedingly tight and is still feeling a great pressure may be much easier. There are indications of an improvement in this respect already, and we believe it is generally expected that this improvement will continue. Of course these anticipations of a favorable change may be disappointed.

6. — Some little delay is in our opinion necessary even if the Property be advertised for sale in one lot, say about 20 or 25 days from the first publication of the advertisement giving the date of sale in order to give reasonable notice to capitalists in the interior as well as at the Presidency.

7. — It only remains for us to allude to the commission to be allowed to us should you obtain the sanction of the Court to employ us in the sale of this Property. Our fixed charge of commission

on

5
on the sale of Houses and Lands is $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the amount realized if above 3,500 Rupees, or 5 per cent if under that sum, of course we should not expect any such remuneration in the case of the property now under consideration, which from its extent and value is quite an exceptional one. Without attempting to conjecture what this property will realize, we have to propose the following terms which we trust will be considered reasonable and be sanctioned if we are employed in the sale.

If the property be sold in one lot, our Commission on the amount realized shall be at the rate of one (1) per cent on the first lac of Rupees, and one half ($\frac{1}{2}$) per cent on any amount in excess of that sum. — If the Property be parcelled out and sold in several lots, thus requiring much time and labour to complete the work, our Commission shall be doubled that is to say two (2) per

per cent on the first lac of the gross
aggregate amount of sale, and one per
cent in anything in excess of that
sum; exclusive in either case of adver-
tising charges, printing hand bills or
any other expenses.

Madras } We have Recd
26 May 1859 } (Sigd) Francis H. C.

(A true Copy)
(Sigd) Clement Dale
Receiver of the C. P.

DPD. L. to Eng. 12th Aug 59
No. 23 of 1859

At. 59. 8.
in 16 470.

W. Marsell

W. H. D. L.

No. 331.

Resolved that a copy of the above
letter, with the enclosure in origi-
-nal, be forwarded to the Chief

Engineer D. P. W. and that he be
directed in communication

with the Collector of Madras to

report upon the value of the

land & buildings forming

W. H. C. Chandra Patana Receiver

Chief Eng. D. P. W.

Receiver of the C. P.
to the Collr. of Madras - Decd 10/8.

Dispd. 27th May 59

Sgt. J. L. George
27 May 1859