

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	313	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	14. 5. 1938	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Fort Saint George, Political Consultation, 18th May 1858.

No. 7. From ETHESHAM OOD DOWLAH BAHADOOR, ETHESHAM JUNG;
to the Right Honorable GEORGE FRANCIS ROBERT LORD HARRIS, Governor
in Council, Fort Saint George, dated 16th January 1858.

The humble Petition of Ethesham Ood
Dowlah Bahadoor, Ethesham Jung.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That with all deference your Petitioner begs to express his extreme regret that his name was not included in the List of the Chief Officers of the Carnatic Sircar which has been sanctioned by Extract Minutes of Consultation, No. 1075, dated 24th December 1857. It appears that this decision respecting the Chief Officers has been passed most probably with a regard to their seats around the Throne, in consequence of which all the Officers in Plan No. 3, submitted by the Dewan have been reckoned as Chief Officers. As besides the other reasonable grounds the very principle on which the decision has been come to by Government, naturally admits of your Petitioner being included in the List of Chief Officers, he feels himself bound to submit his case once more for your Lordship's re-consideration in the firm hope that your Lordship in Council will decide according to its real merits.

2. Your Petitioner begs to submit that the Officers seated around the Throne were divided into two Classes, *viz.* 1st, those that enjoyed seats according to numbers and, 2ndly, those that were seated without any regard of numbers. Of these the former class consisted at first of 12 and subsequently of 14 persons, who alone had the peculiarity of presenting Nuzzurs to His late Highness on the anniversary of his accession, &c. Now your Petitioner takes the liberty to appeal to your Lordship's impartial judgment whether it is compatible with the principles of justice that Mooftee Ally Khan, Yoosoof Ally Khan, Mooftee Habeeboollah Khan and Moonufiz Jung, who belonged to the 2nd Class, should be regarded as Chief Officers and favored with full Pensions, and your Petitioner, who is one of the 1st Class, be deprived of that privilege.

3. Here your Petitioner thinks it necessary to remark that the reason why his name was not included in Plan No. 3, submitted by the Dewan is that it was drawn at a time when he did not hold his present Office. The appointment of Meer-i-Asham was at that time held by Auzum Ool Oomra, whose name is therefore included in the Plan, and after his death it was conferred upon your Petitioner who since then enjoyed the 8th Seat in the line of Civil Officers. Now your Lordship in Council will be pleased to consider that the name of Shajee-Ool-Moolk is not included in Plan No. 3, in consequence of his not holding the Appointment at that time, but only in Plan, No. 4 with No. 3, in the line of Military Officers; also the name of Surfarazood Dowlah is not inserted in Plan No. 3, but only in Plan No. 4, among the Officers of the 2nd Class, namely, those that were seated without any regard of numbers; the names of these both Officers in consequence of their not being included in Plan No. 3, have not been inserted in the List of 17 individuals sanctioned by the above Minute; but still they have been reckoned among the Chief Officers, and your Petitioner deprived of that privilege merely because his name is not found in Plan No. 3.

4. Your Petitioner further begs to express his utter astonishment at the fact that Government have been pleased to allow a full pension to Wahem Beg whose name is not included in any one of the Plans and Lists submitted by the Dewan, and to deny the same privilege to your Petitioner only because his name is not inserted in Plan No. 3, though it is included in Plan No. 4 and List No. 1 of the Civil Officers forwarded by the Dewan.

5. Your Petitioner begs to add that the late Auzum Ool Oomra whose name is exhibited in Plan No. 3 held several Offices in the Sircar out of which after his death only the Office of Meer-i-Asham was bestowed to a new person, *viz.*, your Petitioner, the rest being added to those already held by other Officers. From this it is obvious that your Petitioner alone is his successor and was therefore deserving of being placed in the List sanctioned by the above mentioned Minute, in lieu of the deceased.

20/5/58.

Agmt and nan up.
- to allow any addition.

Fort Saint George, Political Consultation, 18th May 1858.

