M.R.O. 1—89,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 32 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 12 - 1 - ~~18~~ 1859

Not to be folded or roughly handled

XI

Fort Saint George, Political Consultation, 18*th January* 1859.

No. 3. From Surgeon E. G. BALFOUR, Government Agent at Chepauk; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 21st December 1858, No. 2050 of P. C. S.

SIR,

As the consequence of the changes in the relations of the Government with the Carnatic Family, and the establishing of the Carnatic Stipends of 1855, there have been several points raised requiring the orders of Authority for the guidance of the Agency.

2. Two of these points I now mention for a decision.

3. It has ever been the practice with the Carnatic Stipends of 1855 and the Carnatic Jagheerdar Stipends, that the recipient of a Stipend cannot receive a double Stipend nor an increase to his Stipend, and the following are orders to this effect.

Letter to Chief Secretary.....	25th	November	1811, p: 3.
Governor's Letter to Nabob.....	29th	September	1815, p: 2.
Letter to Chief Secretary.....	18th	November	1816, p: 3.
Chief Secretary's Letter.....	3rd	December	1816.
Honorable Court's Letter.....	28th	January	1818, p: 53.
Letter to Chief Secretary.....	7th	March	1820.
" " " ...	20th	March	1820, p: 6-8.
Memo.....	21st	June	1820.
Extract Minutes of Consultation....	24th	May	1822, p: 2.
" " " ...	29th	July	1825, p: 3.
Government Order, No. 12.....	9th	January	1838.
Honorable Court's Letter.....	19th	January	1848, p: 40.
" " " ...	31st	May	1848, p: 21.
Extract Minutes of Consultation....	31st	October	1849, p: 2.

4. I has happened, however, that double Stipends have been given, but these have been granted for some special reason; or, from the second Stipend being issued by the Nabob as a share in a general family grant, which was afterwards made payable on separate receipts.

5. In the mixed relations created by the 1855 Stipends it will happen that recipients of the 1801 Stipends may on a casualty occurring claim for succession to support from the Stipends granted in 1855 to the Immediate Family, and I would ask the favor of an order for the guidance of the Agency in such a case.

6. I am of opinion that a definite order should be given, from which no deviation should be tolerated.

7. In para: 7 of Mr. Secretary Edmonstone's letter of 17th September, No. 4780 of 1856, it is remarked that " His Lordship in Council fully agrees with the Right Honorable the Governor " of Madras that it is hard that the father should not know what should be the fate of his chil- " dren," and even if no such opinion had been expressed I consider it very necessary in order to give redress to these people and put an end to their incessant petitioning in every case where litigious or designing Agents inspire them with hopes of success in their petitioning, that a definite order be given. I do not recommend that on this matter every case be left to be decided on its own merits; but would submit the advisability of Government deciding that an 1801 Carnatic Stipendiary shall or shall not be entitled to claim for support from the Stipend of a Member of 1855 Family on the occurrence of a casualty.

8. The second point that I submit today is one which seems to me also to require the orders of Government.

9. Under the Orders of the Honorable Court of Directors, dated 12th April. No. 1 of 1854, para: 37, and Extract Minutes of Consultation, 4th July 1854, No. 264, permitting commutations of Stipends, the acceptor of a Bonus furnishes the Agency with the following acquittance.

proceedings not proceeding in the

the aggregate more than a moiety of that which was enjoyed by the first recipient.

2. Under the above rule, on the demise of a Carnatic Stipendiary of 1855, whose pension is transmissible, it will be for Government to consider the circumstances of those relations or descendants who have wholly or in part been supported from it, and to dispose of their claims upon their merits within the limit fixed by the Supreme Govt. The Government are not prepared to say, that the fact of any of such claimants being in receipt of a separate Stipend - it may be a very small Stipend - is absolutely to exclude him from consideration on such occasions, although it would of course be duly taken into account.

3. The same principle will apply to the second point raised by Dr. Balfour. If any such claimant having

come

To the Govt. Agent at

been previously in enjoyment of a separate Stipend has had it commuted for a bonus. the fact will be duly weighed in the disposal of his case which will be settled according to its circumstances.

J.P.

To the Govt. Agent at Chaparra } Dispd 18

Lieg. Schr. 18 January
Political 1859 Despatch

No. 32

Extract. Wiesbaden.

dated 12 January 1859.

348