

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	331	Press ~~Mis~~
Dated	22-5-1958	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

6. 12/58

No. 20. From MAHOMED ACKBAR Son of the late MAHOMED HOSSAIN JANASHEEN of the Durgah of Nothud Owleah, in Conaud Talook in Trichinopoly; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 30th April 1858.

SIR,

With reference to the Endorsement passed on my Petition by the Deputy Secretary to Government on the 16th Instant, I beg leave most respectfully to enclose a Memorial in triplicate to the address of the Honorable the Court of Directors, and to request that in laying before His Lordship the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will be graciously pleased to support it with His Lordship's favorable recommendation in forwarding the same to the Honorable Court regarding the Durgah and Enam which I was so unjustly and unreasonably deprived of its management for nearly 8 years. On perusing the Memorial His Lordship will observe that he is the sole Proprietor and management of the said Durgah and Enam, and not Mynooddeen who has set up a claim for a portion of it.

2. Under these circumstances, I humbly and respectfully entreat that His Lordship in Council will come to a proper decision in forwarding my Memorial to the Honorable Court to have my Durgah and Enam restored to me, and informing me at the same time, through the Collector of Trichinopoly, the date of its having been forwarded as I am about to leave Madras for my Native Country. For which act of kindness and benevolence, I shall ever feel deeply grateful and pray for His Lordship's long life and happiness and prosperity.

No. 21. ORDER THEREON, No. 331; dated 22nd May 1858.

Ordered that one copy of the Memorial received with the foregoing address be forwarded to the Government Agent at Chepauk, for any remarks he may have to offer with reference to his letter of the 9th July 1849, No. 38, and to the late changes in the affairs of the Carnatic.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

No.

Triplicate

The Honorable the Court of Directors
of the East India Company
London

The humble Memorial of Mahomed Ahtur,
son of the late Mahomed Hossain Janasheer
of the Durgah of Nothud Oulab in Ceraud
Talook of the Zillah of Trichinopoly.

Humbly Sheweth,

That your poor Memorialist has addressed your Honorable Court under date the 29th June 1852 and submitted the same in Triplicate thro' the regular Channel and which was transmitted by Government by the steamer of 18th August 1852 to which he has received no reply. Your Memorialist Petitioned Government again on the 16th March 1858 requesting to know whether a reply has been received from your Honorable Court. Your Memorialist has received the following endorsement on the 16th April 1858.

"Petitioner may again address the Honorable Court who have not yet passed any orders on his case."

2. That your Memorialist respectfully begs to bring to your kind notice, that in the year 1841 the Honorable the Court of Directors had sent to the Bengal Government as well as the Madras Government an order that Khatke Pagodas, Mosques, Durgahs and Ewans that were in the place to be given to the former Managers or their Heirs. When your Memorialist was also sent for because his Grandfather Shait Naderdeen had the above said Durgah and Ewan from Ramee Meengamab and Ramee Meenackee amaul of the Carnatic, and on enquiry the said Durgah and Ewan were given to your Memorialist for his management by the Collector of Trichinopoly, and the Tahildars of Ceraud, Laulgoody and Vethetty Talooks where he has a portion of his Ewans or Durva Mauniew and accordingly managed and defrayed for of the said Durgah about eight years.

3. That your Memorialist in 1849, having some particular business to proceed to Madras he appealed to the Collector as is the usual Rules for to proceed and to place Sheikh Mejad his nephew to manage the business ~~the said business, and in the course of 20 days a confusion took place~~ which was caused by a Memshee who is at the Collector's Office set up a person named Mynordeen to claim a portion of the management in the said Durgah, which when your Memorialist had heard, appealed to the Collector a second time, that he wishes to withdraw his proceeding to Madras and that he would as usual manage the business of the said Durgah, but

In Accordance
Navulput
Viddam
Ruturken
Cherthak
the delaware

had received no favorable reply when your Memorialist was compelled to appeal to the Board of Revenue showing the injustice done to him, when the Board in reply of his Petition was sent to the Collector for notice to be taken. your Memorialist was not sent for or examined but through the advice of the Munshee informed him that he had written already to the Board by a letter on the 19 October 1849. and when your Memorialist returned from his Enam Villages he came to understand that all that had passed had been notoriously done.

4. That your Memorialist then was compelled to Petition the Government on the 8 April 1851 requesting an Extract of an Answer when one was kindly forwarded to the Collector and which was concealed through the advice of the said Munshee and likewise made no enquiry from your Memorialist.

5. That your Memorialist seeing all these misunderstandings Petitioned the Board of Revenue on the 25 March 1851 selecting some principal proofs for but to your Memorialist's astonishment he found an endorsement on the Petition by the Members of the Board to be the reverse pointing your Memorialist for the Government's direction.