6. Although the other points in support of his claim have already been laid before your Lordship in Council in his former Petition, your Petitioner does not consider it unnecessary to submit the substance of the same in this one which is as follows;

1st. That your Petitioner holds the high and separate Office of Meer-i-Asham with about 400 persons under his authority and with the power of their appointment and removal, and is the Head of that Establishment. To prove the importance of this Office, it is sufficient for your Petitioner to observe that when His Highness the Prince Azeem Jah Bahadoor, his uncle, the late Moomtaz Ool Oomra, Madar Ool Oomra Bahadoor, the present Dewan, and the late Bukshee Ool Moolk, were the Bukshees of the Sircar, they conducted its duties in consequence of its having in those times been included with the Buksheegirry Department.

2ndly. That your Petitioner has a commission for that Office, in embellished paper similarly to the other Chief Officers.

3rdly. That he is one of the first Class Officers, that sat around the Throne, that as he had the peculiarity to occupy the 8th seat in the line of Civil Officers, and to submit Nuzzurs to His late Highness. Now if your Lordship in Council be pleased to consider these points it would be

* *Moostamfee Ood Dowlah Shurfool Moolk has a commission and had a seat according to number but no separate establishment, nor was the Head of it. Sadarut Khan holds a commission and a separate Establishment, and was its Head but has no seat according to number, Mooftee Yoosoof Ally Khan has neither a commission, nor had a seat according to number, nor a separate Establishment and he was not the Head of it. Mooftee Habeloolah Khan do. do. do. Moonuffiz-i-ahcam has neither a commission nor had a seat according to number.*

your Petitioner with regard to the above points as taken together, but that some of them are rather much inferior to him. Your Petitioner is therefore absolutely surprised to find that the people of description have newly been numbered with the Chief Officers and he excluded from that privilege.

7. In conclusion your Petitioner most respectfully begs to express his firm hope that your Lordship in Council will be pleased to give a re-consideration to his case and to view all its particulars deliberately for the sake of justice, and that the reasonable representations and grounds herein submitted will induce your Lordship in Council to order the insertion of his name with the Chief Officers in lieu of the late Auzum ool Oomrah, and that the allotment of a full Pension, in order that he may not be degraded in the eyes of his equals and inferiors, but continue in the enjoyment of the honor and dignity conferred upon him by the late Nabob.

For which act of kindness and justice your Petitioner as in duty bound, shall ever Pray.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, No. 313; dated 14th May 1858.

In the above Petition Etesham Oo Dowlah prays that he may be recognized as one of the Chief Officers of His Highness the late Nawaub and may be admitted to full Pension.

2. It is remarked that in Extract Minutes Consultation, 20th March 1858, the Government have sanctioned the revised list of Chief Officers submitted by the Chepauk 27th January 1858. Agent and have refused to allow any additions being made to the list.

3. The claim of Etesham Ood Dowlah is preferred on grounds which seem however to merit consideration. He urges that Government have adopted as the criterion, whereby to divide the pretensions of parties claiming to be Chief Officers, the list No. 3 of persons having seats around the Throne forwarded by the Dewan with his letter of 18th September 1857.

Auzum Ool Omrah
Bukshee Ool Moolk.
Two of the individuals named in that list are dead and on the death of one of them Auzum Ool Omrah his Office of Meeri Abshawn and the privilege of occupying a seat around the Throne was conferred upon himself. It seems from

Fort Saint George, Political Consultation, 18th May 1858.

the Dewan's letter of 18th September last that this was the case. Etesham Oo Dowlah argues that he is therefore entitled to be ranked and pensioned as a Chief Officer.

4. Before passing orders on this application the Governor in Council resolves to ascertain through the Agent whether the other individual above alluded to, Bukshee Ool Moolk, was on his decease succeeded in his Office and Seat about the Throne by any one, and, if so, who his successor was.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. A. Wilson.

ad 7858.

Agent and has refused.

- to allow any addition.

N^o 213. *Depot*
Extract from the *Mins. of Land*:
Dated 11th May 1858

Land Petition from Etisham oo Dowlah
Bahadur Etisham Jung.

Read into 11 Jan^y 1858 N^o 161

At

the

W. L.

In the above Petition
Etisham oo Dowlah
prays that he may be
recognized as one of
the Chief Officers of
the late Revenue
and may be admit-
ted to full Pension.

2. It is remarked
that in L^{et} 20 March⁵⁸
he got his sanction.
- in the Revised list
of Chief Officers sub-
mitted by the Company
Agent and has re-
- sult to allow any addition

Jan^y 1858.

being made a K. list.

3. The claim of Plesham
or Dowlat is preferred
on grounds which seem
to merit consideration.

He urges that for him
adopted as the list.

-ing, whereby to decide
the pretensions of per-
-ties claiming to be

Chief Officers, the list

no 3 of persons, having

seats around the

throne forwarded by

his Dewan with his

letter of 18 Sept 1857

Two of the individuals

named in that list

are dead. and on the

death of one of them

Augurool Omrah

his office of Meenabhoora

Augurool Omrah
Kutshi ool Moolla

occupying a seat
around the throne
was conferred upon
himself - It seems
from the Dewan's letter
of 18 Sept - last.

that this was the case -

Plesham or Dowlat

urges that he is there -

-pre entitled to be

ranked & pensioned

as a Chief Officer.

4 Upon passing

order on this appli-

-cation K. G. C.

resolves to ascertain

tho' K. Agent whether

the other individual

above alluded to K. G.

-K. ool Moolla

has been on his death

succeeded in his

Office I sent about
the thrown by any
one - and if so who
his successor was

For Agent Chepauk. Dispt. 11/11 JPS

Memo

The Balfour submits the explanation called for
from the Dewan as to why he omitted the names
of two dead men - viz. on the one hand, about the time
of his death - in his list no 3 -

The Dewan replies that in his letter of the 18th
Sept. a. last he did mention the death of these
men - adds that list 3 was an old list of a
date five years anterior to the Dewan's death - &
that list no 4 was the one in force at the time
of his death -

On referring to the Dewan's letter just cited, atten-
tion does appear to the absence of these two
men - the distinction between the two lists is not
so clearly marked, but the whole letter is a most
confused affair -

The Dewan now states that there are only
6 persons given in list 4 who have not been
recognized as Chief Officers and admitted
to full pension and he urges their admission
also -

The B's views in respect to the recognition
of these men will be found in his letter -

Besides the men noticed by the Dewan

C. S. May 58
R. H. C.

There appear to me the following difference
between lists 3 & 4 -

Moonfiji Akkan - ~~list 3~~ is not in list 4 -

Yakob Lay Rham
Squad Hamid Othman K^h
Milit Othman Rham

} in list 4 as
list.

The port must now decide whether
they will adopt the list submitted
by Dr Balfour on the 27 Jan^r last
and prepared in conformity with
the resolution recorded and instructions
concerning S.M.C. 24 Dec^r last - or
whether they will recognize as Chief
Officers and admit to full pensions
all or any of the further men recommended
- in the Dec^r last.

I think ^{that} might may very well
adhere to the list - given in the
order of the 24th Dec^r. I decline
to surmise that list.

It

I have never seen any subject so
completely bungled as this has
been of the Agent. I think we
now refuse to admit any more claims
to full pensions. The only one of these
six who appears to be entitled to some
consideration is the first - William
ed Doulat - who appears to have
really a chief officer at the head of a
Dept^t at the department may have
stated to an inferior head of service
that the Akkham was a worthy one
the really most important under the
Kwari, comprising the management
of all his attendants, the only character
of a real Kwari that he possesses
However the two men who have been made to fill
the head men does seem to be in? or on what
ground?

Old to 6 Sept 4. Aug 4
No 15 of 1858

Mid to 5 October
No 16 of 1858

up 272

By to 10 Nov. 1858 Aug 4
No 16 of 1858 Aug 4

No 313

Extra to 10 Nov. 1858

Mid to 5 Oct 1858

No 354

948

09. March
1858