6. That your Memorialist seeing that he is dealt with injustice Petitioned the Government on the 15 April 1851 and in reply of his Petition will be seen. your Memorialist again on the 10 June Petitioned the Government stating that his case was referred in 1841 for investigation to the Judges of the appeal Court at Trichinopoly, when the Judges named Mr. Hooper, Mr. Taylor, and Mr. Walters referred your Memorialist to the Causee Mahomed Ferozullah Khan who gave your Memorialist a Futwah or decision in writing that your Memorialist was the sole proprietor of the management of the said Dargah and Enam which Futwah was sent to the Collector which is also concealed by the advice of the said Munshee in the Collector's Office at Trichinopoly begging that it be called for, and on perusal your Honorable Court will see that your Memorialist is the sole Proprietor, on which Petition he received an endorsement that your Memorialist should point out principal proofs and sufficient reason to show that your Memorialist is the proprietor which he did by a Petition, and stated that if his case had been investigated by the 2 Vouchers enclosed in Petition he would not have received a reply to his Petition as in after which your Memorialist again Petitioned Government on the 10 June 1851 and on the 8 July 1851 with more particular references when the Government endorsed the Petition stating that without the recommendation of the Collector and the Board, no alteration will be entertained.

7. That your Memorialist after having given several Petitions to the Board of Revenue, the Government and the Collector and seeing no satisfactory reply, again on the 25 April 1852 sent a Petition to the Board of Revenue by Post (the Members refused to receive any Petitions from your Memorialist's hands) and

and some days after your Memorialist solicited for an answer, he was told that strict orders were given by the Members that they decline giving any answer to his Petition and desired it to be filed.

8. That your Memorialist finding himself at a loss petitioned Government on the 11 May 1852 stating that the Board of Revenue has declined giving him an answer and that he had followed the endorsement on his Petition. On the 18 June 1852 he applied again for a reply to his Petition your Memorialist was told that his Petition was ordered to be filed.

9. That your Memorialist now humbly and respectfully begs that your Honorable Court will be graciously pleased to investigate your Memorialist's case for he is totally at a loss in all sides. your Memorialist has given sufficient reasons and explanations about his claim of the said Dargah and Enam and the said Futwah given by the said Causee he investigated. the Board of Revenue and Government will be assured that your Memorialist is the proper person of the management of the said Dargah and Enam.

10. That your Memorialist's humble Prayer is that your Honorable Court will be pleased to send for the Futwah or decision of the said Dargah which was given to your Memorialist in 1841 by the three Judges of the appeal Court and the Causee which will be perceived that your Memorialist is the Naib-o-Mohatar and Sheikh Meyan the Janashah of the said Dargah. and that Myrodeen has no claim. your Memorialist humbly and respectfully begs that your Honorable Court will on investigation be pleased to confer his claims as well as his former duties and render him that justice which he seeks at your hands. your Memorialist begs also to annex 9 Copies of Endorses for the consideration of your Honorable Court. and further your Memorialist begs to state that he has several other proofs to write but refrains from doing so for fear of exhausting your Honorable Court's patience.

* sent in his Memorial dated 29 June 1852.

11. That your Memorialist has a large Family and children who are now totally at a loss having left their Country and income are now starving at Madras begging for their food and raiment from March 1851 after having unjustly been deprived of his management of the said Dargah which has been taken away from your Memorialist's hands was a manly having managed it for 8 years.

12. That under such circumstances your Memorialist begs to state that to whom else he will look to, but to your Honorable Court for justice, investigation, and to allow to his Vouchers, and your Honorable Court will be graciously pleased to send for his Futwah which is now in the Collector's Office at Trichinopoly and to grant him his humble Prayer by confirming him his claim that the said Dargah be given to him to be managed as he hitherto done.

Yours

To which act of Charity and Humanity your Memorialist
will ever pray for the Prosperity and Stability of the British Empire
and as in duty bound
Will Ever Pray

Madras
30 April 1858

[Signature]

In No 746 of 1838

Political Officer

Memorandum

Mahomed Akbar is
informed that his Memorial to
the Honorable the Court of Directors
was dispatched on the 19th Instant
by the Steamer "Ranger"

Fort St. George
17th August 1852

[Signature]
[Signature]

To Mahomed Akbar
son of the late Mahomed Hoopain
Jannasheen of the Durgah of Kothrud Oorah
in Grand Talook of the Zillah of Trichinopoly
Madras

Dr. L. C. C.

Dr. L. C. C.

Dr. L. C. C.

Dr. L. C. C.

No 331.

62

Ordered that one
copy of the Memorial received
with the foregoing address
be forwarded to the Genl Agent
at Chepauk for any remarks
he may have to offer with
reference to his letter of the 9th
July 1849 - No 38, and to the
late changes in the affairs
of the Association.

Fort George
22nd July 1858

J.P.

To the Genl Agent
at Chepauk.
with duplicate Memorial

} Dispd 28th

Received in date 1/6/58.

Political
in 24/10/58: 25-
Revenue 1858-1859

Order to Engr 22nd June
No. 11. of 1858-

70
No. 667

From Mahomed Akthar
son of the late Maho-
med Noorain Jan-
= has been a the Dargah
of Noorain Akthar in
Canand. Talook in
Trichinopoly-

Dated 30 April 1858
No. 304

Enclosing a Memorial in
duplicate to the Honorable Court,
regarding the Dargah and Burial
in Trichinopoly, the Management
of which, he has been so unjustly
deprived of for the last 8 years,
requesting the recommendation
of this Government in forwarding
the same.

With an Order

20 12 1

5 32 5 6 7
5 32 5 7
5 32 5